Rochester Institute of Technology

RIT Digital Institutional Repository

Articles

Faculty & Staff Scholarship

8-24-2018

Greenhouse Gas Sensors Fabricated with New Materials for Climatic Usage: A Review

Kalathur S.V. Santhanam Rochester Institute of Technology

Nuzhet Nihaar Nasir Ahamed Rochester Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.rit.edu/article

Recommended Citation

Santhanam, K.S.V.; Ahamed, N.N.N. Greenhouse Gas Sensors Fabricated with New Materials for Climatic Usage: A Review. ChemEngineering 2018, 2, 38. https://doi.org/10.3390/chemengineering2030038

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the RIT Libraries. For more information, please contact repository@rit.edu.



Review



Greenhouse Gas Sensors Fabricated with New Materials for Climatic Usage: A Review

Kalathur S. V. Santhanam * 💿 and Nuzhet Nihaar Nasir Ahamed

School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY 14623, USA; nn5878@rit.edu

* Correspondence: ksssch@rit.edu; Tel.: +1-585-4752-920

Received: 29 June 2018; Accepted: 9 August 2018; Published: 24 August 2018



Abstract: With the increasing utilization of fossil fuels in today's technological world, the atmosphere's concentration of greenhouse gases is increasing and needs to be controlled. In order to achieve this goal, it is imperative to have sensors that can provide data on the greenhouse gases in the environment. The recent literature contains a few publications that detail the use of new methods and materials for sensing these gases. The first part of this review is focused on the possible effects of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, and the second part surveys the developments of sensors for greenhouse gases with coverage on carbon nano-materials and composites directed towards sensing gases like CO_2 , CH_4 , and NO_x . With carbon dioxide measurements, due consideration is given to the dissolved carbon dioxide gas in water (moisture). The density functional calculations project that Pd-doped single-walled carbon nanotubes are ideal for the development of NO_x sensors. The current trend is to make sensors using 3D printing or inkjet printing in order to allow for the achievement of ppb levels of sensitivity that have not been realized before. This review is to elaborate on the need for the development of greenhouse gas sensors for climatic usage by using selected examples.

Keywords: greenhouse gases; carbon dioxide; methane; nitrogen oxides; fluorocarbons; optical methods; wireless sensors; resistive sensors; conducting polymer

1. Introduction

The entire world desires an atmosphere that provides clean air and has reduced levels of carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, methane, ozone, and fluorocarbons, which contribute to global disturbances. These gases are called greenhouse effect gases. The atmosphere now faces two problems that contribute to the increased greenhouse effect gases. The first is the rapid deforestation caused by forest fires and land requirements for developmental purposes. The other is the use of fossil fuels for a variety of purposes. Fossil fuels generate gases that contribute to the greenhouse gas effect. The real concern is in the state of the global climate for the generations ahead. The United Nations (UN) has proclaimed several steps to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. By this effort, it has reduced the burning of 64,000 kilotons of wood and reduced the release of 118,000 tons of CO₂ into the environment (http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/ourwork/climate-and-disaster-resilience/climate-change/mitigating-greenhouse-gas-emissions.html). By encouraging the use of renewable sources of energy, several wind farms and solar panels have been developed to reduce greenhouse effect gases.

Among the greenhouse gases involved in environmental disturbances, carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and methane are given utmost importance, as the concentrations of these gases change more than the others, thus requiring immediate attention. Hence, this review is devoted to these gases. The climatic conditions are influenced by greenhouse gases [1,2]. This study is not aimed at correlating

the gas levels to climate changes, although indirect relationships have been established, and hopefully this article will stimulate an interest in evolving such correlations.

Weather and climatic conditions are often considered important in our day-to-day life and, as a result, we hear/learn of these environmental conditions through the radio and TV. These channels often give us information such the temperature, wind speed, rain, snow, ice conditions, UV index, cloud cover, humidity, dew point, and barometric pressure, as shown in Figure 1. The weather channel seldom gives the CO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O , or O_3 levels. This may be because of the non-availability of data and the methodology needed to be adapted to measure the concentrations of greenhouse gases. In 2012, a network of three tall tower stations was set up to obtain the long-term background levels of CO_2 , CH_4 , and NO_X in the United Kingdom. The results obtained from the network showed that the greenhouse gases were increasing in mole fractions. The CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations showed nighttime maxima and daytime minima [3].



Figure 1. The weather conditions.

1.1. Status of Greenhouse Gases

The concentrations of greenhouse effect gases in the environment have been periodically monitored by several agencies, and in this section we review the concentrations of these gases in the environment with a view to developing sensors.

1.1.1. Status of Carbon Dioxide Gas

The carbon dioxide level in the atmosphere is currently reported to be at about 400 ppm, compared to a low value of about 200 ppm in 800,000 BC (Figure 2). This reveals a rapid growth of the carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere. This increase is attributed to fuel combustion, forest fires, volcanic eruption, and volatile organic compounds. The obvious choice to overcome this problem is to reduce the use of fossil fuels, increase the use of nonpolluting fuels, begin forest conservation efforts, and stop volcanoes from erupting [1,2]. The effectiveness of the measures to be taken requires sensors to monitor the carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere. This has resulted in the evolution of gravimetric sensors. These sensors fall into the category of micro-to-nano electromechanical systems that are based on chemical, electrical conductivity, optical, magnetic, acoustic, capacitive,

or ultrasonic properties. The active material response to the exposed gas is revealed by the sensor's physicochemical interactions.



Figure 2. Global atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide over time. Taken from: https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators/climate-change-indicators-atmospheric-concentrations-greenhouse-gases.

1.1.2. Status of Methane

Methane is another important gas contributing to the greenhouse gas effect. Its increase is greatly changing the climatic condition. It absorbs the sun's heat and warms the atmosphere. It is 84 times more potent than carbon dioxide in this activity. It is produced by the natural decomposition of rice paddies, marshes, the guts of animals, the rotting of rubbish and the distribution of fossil fuels like coal, oil, or gas. The use of alternatives to natural gas such as hydrogen in a variety of living conditions [4] could certainly reduce the contribution of this gas towards the greenhouse gas effect. Methane gas in the atmosphere has not been a serious concern, as it was increasing by 0.5 parts per billion per year until the year 2000. The current statistics show that it increased by 12.5 parts per billion in 2014. The methane mole fraction (ppb) is expected to reach about 1850 by 2018, and was approximately 1780 in the year 2000 (https: //www.washingtonpost.com/news/energy-environment/wp/2016/12/11/atmospheric-levelsof-methane-a-powerful-greenhouse-gas-are-spiking-scientists-report/?utm_term=.4a77e6472f2c). A recently published review [5] demonstrated that a sensor developed for carbon dioxide should consider its response to methane in the atmosphere. Figure 3 shows the simulated effect of methane interference in the measurement of carbon dioxide gas. The error in measurement of carbon dioxide concentration is indicated in the Figure for different concentration ratios of carbon dioxide to methane.

The effect of methane and carbon dioxide gases on the environment was recently analyzed by Charnay et al. [6] using a 3D climate carbon model, and their results showed a change in the global albedo from 0.40 to 0.23 depending on the relative proportions of carbon dioxide and methane levels. The global temperature was estimated by using the albedo value to increase from -11.5 °C to 65 °C. This model predicts that a carbon dioxide level of 1 bar could produce hot climates at a low land fraction and cloud feedback. For the Earth to reach the high temperature requires carbon dioxide in the atmosphere to be 1 bar at 3.8 Ga.



Figure 3. Carbon dioxide sensor responses to different concentrations of methane. Y = Sensor response; Z = Concentration. Group 1 a: CO₂ concentration, 390 ppm, b: Ratio of carbon dioxide/methane, c: methane concentration 1.8 ppm; Group 2 a: CO₂ concentration, 390 ppm, b: Ratio of carbon dioxide/methane, c: methane concentration 4.25 ppm; Group 3 a: CO₂ concentration, 390 ppm, b: Ratio of carbon dioxide/methane, c: methane concentration 18 ppm; Group 4 a: CO₂ concentration, 390 ppm, b: Ratio of carbon dioxide/methane, c: methane concentration 18 ppm; Group 4 a: CO₂ concentration, 390 ppm, b: Ratio of carbon dioxide/methane, c: methane concentration 40 ppm; Group 5 a: CO₂ concentration, 390 ppm, b: Ratio of carbon dioxide/methane, c: methane concentration 80 ppm; Group 6 a: CO₂ concentration, 390 ppm, b: Ratio of carbon dioxide/methane, c: methane concentration 100 ppm. Reproduced with permission from Reference [5]. Nonappearance of c in 1, 2 and 3 indicates negligible values.

1.1.3. Status of NOx

Nitrogen oxides have a pronounced influence in the environment. They are responsible for producing yellow-brown smog, often called photochemical smog. The sources for nitrogen oxides are automobile exhaust and fuel burning (including bio-diesel). It is to be controlled at 0.03 ppm over a one-year period for human health and environmental factors. Levels are currently estimated to be at 325 ppb in the environment (https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators/climate-change-indicators-atmospheric-concentrations-greenhouse-gases).

1.1.4. Status of Fluorocarbons

The fluorocarbons are innumerable, and they can be divided into two classes: one class acting on the ozone layer that reduces the ultraviolet rays reaching the Earth, and another class having environmental effects. The first-class compounds are methyl chloroform, halon-1211, CFC-12, HCFC-22, and HCFC-141b. The other class includes sulfur hexafluoride, HFC-23, HFC-134a, PFC-14, PFC-16, and nitrogen trifluoride. The ozone-depleting fluorocarbons, except for methyl chloroform, are either increasing or reaching a constant value. Their levels range from about 5 to 400 parts per thousand (ppt) in the atmosphere (https://www.epa.gov/climate-indicators/climate-change-indicators-atmospheric-concentrations-greenhouse-gases). Since fluorocarbons are not at the concentration levels of CO_2 or CH_4 , they will not be considered here.

1.2. Sensors for Greenhouse Gases

Since the importance of the influence of greenhouse gases on the environment was understood, several different sensors have been developed and are well-reviewed in the literature [7–12]. Figure 4 describes the six different types of sensors used in the measurement of greenhouse gases.



Figure 4. Types of sensors developed for greenhouse gas measurements. M: digital multimeter; C:

computer with software for monitoring the measuring multimeter; P: potentiostat (monitors the field effect transistor parameters); D: detector (e.g., photomultiplier or bolometer); GG: greenhouse gas; IDT: inter digitized electrode.

Type 1 sensors generally have a nonmetallic substrate on which the active material is deposited and kept in either ambient or selected experimental conditions (e.g., inert atmosphere) in the measuring chamber. The change in resistance of the active material is measured as a function of concentration of the greenhouse gas. The successive measurement requires flushing the measuring chamber. The type 2 sensor is a field effect transistor having active material placed between the source and drain. The source, drain, and gate electrodes are connected to a potentiostat. The drain current is measured as a function of greenhouse gas concentration. The type 3 sensor is a modification of the type 2 sensor, in that the voltage is plotted as a function of greenhouse gas concentration. The type 4 sensor depicts the general scheme for electromagnetic interaction with the greenhouse gas. The photons used in these measurements are generally in the energy range of 10^4 – 10^{-2} kcal. Type 5 sensors utilize a piezo electric crystal carrying interdigitized electrodes. The active material is placed between digitized electrodes. The radio frequency (R_f) shift is measured with different greenhouse gas concentrations. This type of sensor is also called a SAW (surface acoustic wave) sensor. The type 6 sensor is a micromechanical sensor using a cantilever beam which has a coating of an active material. The resonant frequency of the cantilever beam is measured as a function of the greenhouse gas concentration. This review contains examples of all six different sensor types.

Conventional instrumental analysis such as optical spectroscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), semiconducting devices, mass spectroscopy, and Raman spectroscopy were used to analyze the greenhouse gases. These techniques will continue to be used, as they provide the advantages of selectivity and sensitivity. With the discovery of new nanomaterials, several less-expensive methods such as using resistance measurement (Type 1 sensor), field effect transistor (Types 2 and 3 sensors), optoacoustic (Type 4 sensor), wireless measurements (Type 5 sensor; surface acoustic wave (SAW)) and micromechanical measurements (Type 6) have recently been developed. These new methods and new research oriented towards new materials have provided speed and accuracy in the measurement of greenhouse gases.

2. Carbon Dioxide Sensors

Carbon dioxide gas is safe for humans up to 5000 ppm, and is dangerous when it reaches concentrations of 40,000 ppm. A short-term exposure of 30,000 ppm is bearable (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/idlh/intridl4.html) [13,14]. The level of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is changing every year. The present level of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is over 400 ppm. The presence of it in the atmosphere affects the albedo value of the Earth in reflecting the solar radiation and is currently estimated at 0.39. While the planet Mercury has an albedo value of 0.1 (receives the maximum amount of sunlight), the planet Venus has a value 0.84 [4]. The temperature of the Venus is estimated at 462 °C, with carbon dioxide in the Earth's atmosphere is of the utmost importance. Hence, a good and reliable sensor for carbon dioxide is required to monitor the atmospheric carbon dioxide. A variety of sensors have been developed based on optical absorption, change in semiconducting materials used in these sensors operate at high temperatures (>200 °C). The optical detectors use either fiber optics methods with sophisticated instrumentation or conventional infrared detectors. The electrical methods have been successfully used for the detection of carbon dioxide in biomedical applications.

The main thrust in this decade has been to find new materials that enable easy detection and determination. Gravimetric sensors have been of great interest in this category. The infrared sensors are developed using a combination of a wavelength filter and a detector. This technology can reach a detection limit of 10 ppm of CO₂, with an upper limit of 10,000 ppm [15–18]. Mayrwögera et al. [15] proposed a Fabry–Perot-based bolometer using a glass plate as a simple infrared filter for carbon dioxide determination (Type 4 sensor). Figure 5 shows the analyte that is mixed with nitrogen for analysis.



Figure 5. The dielectric layer used for the measurement and the methodology. Ge is used as a dielectric layer. Reproduced with permission from Reference [15]. IR: infrared radiation.

The interference coming from water vapor in the measurement of carbon dioxide concentration was removed by using a glass filter, as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Carbon dioxide determination using glass filters. Reproduced with permission from Reference [15].

Several conducting polymer-based resistive sensors have been developed for the detection of carbon dioxide. Doping polyaniline (PANI) has been shown to play a role in the detection of CO_2 [18–20]. The working range of the CO_2 sensor has been reported as 102–104 ppm. Figure 7 shows the conductivity change with the concentration of CO_2 . The conductivity decreases when carbon dioxide is adsorbed on the sensor material (PANI; Type 1 sensor). The sensor performance has been shown to depend on whether it has an emeraldine base or sulfonated polymer as its active material. Both of them respond to carbon dioxide, but the emeraldine base's response to lower ppm levels has been reported to be negligible.



Figure 7. Conductivity of sensor with concentration of carbon dioxide. Reproduced with permission from reference [19]. EB-PANI: emaraldine base polyaniline. NaSPANI: sodium sulfonated PANI.

The carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere and the corresponding pH are controlled by the humidity in the atmosphere. The dissolved carbon dioxide is in equilibrium with other species [19,20], and Table 1 shows the calculated concentrations of the equilibrating species.

CO ₂ (Gas, ppm)	рН	Concentration [CO ₂]	Concentration [H ₂ CO ₃]	Concentration [HCO ₃]	Concentration [CO ₃ ²⁻]
$1.0 imes 10^{-1}$	6.94	3.36 nM	5.71 pM	5.90 nM	1.90 pM
$1.0 imes 10^{0}$	6.81	33.6 nM	57.1 pM	91.6 nM	33.0 pM
$1.0 imes 10^1$	6.42	0.33 μM	5.71 nM	0.378 μM	55.7 pM
$1.0 imes10^2$	5.92	3.36 µM	5.71 nM	0.378 μM	56.0 pM
$1.0 imes 10^3$	5.42	33.6 µM	57.1 nM	3.78 µM	56.1 pM
$1.0 imes 10^4$	4.92	0.336 mM	0.571 μM	0.119 μM	56.1 pM
$1.0 imes10^5$	4.42	3.36 mM	5.71 µM	0.378 μM	56.1 pM
1.0×10^{6}	3.92	33.6 mM	57.1 μM	12.0 mM	56.1 pM

Table 1. The CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere, pH value, and equilibrating species concentrations.

The true concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere can be evaluated by taking the concentrations in Table 1 into consideration, as there is equilibrium between CO_2 and the protonated species as

$$CO_2 + H_2O = H_2CO_3 \to HCO_3^- + H^+.$$
 (1)

An infrared fiber optic optical spark plug sensor was used for measuring CO_2 and water. As both the molecules are infrared-active with strong overlap, the spark plug was kept close to the electrodes for the in-cylinder measurement of CO_2 and gaseous water (Type 4 sensor). A tungsten halide lamp with two infrared detectors having different optical band pass filter was used. The test was carried out using a spark-ignited engine [21]. Air quality monitoring sensors using a cluster of metal oxide (MO_X ;MiCS-5525) [22] or electrochemical sensors were used for the analysis of a mixture of nitrogen monoxide and carbon dioxide. The measurements were used to assess the practicality of the carbon dioxide sensor for a data quality objective with 25% uncertainty [23]. Table 2 shows the sensors used for data acquisition. Figure 8 shows the carbon dioxide levels obtained from the sensor with linear regression analysis.



Figure 8. Red points indicate measured data, and blue indicate simulated data. Reproduced with permission from Reference [23]. NDIR: non-dispersive infrared technology.

Marketed by	Model	Concentration Range	Sensitivity	Response Time	Resolution	Comments
ELT Sensors	S-100H	0–5 mmol/mol	1 V/mmol/mol	60 s	70 nmol/mol	Uses 16 bits ADC with DAQ range of 5 V
Edinburgh sensors	Gas card NG	0–1 mmol/mol	1 V/0.1 mmol/mol	10 s	60 nmol/mol	Uses 14 bits ADC with DAQ range of 10 V

Table 2. Commercialized carbon dioxide sensors for field experiments.

Resistive sensor. (Type 1 sensor) Rs: resistance of the sensor in ambient air; Ro: is the resistance when not exposed to air. ADC: analog-to-digital converter; DAQ: data acquisition.

It was shown that the predicted values were lower compared to calibration. When an electrochemical sensor was used for the measurement of CO₂, the interference of ozone was encountered and was removed by linear/multi linear regression [23,24].

Carbon nanotube (CNT)-based sensors have been developed for carbon dioxide gas utilizing the principle of physicochemical adsorption of the gas by the carbon nanotubes. Two types of sensors have been developed based on this principle. One type is based on resistance change upon adsorption of the gas on the active material, and the other is dependent on the adsorption of the gas on the active material having effects on transistor properties such as the voltage or current in the field effect transistor [13] (Types 2 and 3 sensors). The adsorption of carbon dioxide gas produces an increase in resistance that is attributed to an increase in the energy barrier for electron movement, as shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9. Sensing mechanism for carbon dioxide molecule. Reproduced with permission from Reference [13]. CNT: carbon nanotube.

A number of reports directed towards measuring CO_2 using a chemoresistive method with CNTs have been reported in the literature [13,15]. Trans et al. [25] have fabricated a field effect transistor using carbon nanotubes (NTFET) whose sensitivity was examined by Star et al. [26–28]. A prototype sensor chip was packaged for measuring the carbon dioxide level using a computer. Figure 10 shows the response of the sensor for carbon dioxide in breath analysis. The reproducibility in the response to successive injections of the gas was established by these measurements. The NTFET board containing the field effect transistor [29,30] is shown in Figure 11. The reproducibility of the pulses shows the feasibility of its usage for successive measurements.



Figure 10. Field effect transistor using carbon nanotubes (NTFET) sensor fabricated for carbon dioxide. Reproduced with permission from Reference [28].

The sensor monitors the $%CO_2$ that can be detected by the NTFET, which can be used conveniently in several locations, such as in hospitals and on-site by paramedics. Being a noninvasive and disposable device, it can be used in the monitoring of greenhouse gas for about 6 h. The performance of the NTFET in measuring the concentration of CO_2 in the presence of moisture has also been carried out. It had a tolerance up to 80% RH (relative humidity). Sensors made with CNTs for gases generally have the following advantages: (a) room-temperature operation; (b) facile property adjustment; (c) high sensitivity and response time; (d) easy device fabrication; (e) low selectivity; and (f) long time instability [10,31–39].



Figure 11. (**A**) Functional test board (FTB) loaded with eight packaged die containing functionalized NTFET devices. (**B**) Mockup capnography device. Reproduced with permission from reference [28].

The low selectivity and long time instability have been an active area of investigation in the past decade, and several new composites of carbon nanotubes have been recommended for gas detection. Chen et al. [19] have reported that cleaning the carbon nanotubes with ultraviolet light resulted in a dramatically enhanced performance. The mechanism by which ultra violet light enhances the performance has not been explored in this work. Presumably it makes the surface active by the oxidation of amorphous carbon or defect centers in the nanotubes. A quantum mechanical investigation of carbon dioxide adsorption on S-functionalized boron nitride and aluminum nitride (AlN) nanotubes has shown it to be exothermic, opening the prospects of developing a thermal sensor for carbon dioxide [40]. The adsorption of carbon dioxide on AlN nanocages and nanosheets has also been investigated using density functional calculations [41,42], with emphasis on chirality.

Amine-functionalized TiO_2 has been used to capture the carbon dioxide from flue gases, and is amenable for the development of a gas sensor. The presence of moisture has been demonstrated to have a significant effect on adsorption/desorption processes [43].

A nano thin film functionalized chemiresistor sensor operating at room temperature (Type 1 sensor) has been reported for the detection of carbon dioxide in the range of 50–500 ppm [44]. The sensor had negligible interference from ammonia, carbon monoxide, methane, and nitrogen dioxide. The sensing of carbon dioxide using a wireless network [13,45–47] (Type 5 sensor) uses analyte-induced changes such as in mass, elasticity, or complex permittivity [48]. The diverse temperature requirements in this class of carbon network are shown in Figure 12.



Figure 12. The temperature of sensor operation in relative scale. Reproduced with permission from Reference [48].

A SAW (surface acoustic wave) sensor using random copolymer Teflon AF2400 (Figure 13) prepared from tetrafluoroethylene and 2,2-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4,5-difluoro-1,3-dioxole showed variations in carbon dioxide detection depending on the amount of water present. The phase shift changes with carbon dioxide level are shown in Figure 14, showing a phase shift of about 1.14° /ppm of CO₂.



Figure 13. The structure of Teflon AF2400.

1600





Figure 14. Phase shift observed as a function of carbon dioxide concentration at different water levels (RH: relative humidity). Reproduced with permission from Reference [48].

The permittivity and conductivity of multiwalled carbon nanotubes has been used for the detection of carbon dioxide [48]. The incorporation of a SiO₂ matrix along with the incorporation of a wireless inductor-capacitor resonator in the sensor showed a decrease in the effective permittivity (see Figure 15). The analyte induced changes in complex permittivity ($\epsilon r' - j \epsilon r''$), where $\epsilon r'$ and $\epsilon r''$ are the real and imaginary parts of the complex permittivity are measured in this approach. The imaginary permittivity of the sensing material is directly proportional to its conductivity. A hysteresis-free operation of the sensor is remarkable and advantageous for fast measurements.



Figure 15. Carbon dioxide sensor using a wireless transducer constructed with multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCNT)-SiO₂ film. Reproduced with permission from Reference [10].

The sensor operates through a dipole–dipole interaction mechanism as shown below (Figure 16A). The functionalized multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) have a partial negative charge to which the analyte is bridged through its partial positive charge end, as shown in the above illustration [10]. The optical sensing of carbon dioxide has been reported using different types of membranes [38]. As an offshoot of carbon nanotubes, the discovery of graphene by Novoselov and Geim in 2004 has opened up new sensors based on carbon [39]. In one approach, 3 μ L of a 1 mg/mL graphene oxide solution was spin-coated on silicon fingers of 3 μ m width. The graphene resistive sensor's response in the concentration range of 0–1500 ppm was measured [49–52]. Figure 16B provides the resistance response



Figure 16. (**A**) Dipole-dipole interaction mechanism. (**B**) Graphene resistive sensor. Reproduced with permission from reference [49]. rGO: reduced graphene oxide; GO: graphene oxide.

The initial resistance measurement of the sensor was carried out in nitrogen atmosphere (RN2). The change in resistance [46] of the sensor when carbon dioxide gas was injected (Rx) was used in the construction of the graph in Figure 16. Recently, a miniature resistive carbon dioxide sensor has been reported that operates in the concentration range of 50–50,000 ppm. A photoacoustic spectroscopic method has been developed for the simultaneous determination of carbon dioxide and methane gases [52] with high precision and a large dynamic range.

A new silicon substrate micro sensor has been developed [5] using a composite made of carbon nanotube and Baytron-P that senses carbon dioxide at 22 °C. The sensor was constructed with a Si chip by depositing the composite between two gold electrodes. Two identical Si chips were connected in a parallel configuration (Figure 17) to reduce the initial resistance of the sensor. The resistance of the sensor decreased proportionally upon exposure to the concentration of carbon dioxide.



Figure 17. Twin sensors in parallel configuration. (**A**) The arrangement of the sensors and the measurement details. (**B**) The equivalent circuit of the arrangement of the sensors (S1 and S2). (**C**) Sensor dimensions. Reproduced with permission from Reference [5].

The sensor showed a semiconducting behavior with a negative temperature coefficient (Type 1 sensor). The response time of the sensor was about 40 s. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy showed peaks for the nanocomposite at 1056 cm⁻¹, 1195 cm⁻¹, 1296 cm⁻¹, 1635 cm⁻¹, 2083 cm⁻¹, 2345 cm⁻¹, and 3278 cm⁻¹. The carbon dioxide adsorption on the composite resulted in the polystyrene sulfonate absorption band shifting from 1195 cm⁻¹ to 1176 cm⁻¹, suggesting a phase separation occurring in the nanocomposite that resulted in the increased conductivity.

Metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) films, nanowires, nanocage, powder, and microspheres have been investigated [53–69] for carbon dioxide sensing. The sensors developed using this approach operate at a temperature range of 200–700 °C and can detect concentrations in the range of 100–10,000 ppm with a response time falling in the range of 3 s to 9.5 h. The recovery times are also in the range 4–700 s. The performance of an MOS sensor depends on its morphology and composition. The results obtained here have shown that the grain size significantly influences the performance sensitivity (Types 2 and 3 sensors).

Poly (ionic liquid)-wrapped single-walled carbon nanotubes have been found to be sensitive for carbon dioxide detection at low concentrations of CO_2 (500 ppt) [67–72]. The chemiresistive dynamic response of the carbon nanotubes is shown in Figure 18 (Type 1 sensor), where the mechanism for sensing is based on the interaction between BF₄ anion and CO₂. The charge transfer interaction between BF₄⁻ and CO₂ is depicted in Figure 18E.



Figure 18. (**A**) shows the response of pristine single walled carbon nanotubes (**B**) Change in resistance with respect to CO₂ concentrations. (**C**) Successive injections of 1 ppm CO₂ to the sensor. (**D**) Response of the sensor to: CO₂ (10 ppm); CO₂ (10 ppm) + relative humidity (42%); 100 ppm H₂; 100 ppm CH₄; 50 ppm ethanol; 10 ppm O₂ and relative humidity (42%). (**E**) Sensor mechanism. Reproduced with permission from [67].

An impressive type 4 sensor for CO₂ has been reported using optical fiber coated with a metal oxide-zeolitic imidazole framework (ZIF)-8 MOF [69].

A high sensitivity for CO_2 with negligible response for gases such as H_2 , N_2 , O_2 , and CO has been reported. The percentage transmission of 242 nm radiation has been monitored as a function of CO_2 concentration, as shown in Figure 19b. The linear change in the transmission of radiation with concentration with very little interference from other gases provides reliability for usage in the field.

Table 3 gives a list of sensor materials and their responses.



Figure 19. (a) CO_2 sensor with metal oxide-zeolitic imidazole framework on the optical fiber. Reproduced with permission from Reference [69]. (b) Performance characteristics of CO_2 sensor giving percentage transmission and absorbance features. MOF: Metal organic framework. Reproduced with permission from Reference [69].

Material	Detection Method	Operating Temperature (°C)	Detection Concentration	Response Time	Reference
Carbon nanotubes	Resistance		0–2000 ppm	3 s	[13]
Gascard-NG	Current-Voltage		0–1000 µmol/mol	10 s	[23]
Germanium	IR sensor	30-70	10 ppm		[15,18]
PANI	Resistance	Room temperature	10 ² –10 ⁵ ppm		[18,19]
Graphene		22-60	0–100 ppm		[50]
_	Devise				
Graphene	Conductance		10–100 ppm	10 s	[50]
	Resistance				
LaOC1/SnO ₂	Resistance	350-450	0–4000 ppm		[53]
La/SnO ₂	Resistance	>100	500–2500 ppm		[53]
CNT-polyionic liquids	Resistance		500 ppt		[53,60]
Graphene	Resistance	Room temperature	0–1500 ppm		[61]
Polypyrrole	Resistance	60	100–700 ppm		[62]
1-Ethyl-3-methyl-imidazolium Tetrafuloroborate ([EMIM][BF4]) as ionic liquid and polyvinylidene fluoridecohexafluoro propylene (PVDF-HFP) as polymer.	Impedance change	Ambient temperature	0–3000 ppm	50 min	[63]
Graphene oxide	Resistance		0–2000 ppm	3 s	[64]
Pristine graphine/UV light			200 ppt		[65]
Flexible graphene	Resistance	Variable	Low to high		[66]
$ZnSb_2O_6$	Resistance	400 °C	100-400		[68]

Table 3. Carbon dioxide sensing materials.

Solid-State Electrochemical Sensor

There have been attempts to develop a solid-sate electrochemical sensor for carbon dioxide [71]. Mason et al. [71] developed solid-state reference measuring electrodes and a beta alumina electrolyte substrate with a provision for heating, and made measurements at a temperature of 530 °C. At this temperature, the carbon dioxide sensing was found to be selective from other gases. A novel electrochemical sensor using a ceramic-type component of Na- β/β'' alumina and a reference electrode of glass sealed elemental Na has been developed for measuring CO₂ gas in the temperature range of 300 to 600 °C [72] with a response time of seconds to minutes.

3. NO_x Gas Sensors

Faraday rotation spectroscopy has been proposed for NO₂ determination (Type 4 sensor) [67–73]. It uses a widely tunable external cavity quantum cascade laser (EC-QCL) and operates mode-hop free between 1600 cm⁻¹ to 1650 cm⁻¹ and allows Q-branch transition of NO₂ at 1613.2 cm⁻¹. A detection limit of 95 ppt has been reported. Meyyappan et al. [73] used a simple casting of single-walled carbon nanotubes on an interdigitated electrode for the detection of NO₂ ranging from sub-ppm to 44 ppb. The response time of the sensor was on the order of seconds.

Among the sensors reported in the literature, there are a few sensors reaching the lowest detection limits of 0.01–0.5 ppb (Table 4). The ZnO [74–76], In_2O_3 [77], and WO_3 [78,79] sensors showing variable response times fall into this category of low detection limits. A sensor developed with reduced graphene oxide–Cu₂O nanowire interestingly reached the lowest detection concentration of 0.064 ppm [79–84].

MOS	Operating Temperature (°C)	Response Time (s)	Detection Concentration ppm	Reference
ZnO	RT	240	0.01	[74]
ZnO	200	60	0.1–1	[75]
In ₂ O ₃	250	600	0.1-100	[77]
WO_3	300	80-300	0.5	[78]
WO ₃	300		0.5–2.5	[79]
SnO_2	300		0.5	[85]
WO_3	350	180	1	[86]

Table 4. Several metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) materials have been examined for NOx detection.

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is released into the atmosphere from chemical plants producing nitric acid and polymers (https://www.eia.gov/environment/emissions/ghg_report/ghg_nitrous.cfm). N₂O is a colorless toxic pollutant gas with a slightly sweet odor. It is widely used as an anesthetic and analgesic agent in the clinical field, and also as a propellant for pressurized containers in the food industry. It is neither flammable nor explosive. One molecule of N₂O has the same greenhouse warming power as 300 molecules of carbon dioxide. Two-thirds of anthropogenic N₂O emissions arise from agricultural soils [86], where N₂O is formed as part of the bacterial denitrification pathway, in which soil and marine bacteria use oxidized nitrogen compounds as terminal electron acceptors for anaerobic respiration [87]. Once that N₂O molecule reaches the upper atmosphere, it can stay there for more than 100 years before getting destroyed naturally. Even though nitrous oxide is a moderately undisruptive substance unlike pollutants known as NOx, it has recently been reported to participate in the depletion of the ozone layer in the stratosphere (https://www.eia.gov/environment/emissions/ghg_report/ghg_nitrous.cfm). So, it is crucial to control and convert N₂O to a harmless gas by catalytic surface reactions.

Yoosefian [88] performed density functional studies on the adsorption behavior of nitrous oxide (N₂O) onto intrinsic carbon nanotube (CNT) and Pd-doped (5,5) single-walled carbon nanotube (Pd-CNT). Pd dopant facilitates the adsorption of N₂O on the otherwise inert nanotube, as observed from the adsorption energies and global reactivity descriptor values. The adsorption energy of N₂O on CNT was investigated in three orientations: vertical (VC) and horizontal (HC) to the nanotube

axis, and the nitrogen atom toward the C–C bond (NC). The full optimized structures are indicated in Figure 20.



Figure 20. The optimized structures of adsorbed N₂O from three orientations on intrinsic CNT. (**A**) Vertical (VC) to the nanotube axis; (**B**) Horizontal (HC) to the nanotube axis; and (**C**) The nitrogen atom toward the C–C bond (NC). Reproduced with permission from Reference [88].

The adsorption of N₂O changes the electronic conductivity of Pd-CNT, which is attractive for developing the sensor. Recently, heterojunction sensors have been constructed with SnO_2/SnS_2 , operating at 80 °C (Type 1), sensitive to NO₂ concentrations in the range of 1–8 ppm [89–96]. The response of the sensor to other analytes is shown in Figure 21.



Figure 21. Response of heterojunction sensor to different analytes. Hydrogen (H₂), acetylene (C_2H_2), ethanol (C_2H_5OH), carbon dioxide (CO_2), Nitrogen doxide (NO_2). Reproduced with permission from reference [89].

Table 5 gives the relative performances of different sensors for NO₂.

Sensor Material	Operating Temperature	Concentration ppm	Response, S (%)	Response/Recovery Time (s)	Reference
SnO ₂ nanofibers	200 °C	1–5	97%/5 ppm	85/110	[94]
SnO ₂ core/ZnO shell	RT, UV assisted	1-5	600%/5 ppm	100/220	[95]
Reduced graphene oxide-ZnO	80 °C	1-25	25%/5 ppm	164/499	[96]
Graphene-WO ₃	250 °C	1-20	140%/5 ppm	45/50	[97]
CNT thin film	165 °C	10-100	71%/100 ppb	>30 min	[98]
ZnO nano structure	RT	5-20	1100%/5 ppm	72/69	[99]

Table 5. The NO₂ sensors fabricated with different materials.

In comparison to these sensors, several N_2O gas sensors have been developed using metal oxides. Table 6 gives the performance of N_2O sensors (Type 1). These oxide sensors operate at high temperatures in the region of 300–600 °C.

Oxide	Resistance in Air, R_a (Ω)	Sensitivity to 300 ppm N_2O	Temperature (°C)
Cr ₂ O ₃	$3.5 imes 10^5$	0.98	400
Mn_2O_3	$2.8 imes 10^5$	1.00	400
CO_3O_4	$1.0 imes10^4$	0.98	400
NiO	$2.8 imes10^5$	1.00	450
CuO	$2.2 imes10^6$	0.98	300
CdO	$5.3 imes10^9$	1.03	400
MgO	$2.4 imes10^{10}$	1.00	500
SrO	$5.6 imes10^9$	1.02	400
BaO	$2.2 imes10^{10}$	1.02	500
In_2O_3	$3.6 imes10^4$	1.05	450
SnO_2	$5.8 imes10^5$	1.66	450
WO ₃	$8.5 imes10^5$	1.32	450
ZnO	$2.8 imes10^6$	1.21	450
TiO ₂	$4.9 imes10^7$	1.01	600
V_2O_3	$8.7 imes10^5$	1.00	400
Fe ₂ O ₃	$3.9 imes10^7$	1.00	500
GeO ₂	$1.2 imes 10^8$	1.00	400
Nb_2O_5	$9.6 imes10^8$	1.00	600
MoO ₃	3.1×10^{9}	1.00	500
Ta_2O_5	$2.7 imes10^{10}$	1.00	600
La_2O_3	$1.4 imes10^9$	1.10	600
CeO ₂	$3.9 imes10^9$	1.01	600
Nd_2O_3	$5.3 imes10^9$	1.00	500

Table 6. Metal oxide sensors for N_2O .

Reproduced with permission from Reference [9]; R_g represents the resistance of the sensor in N₂O. Sensitivity = (R_g/R_a) .

4. Methane Sensors

A number of detectors [97–105] have been developed in the last couple of decades for the detection of methane, mainly to detect the leakage of natural gas as the combination of methane and air constitutes an explosive mixture. These detectors are based on optical fiber sensing [98–100], resistive change [98–103] using graphene–polyaniline composite, cataluminescence [104], and refractive index [105,106]. The lower detection limit that can be reached with these methods is about 10 ppm [98–100]. A theoretical calculation of methane adsorption on graphene has been investigated, and it shows an opening of the band structure of graphene upon adsorption [106]. It predicts that the adsorption energy of defected graphene is increased with the number of layers. A low-cost sensor has been developed [107] using a metal oxide that has suitability for detecting methane leaks. The detection of the sensor is in the range of 0.8–2.7 ppm. It is ideally suited for the environmental analysis of methane. Humayun et al. [108,109] fabricated a highly sensitive, low-cost, and energy-efficient distributed methane (CH₄) sensor system (DMSS) comprising a metal oxide nanocrystals (MONC)-functionalized MWCNT-based CH₄ chemiresistor sensor (Type 1 sensor). The sensor could sense below 10 ppm of CH₄ in dry air at room temperature. They developed a Gaussian plume triangulation algorithm for the DMSS by which if a geometric model of the surrounding environment is given, then the algorithm can precisely detect and localize a CH₄ leak as well as estimate its mass emission rate. To facilitate faster leak detection, a control algorithm based on the UV-accelerated recovery was developed.

A methane chemiresistive sensor was fabricated using multiwalled carbon nanotubes/metal oxide nano particles that showed a detection limit of 10 ppm. The sensor response to methane and water vapor was measured in this work, showing that the sensor was inactive to water. A modulation spectroscopy sensor (Type 4) using a multi-pass cell with a detection sensitivity of 1.2 ppb has recently been reported for methane [109,110]. Using this sensor, the concentration of methane in ambient air was determined to be about 2.01 ppm. With this concentration of methane in the atmosphere referring to Figure 3, the percentage error in CO₂ measurement will be about 4% unless the CO₂ selected sensor is not influenced by methane gas.

5. Modern Technology Using 3D and Ink Jet Printing of Gas Sensors

With rapid developments in finding active materials suitable for greenhouse gas sensors, there is need for consideration of the cost of making these devices. In this context, the 3D-printing of the active material would be of interest. High-quality 3D-printed desk-top devices have been produced at a low cost without conventional micromechanical systems. Taylor and Velásquez-García [111] have reported a novel electrospray printed nanostructured graphene oxide for gas detection. A number of gases were examined in this work with a variety of detection limits. For CO₂, the detection limit was set at 1000 ppm. The preliminary reports opened up opportunities to modify the spray printing for extension to lower detection limits. Rieu et al. [112] developed an ink jet-printed SnO₂ gas sensor on a plastic substrate. Both the gas-sensitive layer and the heating transducer were ink jet-printed. This method of making the sensor compares well with sol-gel tin oxide film. The following detection limits have been reported for CO and NO_2 (Table 7) The limit of detection fell far above the other methods discussed in the earlier sections. Furthermore, the operating temperature of the sensor was in the range of 200–300 °C (Table 7). Sulfonated graphene was used for detecting NO₂ at room temperature by Liua et al. [113]. Sulfonated graphene and SnO_2 particles are combined to form the active material of the sensor. The process involves the direct deposition of SnO₂ nanoparticles on reduced graphene oxide. A high performance and a good sensitivity were achieved by this active material. A detection limit of 1 ppm of NO₂ was reached with this sensor. The room-temperature detection using sulfonated graphene opens up opportunities for developing other greenhouse gas sensors. A screen-printed piezoelectric microcantilever (Type 6 sensor) has been used to detect and determine NO₂ and CO gases using oxygen plasma-treated multiwalled carbon nanotubes as the sensitive layer [114]. Figure 22 shows the response of the cantilever for the two gases, with both positive and negative shifts in resonant frequency, attributed to stress and mass effects at different analyte concentrations.

Table 7. Limits of detection of CO and NO₂ using the SnO₂ ink jet-printed sensors.

Gas	Detection Level (ppm)				
CO (ppm)	0.5 (300 °C, dry air)	24 (300 °C, wet air)	0.4 (200 °C, dry air)	46 (200 °C, wet air)	
NO ₂ (ppm)	6 (300 °C, dry air)	9 (300 °C, wet air)	1 (200 °C, dry air)	6 (200 $^\circ$ C, wet air)	



Figure 22. Screen-printed cantilever with multiwalled carbon nanotube functionalized by oxygen-argon plasma as sensitive material. Reproduced with permission from Reference [114].

The sensor's response to NO₂ was remarkable at ppb levels. A new generation of sensors for greenhouse gases utilizing 3D printing and ink jet printing is to be expected in the future, and are being researched in the literature [114–119]. Although some of the analytes described in this section do not fall into the class of greenhouse gases, they provide the pathway for the development of new greenhouse gas sensors through ink jet printing. With this technology, the sensors will have high reproducibility, sensitivity, and low cost. A 3D-printed CO₂ sensor was constructed with a double layer of PEDOT/PSS (poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)/polystyrene sulfonate) and graphene on interdigitated electrodes that was made by inkjet printing using conductive silver nanoparticles. The sensor works on the principle illustrated for type 1 and has sensitivity of 45 μ ohm/ppm [117]. Figure 23 shows the different layers in the sensor and the interdigitated fingers.



Figure 23. (a) Device dimensions: 1.8 cm by 1 cm with a thickness of about 140 μ m. IDT fingers: width (200 μ m), length (6 mm), thickness (200 nm), track spacing (350 μ m); (b) Schematic of the sensor structure PET: Polyethylene terephthalate. Reproduced with permission from Reference [117].



The sensor's responses at two different temperatures are given in Figure 24.

Figure 24. An inkjet printed sensor response to CO_2 gas at two different temperatures. Reproduced with permission from reference [117].

3D inkjet printing has provided an economical manufacturing technique for the CO₂ sensor [118]. It uses a colloidal dispersion in the printing ink and is composed of $La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}MnO_3$ (LSM)(Y₂O₃)_{0.08}(ZrO₂)_{0.92}(YSZ). It uses an electrochemical cell Ni-YSZ | YSZ | YSZ - LSM | LSM, with YSZ (yittria stabilized zirconia) as electrolyte sintered to a Ni-yittria stabilized zirconia (Ni-YSZ). The carbon dioxide reaction in the electrochemical fuel cell is

$$\mathrm{CO}_2 + 2\mathrm{e}^- \to \mathrm{CO} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{O}_2. \tag{2}$$

The inkjet 3D printing performance is dependent on the formation and ejection of droplets. Its propagation depends on acoustic pressure waves in the fluid held in the chamber behind the printing nozzle and in the YSZ-LSM LSM ($(La_{1-x}Sr_x)_{1-y}MnO_3$) sensor. The droplets time interval is shown in Figure 25. The colloidal LSM dispersions are stabilized using electrostatic dispersants which are commercially available from different manufacturers, as shown in Table 8.



Figure 25. (a) YSZ (Yttria-stabilized Zirconia) ink and (b) Butyl alcohol-based YSZ-LSM $((La_{1-x}Sr_x)_{1-y}MnO_3 \text{ ink droplets from a single nozzle, with time intervals of 10 µs and 5 µs, respectively. The nozzle number ("3") of the printhead is shown in (b). Reproduced with permission from Reference [118].$

Dispersant	Trade Name	Supplier
Aurintricarboxylic acid ammonium salt	Aluminon	Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MI, USA
Ammonium citrate Sodium sulfonate	Trion	Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MI, USA Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MI, USA
Ammonium polyacrylate	Dispex-A40	BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany
Polymethylmethacrylate	Darvan-C	Vanderbilt Minerals, Saint Lawrence County, NY, USA
Ammonium polyacrylate	Dispex-A40	BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany

Table 8. Electrostatic Dispersants for Inkjet printing.

The performance of the fuel cell is linked to the concentration of CO_2 , and it is in the initial stages of development. As discussed in the previous section on carbon dioxide, a pH electrode can be used as a CO_2 sensor. A large-scale layer-by-layer ink jet printing of flexible iridium oxide for a hydrogen ion sensor (Figure 26) has been reported [119]. The sensor's active material is iridium oxide, which interacts with the analyte.



Figure 26. Deposition of positively charged poly(diallydimethylammonium chloride) (PDDA, 20% wt. in water, medium molecular weight MW 200,000–350,000) and positively charged particles by inkjet printing. ITO: indium tin oxide glass; IrOx: iridium oxide; PET: polyethylene terepthalate. The feedback arrow indicates layer-by-layer deposition. Reproduced with permission from Reference [119].

A flexible tin oxide gas sensor was developed by an inkjet printing process. Here the active material was tin oxide, printed on gold deposited in a digitated electrode spacing of 500 and 200 μ m. Carbon monoxide was the analyte, and the measurements were done at 300 °C in the concentration range of 20–200 ppm [120].

A type 3 sensor for CO_2 was recently been reported [121] using a sodium ion conducting solid electrolyte having a floating gate passivated by an insulator stack and a control gate made of an interdigitated field gate (Figure 27). The sensing material, (3-aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES), was added by inkjet printing. The sensor operated at 160 °C in the concentration range of 125–325 ppm.



Figure 27. Type 3 inkjet-printed sensor for CO_2 operating at 160 °C. I_D: drain current. Responds to CO_2 concentration. V_D and V_{CG} are drain and gate voltages. Reproduced with permission from Reference [121].

Inkjet printing technology has very high commercial value, and the developments have been rapidly pursued for long-term testing. Inkjet-printed graphene sensors (Type 1) for nitrogen oxide gas and inkjet printed platinum decorated tungsten oxide nanoparticles on silicon substrate for gas sensing (Type 1) have been reported [122,123]. The methodologies reported in these publications are interesting, and may be extendable to greenhouse gases in the future [124–126].

6. Outlook and Conclusions

The recent reports of monitoring greenhouse effect gases have conclusively shown that CO₂ levels in the atmosphere have been steadily increasing. The UN reports have consistently been promoting the use of renewable energies for controlling the atmospheric conditions. The atmospheric conditions need to be steadily monitored, and for this purpose we need to have reliable measurements of the greenhouse effect gases. The acquisition of the data requires the development of cheap, robust, and accurate sensors. Although the area of sensors has been growing rapidly, sensors directed towards the greenhouse effect gases using nano materials have been recent. This survey of the greenhouse effect gas sensors suggests that there has been active interest in developing gas sensing technologies. The first part of the paper projects the progressive increase in the concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and its consequences. The second part discusses the developments in the areas of carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxide, and methane sensors using carbon nanotubes and polymer composites. A few reports on using graphene, which is a material having large surface area relative to carbon nanotubes, with high electrical and thermal conductivities, opens opportunities for developing novel greenhouse gas sensors. The current trend is to use 3D printing or ink jet printing in making the sensor devices. This survey of greenhouse gas sensors shows that so far the developments have been based on discovering new active materials and examining their characteristics limited to either pure gases with limited interferences. There are very few sensors that would respond to only one greenhouse gas. This short review is to focus on the need to have reliable sensors for greenhouse gases in every city or town for a periodical review of their concentrations.

Author Contributions: K.S.V.S was the originator of this manuscript for presentation at the World Climatic Change in Italy and was involved in conceptualization, preparation, methodology and outlining of the original draft. K.S.V.S and N.N.N.A were involved in resources, preparation and analysis. All authors approved the final version of the paper.

Funding: K.S.V.S thanks the National Science Foundation, USA for financial support.

Acknowledgments: This paper was presented at the World conference on Climatic Changes in Rome (Italy) during 19–21 October 2017. One of the authors (K.S.V.S.) thanks the National Science Foundation for financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Cozzi, L. *Energy and Air Pollution, World Energy Outlook Special Report OECD/IEA*; International Energy Agency: Paris, France, 2016; pp. 17–56.
- 2. Cole, S.; Ellen, E. *New NASA Satellite Maps Show Human Fingerprint on Global Air Quality;* National Aeronautical Space Administration NASA: Washington, DC, USA, 2015.
- 3. Stanley, K.M.; Grant, A.; O'Doherty, S.; Young, D.; Manning, A.J.; Stavert, A.R.; Spain, T.G.; Salameh, P.K.; Harth, C.M.; Simmonds, P.G.; et al. Greenhouse gas measurements from a UK network of tall towers: Technical description and first results. *Atmos. Meas. Technol.* **2018**, *11*, 1437–1458. [CrossRef]
- 4. Santhanam, K.S.V.; Press, R.; Miri, M.; Bailey, A.; Takacs, G.A. *Introduction to Hydrogen Technology*, 2nd ed.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2017.
- 5. Onley, D.; Fuller, L.; Santhanam, K.S.V. A greenhouse gas silicon microchip sensor using a conducting composite with single walled carbon nanotubes. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *191*, 545–552.
- 6. Charnay, B.; Le Hir, G.; Fluteau, F.; Forget, F.; Catling, D.C. A warm or a cold early Earth? New insights from a 3-D climate-carbon model. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **2017**, 474, 97–109. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, X.; Lee, S.; Xu, Z.; Yoon, Y. Recent Progress on the Development of Chemosensors for Gases. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, 115, 7944–8000. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Von Schneidemesser, E.; Monks, P.S.; Allan, J.D.; Bruhwiler, L.; Forster, P.; Fowler, D.; Lauer, A.; Morgan, W.T.; Paasonen, P.; Righi, M.; et al. Chemistry and the Linkages between Air Quality and Climate Change. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 3856–3897. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Oertel, C.; Matschullat, J.; Zurbaa, K.; Zimmermanna, F.; Erasmi, S. Greenhouse gas emissions from soils—A review. *Chem. Erde* 2016, *76*, 327–352. [CrossRef]
- Ong, K.G.; Grimes, C.A. A Carbon Nanotube-based Sensor for CO₂ Monitoring. *Sensors* 2001, 1, 193–205. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mao, S.; Lu, G.; Chen, J. Nanocarbon-based gas sensors: Progress and challenges. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, 2, 5573–5579. [CrossRef]
- 12. Wu, J.; Tao, K.; Zhang, J.; Guo, Y.; Miao, J.; Norford, L. Chemically functionalized 3D graphene hydrogel for high performance gas sensing. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 8130–8140. [CrossRef]
- 13. Mittal, M.; Kumar, A. Carbon nanotube (CNT) gas sensors for emissions from fossil fuel burning. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, 203, 349–362. [CrossRef]
- 14. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical hazards, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2005-149; September 2007. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/ (accessed on 14 August 2018).
- Mayrwögera, J.; Reichlb, W.; Krutzlerc, C.; Jakobya, B. Measuring CO₂ concentration with a Fabry-Perot based bolometer using a glass plate as simple infrared filter. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2012**, 170, 143–147. [CrossRef]
- 16. Llobet, E. Gas sensors using carbon nanomaterials: A review. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *179*, 32–45. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zanolli, Z.; Leghrib, R.; Felten, A.; Pireaux, J.J.; Llobet, E.; Charlier, J.C. Gas Sensing with Au-Decorated Carbon Nanotubes. *ACS Nano* 2011, *5*, 4592–4599. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Irimia-Vladu, M.; Fergus, J.W. Suitability of emeraldine base polyaniline-PVA composite film for carbon dioxide sensing. *Synth. Met.* **2006**, *156*, 1401–1407. [CrossRef]

- 19. Chen, T.; Wei, L.; Zhou, Z.; Shi, D.; Wang, J.; Zhao, J.; Yu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y. Highly enhanced gas sensing in single-walled carbon nanotube-based thin-film transistor sensors by ultraviolet light irradiation. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2012**, *7*, 644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Chen, X.; Wong, C.K.Y.; Yuan, C.A.; Zhang, G. Impact of the functional group on the working range of polyaniline as carbon dioxide sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2012**, 175, 15–21. [CrossRef]
- 21. Grosch, A.; Wackerbarth, H.; Thiele, O.; Bergc, T.; Beckmann, L. Infrared spectroscopic concentration measurements of carbon dioxide and gaseous water in harsh environments with a fiber optical sensor by using the HITEMP database. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **2014**, *133*, 106–116. [CrossRef]
- 22. Masson, N.; Piedrahita, R.; Hannigan, M. Approach for quantification of metal oxide type semiconductor gas sensors used for ambient air quality monitoring. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, *208*, 339–345. [CrossRef]
- 23. Spinelle, S.; Gerboles, M.; Villani, M.G.; Aleixandre, M.; Bonavitacola, F. Field calibration of a cluster of low-cost commercially available sensors for air quality monitoring. Part B: NO, CO and CO₂. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *238*, 706–715. [CrossRef]
- 24. Spinelle, L.; Gerboles, M.; Villani, M.G.; Aleixandre, M.; Bonavitacola, F. Field calibration of a cluster of low-cost available sensors for air quality monitoring. Part A: Ozone and nitrogen dioxide. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, 215, 249–257. [CrossRef]
- 25. Tans, S.J.; Verschueren, A.R.M.; Dekker, C. Room-temperature transistor based on a single carbon nanotube. *Nature* **1998**, *393*, 49–55. [CrossRef]
- 26. Star, A.; Han, T.R.; Gabriel, J.C.P.; Bradley, K.; Gruner, G. Interaction of Aromatic Compounds with Carbon Nanotubes: Correlation to the Hammett Parameter of the Substituent and Measured Carbon Nanotube FET Response. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 1421–1423. [CrossRef]
- 27. Star, A.; Gabriel, J.-C.P.; Bradley, K.; Grüner, G. Electronic Detection of Specific Protein Binding Using Nanotube FET Devices. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 459–463. [CrossRef]
- 28. Star, A.; Joshi, V.; Thomas, D.; Niemann, J.; Gabriel, J.C.P.; Valcke, C. *Nanoelectronic CO*₂ *Breath Sensors*; Nano Science and Technology Institute: Bangalore, India, 2005; Volume 1, ISBN 0-9767985-0-6. Available online: www.nsti.org (accessed on 14 August 2018).
- 29. Bard, A.J.; Faulkner, L.R. Electrochemical Methods; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2000.
- Star, A.; Han, T.R.; Joshi, V.; Gabriel, J.C.P.; Gruner, G. Nanoelectronic Carbon Dioxide Sensors. *Adv. Mater.* 2004, 16, 2049–2052. [CrossRef]
- 31. Bai, H.; Shi, G. Gas Sensors Based on Conducting Polymers. Sensors 2007, 7, 267–307. [CrossRef]
- 32. Biaggi-Labiosa, A.; Sola, F.; Lebron-Colon, M.; Evans, L.J.; Xu, J.C.; Hunter, G.W.; Berger, G.M.; Gonzalez, J.M. A novel methane sensor based on porous SnO₂ nanorods: room temperature to high temperature detection. *Nanotechnology* 2012, 23, 455504. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Firouzi, A.; Sobri, S.; Yasin, F.M.; Ahmadun, F. Fabrication of Gas Sensors based on Carbon Nanotube for CH₄ and CO₂ Detection. *IPCBEE* **2011**, *2*, 166.
- 34. Wang, Y.; Chyu, M.K.; Wang, Q. Passive wireless surface acoustic wave CO₂ sensor with carbon nanotube nanocomposite as an interface layer. *Sens. Actuators A Phys.* **2014**, 220, 44. [CrossRef]
- 35. Elhaes, H.; Fakhry, A.; Ibrahim, M. Carbon Nano materials as gas sensors. *Mater. Today* **2016**, *3*, 2490. [CrossRef]
- 36. Zaporotskova, I.V.; Boroznina, N.P.; Parkhomenko, Y.N.; Kozhitov, L.V. Carbon nanotubes: Sensor properties. A review. *Mod. Electron. Mater.* **2016**, *2*, 95–105. [CrossRef]
- Leghrib, R.; Dufour, T.; Demoisson, F.; Claessens, N.; Reniers, F.; Llobet, E. Gas sensing properties of multiwall carbon nanotubes decorated with rhodium nanoparticles. *Sens. Actuator B Chem.* 2011, 160, 974–980. [CrossRef]
- 38. Aguayo-López, M.L.; Capitán-Vallvey, L.F.; Fernández-Ramos, M.D. Optical sensor for carbon dioxide gas determination, characterization and improvements. *Talanta* **2014**, *126*, 196–201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Geim, A.K.; Novoselov, K.S. The rise of graphene. Nat. Mater. 2007, 6, 183–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Najaf, M. Adsorption of carbon dioxide (CO₂) at S functionalized boron nitride (BN) and aluminum nitride (AlN) nanotubes (9, 0): A quantum chemical investigation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *384*, 380–385. [CrossRef]
- 41. Soltani, A.; Taghartapeh, M.R.; Ramezani, M.; Lemeski, E.T.; Abroudi, M.; Mighani, H. A theoretical study of the adsorption behavior of N₂O on single-walled AlN and AlP nanotubes. *Superlattices Microstruct.* **2013**, *58*, 178–190. [CrossRef]

- 42. Esrafili, D.M.; Nurazar, N.R.; Nematollahi, P. Ambient carbon dioxide capture by different dimensional AlN nanostructures: A comparative DFT study. *Superlattices Microstruct.* **2016**, *96*, 170. [CrossRef]
- 43. Songa, F.; Zhaoa, Y.; Caob, Y.; Dinga, J.; Bua, Y.; Zhonga, Q. Capture of carbon dioxide from flue gases by amine-functionalized TiO₂ nanotubes. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2013**, *268*, 124–128. [CrossRef]
- 44. Srinives, S.; Sarkar, T.; Hernandez, R.; Mulchandani, A. A miniature chemiresistor sensor for carbon dioxide. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2015**, *874*, 54–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Mitrokotsa, A.; Douligeris, C. Auerbach Publications; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2009; pp. 511–515.
- Sayago, I.; Fernández, M.J.; Fontecha, J.L.; Horrillo, M.C.; Vera, C.; Bustero, I.O. New sensitive layers for surface acoustic wave gas sensors based on polymer and carbon nanotube composites. *Procedia Eng.* 2011, 25, 256–259. [CrossRef]
- 47. Wang, W.; Lee, K.; Kim, T.; Park, I.; Yang, S. A novel wireless, passive CO₂ sensor incorporating a surface acoustic wave reflective delay line. *Smart Mater. Struct.* **2007**, *16*, 1382. [CrossRef]
- 48. Potyrailo, A.R.; Surman, C.; Nagraj, N.; Burns, A. Materials and Transducers toward Selective Wireless Gas Sensing. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 7315–7354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hafiza, S.M.; Ritikosa, R.; Whitchera, T.J.; Razibb, M.D.N.; Bienb, D.C.S.; Nakajimac, H.; Saisopac, T.; Songsiriritthigul, P.; Huanga, N.M.; Rahman, S.A. A practical carbon dioxide gas sensor using room-temperature hydrogen plasma reduced graphene oxide. *Sens. Actuator B Chem.* 2014, 193, 692–700. [CrossRef]
- 50. Yoon, H.J.; Jun, D.H.; Yang, J.H.; Zhou, Z.; Yang, S.S.; Cheng, M.M. Carbon dioxide gas sensor using a graphene sheet. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2011**, *157*, 312. [CrossRef]
- 51. Protich, Z.; Wong, P.; Santhanam, K.S.V. Composite of Zinc Using Graphene Quantum Dot Bath: A Prospective Material for Energy Storage. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *4*, 6177–6185. [CrossRef]
- 52. Knobelspiesa, S.; Bierera, B.; Pereza, A.O.; Wöllensteina, J.; Kneera, J.; Palzera, S. Low-cost gas sensing system for the reliable and precise measurement of methane, carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide in natural gas and biomethane. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *236*, 885–892. [CrossRef]
- Trung, D.D.; Toan, L.D.; Hong, H.S.; Lam, T.D.; Trung, T.; Hieu, N.V. Selective detection of carbon dioxide using LaOCl-functionalized SnO₂ nanowires for air-quality monitoring. *Talanta* 2012, *88*, 152–159. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Herrán, J.; Mandayo, G.G.; Ayerdi, I.; Castaño, E. On the structural characterization of BaTiO₃–CuO as CO₂ sensing material. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2008**, *133*, 315–320. [CrossRef]
- 55. Herrán, J.; Mandayo, G.G.; Castaño, E. Proceedings of VI International Workshop on Semiconductor Gas Sensors—SGS 2008. *Thin Solid Films* **2009**, *517*, 6192–6197.
- Chapelle, A.; Oudrhiri-Hassani, F.; Presmanes, L.; Barnabé, A.; Tailhades, P. CO₂ sensing properties of semiconducting copper oxide and spinel ferrite nanocomposite thin film. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2010, 256, 4715–4719. [CrossRef]
- 57. Fan, K.; Qin, H.; Wang, L.; Ju, L.; Hu, J. CO₂ gas sensors based on La_{1-x}Sr_xFeO₃ nanocrystalline powders. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, 177, 265–269. [CrossRef]
- 58. Michel, C.R.; López-Contreras, N.L.; Martínez-Preciado, A.H. Gas sensing properties of Gd₂O₃ microspheres prepared in aqueous media containing pectin. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, 177, 390–396. [CrossRef]
- 59. Jinesh, K.B.; Dam, V.A.T.; Swerts, J.; de Nooijer, C.; van Elshocht, S.; Brongersma, S.H.; Crego-Calama, M. Room-temperature CO₂ sensing using metal–insulator–semiconductor capacitors comprising atomic-layer-deposited La₂O₃ thin films. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2011**, *156*, 276–282. [CrossRef]
- Michel, C.R.; Contreras, N.L.L.; López-Alvarez, M.A.; Martínez-Preciado, A.H.A. Gas selectivity of nanostructured ZnSb₂O₆ synthesized by a colloidal method. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2012**, 171, 686–690. [CrossRef]
- 61. Comini, E. Metal oxide nanowire chemical sensors: innovation and quality of life. *Mater. Today* **2013**, 179, 32–45. [CrossRef]
- 62. Waghuley, S.A.; Yenorkar, S.M.; Yawale, S.S.; Yawale, S.P. Application of chemically synthesized conducting polymer-polypyrrole as a carbon dioxide gas sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2008**, *128*, 366–373. [CrossRef]
- 63. Ishizu, K.; Takei, Y.; Honda, M.; Noda, K.; Inaba, A.; Itoh, T.; Maeda, R.; Matsumoto, K.; Shimoyama, I. Carbon dioxide gas sensor with ionic gel. In Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Solid-State Sensors, Actuators and Microsystems, Barcelona, Spain, 16–20 June 2013; pp. 1633–1635.

- 64. Smith, A.D.; Elgammal, K.; Fan, X.; Lemme, M.C.; Delin, A.; Rasander, M.; Bergqvist, L.; Schroder, S.; Fischer, A.C.; Niklaus, F.; et al. Graphene-based CO₂ sensing and its cross-sensitivity with humidity. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 22329–22339. [CrossRef]
- Chen, G.; Paronyan, T.M.; Harutyunyan, A.R. Sub-ppt gas detection with pristine grapheme. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2012, 101, 053119. [CrossRef]
- 66. Singh, E.; Meyyappan, M.; Nalwa, H.S. Flexible Graphene-Based Wearable Gas and Chemical Sensors. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 34544–34586. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Li, Y.; Li, G.; Wang, X.; Zhu, Z.; Ma, H.; Zhang, T.; Jin, J. Poly(ionic liquid)-wrapped single-walled carbon nanotubes for sub-ppb detection of CO₂. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 8222–8224. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Michel, C.R.; Martínez-Preciado, A.H.; Contreras, N.L.L. Gas sensing properties of Nd₂O₃ nanostructured microspheres. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *184*, 8–14. [CrossRef]
- Kim, K.J.; Lu, P.; Culp, J.T.; Ohodnicki, P.R. Metal-Organic Framework Thin Film Coated Optical Fiber Sensors: A Novel Waveguide-Based Chemical Sensing Platform. ACS Sens. 2018, 3, 386–394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Liu, K.; Lewicki, R.; Tittel, K.F. Development of mid-infrared nitrogen dioxide sensor based on Faraday rotation spectroscopy. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, 237, 887–893. [CrossRef]
- 71. Mason, L.W.; Oh, S.; Joseph, J.P. Development and testing of a solid-state CO₂ gas sensor for use in reduced-pressure environments. *Sens. Actuators* **1995**, 24–25, 407–411. [CrossRef]
- 72. Schwandt, C.; VasantKumar, R.; Hills, M.P. Solid state electrochemical gas sensor for the quantitative determination of carbon dioxide. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, 265, 27–34. [CrossRef]
- 73. Li, J.; Lu, Y.; Ye, Q.; Cinke, M.; Han, J.; Meyyappan, M. Carbon Nanotube Sensors for Gas and Organic Vapor Detection. *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 929–933. [CrossRef]
- 74. Andringa, A.M.; Meijboom, J.R.; Smits, E.C.P.; Mathijssen, S.G.J.; Blom, P.W.M.; de Leeuw, D.M. Gate-Bias Controlled Charge Trapping as a Mechanism for NO₂ Detection with Field-Effect Transistors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2011, 21, 100–107. [CrossRef]
- Öztürk, S.; Kılınç, N.; Öztürk, Z.Z. Fabrication of ZnO nanorods for NO₂ sensor applications: Effect of dimensions and electrode position. *J. Alloy. Compd.* 2013, 581, 196–201. [CrossRef]
- 76. Firouzi, A.; Sobri, S.; Yasin, F.M.; Ahmadun, F.I.R. Synthesis of carbon nanotubes by chemical vapour deposition and their application for CO₂ and CH₄ detection. *IPCBEE* **2011**, *2*, 165–168.
- 77. Hyodo, T.; Inoue, H.; Motomura, H.; Matsuo, K.; Hashishin, T.; Tamaki, J.; Shimizu, Y.; Egashira, M. NO₂ sensing properties of macroporous In₂O₃-based powders fabricated by utilizing ultrasonic spray pyrolysis employing polymethylmethacrylate microspheres as a template. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2010**, *151*, 265–273. [CrossRef]
- 78. Kim, S.J.; Hwang, I.S.; Choi, J.K.; Lee, J.H. Gas sensing characteristics of WO₃ nanoplates prepared by acidification method. *Thin Solid Films* **2011**, *519*, 2020–2024. [CrossRef]
- 79. Lee, C.Y.; Kim, S.J.; Hwang, I.S.; Lee, J.H. Glucose-mediated hydrothermal synthesis and gas sensing characteristics of WO₃ hollow microspheres. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2009**, 142, 236–242. [CrossRef]
- Deng, S.; Tjoa, V.; Fan, H.M.; Tan, H.R.; Sayle, D.C.; Olivo, M.; Mhaisalkar, S.; Wei, J.; Sow, C.H.J. Reduced Graphene Oxide Conjugated Cu₂O Nanowire Mesocrystals for High-Performance NO₂ Gas Sensor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 4905–4917. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Naik, A.J.T.; Warwick, M.E.A.; Moniz, S.J.A.; Blackman, C.S.; Parkin, I.P.; Binions, R. Nanostructured tungsten oxide gas sensors prepared by electric field assisted aerosol assisted chemical vapour deposition. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, 1, 1827–1833. [CrossRef]
- Tian, Y.C.; Sun, L.; Lü, R.; Zhou, W.; Shi, K.; Kan, K.; Wang, J.; Fu, H. Growth of small sized CeO₂ particles in the interlayers of expanded graphite for high-performance room temperature NO_x gas sensors. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, *1*, 12742–12749.
- 83. Liu, X.; Parisi, J.; Sun, X.; Lei, Y. Solid-state gas sensors for high temperature applications—A review. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2*, 9919–9943. [CrossRef]
- 84. Yuan, W.; Shi, G. Graphene-based gas sensors. J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 10078–10091. [CrossRef]
- Maeng, S.; Kim, S.W.; Lee, D.H.; Moon, S.E.; Kim, K.C.; Maiti, A. SnO₂ Nanoslab as NO₂ Sensor: Identification of the NO₂ Sensing Mechanism on a SnO₂ Surface. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2014, *6*, 358. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Thomson, A.J.; Giannopoulos, G.; Pretty, J.; Baggs, E.M.; Richardson, D.J. Biological sources and sinks of nitrous oxide and strategies to mitigate emissions. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 2012, 367, 1157–1168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tavares, P.; Pereira, A.S.; Moura, J.J.G. Metalloenzymes of the denitrification pathway. J. Inorg. Biochem. 2006, 100, 2087–2100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Yoosefian, M. Powerful greenhouse gas nitrous oxide adsorption onto intrinsic and Pd doped Single walled carbon nanotube. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2017, 392, 225–230. [CrossRef]
- Gu, D.; Li, X.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, J. Enhanced NO₂ sensing of SnO₂/SnS₂ heterojunction based sensor. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2017, 244, 67–76. [CrossRef]
- Lee, J.-H.; Katoch, A.; Choi, S.-W.; Kim, J.-H.; Kim, H.W.; Kim, S.S. Extraordinary Improvement of Gas-Sensing Performances in SnO₂ Nanofibers Due to Creation of Local *p–n* Heterojunctions by Loading Reduced Graphene Oxide Nanosheets. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2015, *7*, 3101–3109. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 91. Park, S.; An, S.; Mun, Y.; Lee, C. UV-Enhanced NO₂ Gas Sensing Properties of SnO₂-Core/ZnO-Shell Nanowires at Room Temperature. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2013**, *5*, 4285–4292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, S.; Yu, B.; Zhang, H.; Fei, T.; Zhang, T. Enhancing NO₂ gas sensing performances at room temperature based on reduced graphene oxide-ZnO nanoparticles hybrids. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2014, 202, 272–278. [CrossRef]
- 93. Shubhda, S.; Kiran, J.; Singh, V.N.; Sukhvir, S.; Vijayan, N.; Nita, D. Faster response of NO₂ sensing in graphene-WO₃ nanocomposites. *Nanotechnology* **2012**, *23*, 205501.
- 94. Valentini, L.; Armentano, I.; Kenny, J.M.; Cantalini, C.; Lozzi, L.; Santucci, S. Sensors for sub-ppm NO₂ gas detection based on carbon nanotube thin films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *82*, 961–963. [CrossRef]
- Pan, X.; Zhao, X.; Chen, J.; Bermak, A.; Fan, Z. A fast-response/recovery ZnO hierarchical nanostructure based gas sensor with ultra-high room-temperature output response. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2015, 206, 764–771. [CrossRef]
- 96. Emission of Greenhouse Gases in the US. Available online: https://www.eia.gov/environment/emissions/ ghg_report/ghg_nitrous.cfm (accessed on 14 August 2018).
- 97. Eugster, W.; Kling, G.W. Performance of a low-cost methane sensor for ambient concentration measurements in preliminary studies. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2012**, *2*, 1925–1934. [CrossRef]
- Tao, C.; Li, X.; Yang, J.; Shi, Y. Optical fiber sensing element based on luminescence quenching of silica nanowires modified with cryptophane-A for the detection of methane. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2011, 156, 553–558. [CrossRef]
- 99. Wu, Z.; Chen, X.; Zhu, S.; Zhou, Z.; Yao, Y.; Quan, W.; Liu, B. Room Temperature Methane Sensor Based on Graphene Nanosheets/Polyaniline Nanocomposite Thin Film. *IEEE Sens.* **2013**, *13*, 777–782. [CrossRef]
- Roy, S.; Sarkar, C.K.; Bhattacharyya, P. A highly sensitive methane sensor with nickel alloy microheater on micromachined Si substrate. *Solid-State Electron.* 2012, 76, 84–90. [CrossRef]
- 101. Haridas, D.; Gupta, V. Enhanced response characteristics of SnO₂ thin film based sensors loaded with Pd clusters for methane detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2012**, *166–167*, 156–164. [CrossRef]
- 102. Prasad, A.K.; Amirthapandian, S.; Dhara, S.; Dash, S.; Murali, N.; Tyagi, A.K. Novel single phase vanadium dioxide nanostructured films for methane sensing near room temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2014, 191, 252–256. [CrossRef]
- 103. Vuong, N.M.; Hieu, N.M.; Hieu, H.N.; Yi, H.; Kim, D.; Han, Y.S.; Kim, M. Ni₂O₃-decorated SnO₂ particulate films for methane gas sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, 192, 327–333. [CrossRef]
- 104. Gong, G.; Zhu, H. Development of highly sensitive sensor system for methane utilizing cataluminescence. *Luminescence* 2015, 31, 183–189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Zhang, Y.N.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, Q. Measurement of methane concentration with cryptophane E infiltrated photonic crystal microcavity. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, 209, 431–437. [CrossRef]
- 106. Yang, D.; Yang, N.; Ni, J.; Xiao, J.; Jiang, J.; Liang, Q.; Ren, T.; Chen, X. First-principles approach to design and evaluation of graphene as methane sensors. *Mater. Des.* **2017**, *119*, 401. [CrossRef]
- Van den Bossche, M.; Rose, N.T.; De Wekker, S.F.J. Potential of a low-cost gas sensor for atmospheric methane monitoring. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2017, 238, 501–509. [CrossRef]
- 108. Humayun, M.T.; Divan, R.; Stan, L.; Rosenmann, D.; Gosztola, D.; Paul, L.G.; Solomon, A.; Paprotny, I. Ubiquitous low-cost functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotube sensors for distributed methane leak detection. *IEEE Sens. J.* 2016, 16, 8692–8699. [CrossRef]

- 109. Humayun, M.T.; Divan, R.; Liu, Y.; Gundel, L.; Solomon, P.; Paprotny, I. Novel chemoresistive CH₄ sensor with 10 ppm sensitivity based on multiwalled carbon nanotubes functionalized with SnO₂ nanocrystals. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A Vac. Surf. Films* **2016**, *34*, 01A131. [CrossRef]
- 110. Xia, J.B.; Zhu, F.; Zhang, S.; Kolomenskii, A.; Schuessler, H. A ppb level sensitive sensor for atmospheric methane detection. *Infrared Phys. Technol.* **2017**, *86*, 194–201. [CrossRef]
- 111. Taylor, P.; Velásquez-García, L.F. Electrospray-printed nanostructured graphene oxide gas sensors. *Nanotechnology* **2015**, *26*, 505301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Rieu, M.; Camara, M.; Tournier, G.; Viricelle, J.P.; Pijolat, C.; de Rooij, N.F.; Briand, D. Fully inkjet printed SnO₂ gas sensor on plastic substrate. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, 236, 1091–1097. [CrossRef]
- 113. Liua, L.S.; Wanga, Z.; Zhanga, Y.; Li, J.; Zhang, T. Sulfonated graphene anchored with tin oxide nanoparticles for detection of nitrogen dioxide at room temperature with enhanced sensing performances. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *228*, 134–143. [CrossRef]
- 114. Clément, P.; Perez, E.D.; Gonzalez, O.; Calaviaa, R.; Lucat, C.; Llobet, E.; Debéda, H. Gas discrimination using screen-printed piezoelectric cantilevers coated with carbon nanotubes. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2016, 237, 1056–1065. [CrossRef]
- 115. Molina-Lopez, F.; Briand, D.; de Rooij, N.F. Inkjet and microcontact printing of functional materials on foil for the fabrication of pixel-like capacitive vapor microsensors. *Org. Electron.* **2015**, *16*, 139–147. [CrossRef]
- Kida, T.; Nishiyama, A.; Yuasa, M.; Shimanoe, K.; Yamazoe, N. Highly sensitive NO₂ sensors using lamellar-structured WO₃ particles prepared by an acidification method. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2009, 135, 568–574. [CrossRef]
- 117. Andò, B.; Baglio, S.; Pasquale, G.D.; Pollicino, A.; D'Agata, S.; Gugliuzzo, C.; Lombardo, C.; Re, G. An Inkjet Printed CO₂ Gas Sensor. *Procedia Eng.* **2015**, *120*, 628–631. [CrossRef]
- 118. Farandos, N.M.; Li, T.; Kelsall, G.H. 3-D inkjet-printed solid oxide electrochemical reactors. II. LSM—YSZ electrodes. *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 270, 264–273. [CrossRef]
- Jonnathan, M.J.; Hidalgo-Acosta, C.; Costa, A.L.V.; Simirnov, B.E.; Hubert, F.C.S.; Giraul, H. Large-scale layer-by-layer inkjet printing of flexible iridium-oxide based pH sensors. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 2018, *819*, 384–390.
- 120. Kassem, O.; Saadaoui, M.; Rieu, M.; Sao-Joao, S.; Viricelle, J.P. Synthesis and inkjet printing of sol–gel derived tin oxide. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2018, 53, 12750–12761. [CrossRef]
- 121. Wu, M.; Shin, J.; Hong, Y.; Jang, D.; Jin, X.S.; Kwon, H.; Lee, J.H. An FET-type gas sensor with a sodium ion conducting solid electrolyte for CO₂ detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, *259*, 1058–1065. [CrossRef]
- 122. Schiattarella, C.; Polichetti, T.; Villani, F.; Loffredo, F.; Alfano, B.; Massera, E.; Miglietta, M.L.; Francia, G.D. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering. In Proceedings of the 3rd National Conference on Sensors, Rome, Italy, 23–25 February 2016; Ando, B., Baldini, F., Diatale, C., Marrazza, G., Sicilano, P., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2018; p. 431.
- 123. Jarvinen, T.; Lorite, G.S.; Rautio, A.R.; Juhasz, K.L.; Kukovecz, A.; Konya, Z.; Kordas, K.; Toth, G. Portable cyber-physical system for indoor and outdoor gas sensing. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2017, 252, 983–990. [CrossRef]
- 124. Breedon, M.; Spizzirri, P.; Taylor, M.; Plessis, J.D.; McCulloch, D.; Zhu, J.; Yu, L.; Hu, Z.; Rix, C.; Włodarski, W.; et al. Synthesis of Nanostructured Tungsten Oxide Thin Films: A Simple, Controllable, Inexpensive, Aqueous Sol–Gel Method. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2010**, *10*, 430–439. [CrossRef]
- 125. Park, S.; An, S.; Ko, H.; Jin, C.; Lee, C. Synthesis of Nanograined ZnO Nanowires and Their Enhanced Gas Sensing Properties. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2012**, *4*, 3650–3656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Stanoiu, A.; Simion, C.E.; Diamandescu, L.; Tarabasanu-Mihaila, D.; Feder, M. NO₂ sensing properties of Cr₂O₃ highlighted by work function investigations. *Thin Solid Films* **2012**, *522*, 395–400. [CrossRef]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).