Rochester Institute of Technology

RIT Digital Institutional Repository

Theses

5-2024

(Virtual) Space: the Final Frontier – Virtual-Reality-based Identification of Nearby, Young Stars in Gaia Data

Ryan W. Butler rwb5439@rit.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.rit.edu/theses

Recommended Citation

Butler, Ryan W., "(Virtual) Space: the Final Frontier – Virtual-Reality-based Identification of Nearby, Young Stars in Gaia Data" (2024). Thesis. Rochester Institute of Technology. Accessed from

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the RIT Libraries. For more information, please contact repository@rit.edu.

(Virtual) Space: the Final Frontier – Virtual-Reality-based Identification of Nearby, Young Stars in Gaia Data

M.S. Master of Science

in Astrophysical Sciences and Technology

Ryan W. Butler

School of Physics and Astronomy Rochester Institute of Technology Rochester, New York May 2024

RIT College of Science Astrophysical Sciences and Technology

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL

MASTER DEGREE Thesis

The Master's. Degree Thesis of Ryan Butler has been examined and approved by the thesis committee as satisfactory for the dissertation requirement for the Master of Science degree in Astrophysical Sciences and Technology.

Dr. Joel Kastner, Thesis Advisor

Dr. Jason Nordhaus, Committee Member

Dr. Michael Zemcov, Committee Member

Date

RIT College of Science Astrophysical Sciences and Technology

(Virtual) Space: the Final Frontier –Virtual-Reality-based Identification of Nearby, Young Stars in Gaia Data

By

Ryan Butler

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science. in Astrophysical Sciences & Technology

> School of Physics and Astronomy College of Science Rochester Institute of Technology Rochester, NY

> > May 2024

Approved by:_

Andrew Robinson, Ph.D Director, Astrophysical Sciences and Technology

Date

Abstract

Nearby Young Moving Groups (NYMGSs) are loose kinematic associations of young (<150 Myr) stars in the solar neighborhood (distance ≤ 140 pc). These groups offer an excellent testbed for the study of pre-main sequence stellar evolution, protoplanetary and evolved disks, and recently formed (or forming) extrasolar systems. To further inform studies of these systems, we can expand the known membership of these NYMGs using the multi-dimensional spatial, kinematic, and photometric data from the Gaia mission. Using a new virtual reality tool known as StarGateVR, we search Gaia Data Release 3 for new candidates of 8 NYMGs and identify ~ 370 such candidates among 7 of the NYMGs investigated. We identify one probable disk-hosting candidate of interest, 2MASS J15460752-6258042, and reassess its age. Finally, the NYMGs are probed for any hot white dwarfs, which could indicate the recent demise of massive member stars.

Contents

A	bstra	\mathbf{ct}	i
C	onter	nts	iii
Li	st of	Figures	v
Li	st of	Tables	ix
1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Young stars and their disks	1
	1.2	Nearby Young Moving Groups	2
	1.3	White Dwarfs	4
	1.4	The Gaia Spacecraft	5
	1.5	StarGateVR	6
2	Nev	v Candidate Members of 7 NYMGs	9
	2.1	Overview of NYMGs Searched for New Candidates	9
		2.1.1 Established Memberships	10
	2.2	Membership selection	10
		2.2.1 Gaia Search Criteria	10
		2.2.2 StarGateVR Filtering	11
	2.3	New Candidate Members	13
	2.4	Comparison to BANYAN Σ Membership Probabilities	15

	2.5	5 Spectral Energy Distributions and Modelling the Physical Properties of New	
		Candidate Stars	19
	2.6	New Candidates with Infrared-Excess	20
3 A Peter-Pan Disk Exits Neverland: Reevaluating the Age of Disk-J			5
	Sta	r 2MASS J15460752-6258042	23
	3.1	Previous Studies of 2MASS J15460752-6258042	24
	3.2	StarGateVR Recovery of J1546	25
	3.3	Analysis	26
		3.3.1 Spatio-Kinematics	26
		3.3.2 Color-Magnitude Diagram	26
		3.3.3 Spectral Type	29
	3.4	On The Age of 2MASS J15460752-6258042	29
4	Sea	rching For White Dwarfs in NYMGs	31
	4.1	Young WDs in the Solar Neighborhood	31
	4.2	Search Criteria	32
	4.3	WD Candidates	33
	4.4	BANYAN Σ Results	33
	4.5	Discussion	36
5	Cor	nclusions	37
	5.1	Summary	37
	5.2	Future Work	38
A	Tab	le of New NYMG Candidates A	43
	A.1	Table Note	A.43
Aj	ppen	dices	43
Bi	bliog	graphy	64

List of Figures

1.1	1 Figure 1 from [1] showing all known nearby young moving groups and open	
	clusters current as of its publication in 2018. At least 2 additional groups have	
	been identified since that time $[2, 3]$	3
1.2	Screenshots current to StarGateVR version $0.6.7$. On the left, one can see a	
	standard user view in the program, including many prominent user interface	
	elements in the foreground such as the controllers and control panel. A three-	
	dimensional rendered Gaia query is visible in the background. On the right	
	panel the gating ellipsoid is shown prominently, with stars of the Hyades cluster	
	in kinematic space highlighted in yellow	7
2.1	Right ascension and declination plot of all bona fide members considered in this	
	work as determined by $[4]$	10
2.2	Plot illustrating the result of each "gate" in StarGateVR, in addition to showing	
	the bona fide membership used as guides. Note that most if not all bona fide	
	members have Gaia DR3 counterparts which can give an illusion of double-	
	counting on the plots. For simplicity we have shown only a 2-dimensional slice	
	of each gate. The target group in this example is the 32 Orionis group	13
2.3	Plot of heliocentric spatial and kinematic positions of the new candidate mem-	
	bers for each NYMG considered in this work (see table 2.1)	15

2.4	Gaia Color-Magnitude Diagrams comprised of new candidates for the 7 NYMGs which yield them in this work. The field stars shown are a randomly selected		
	12% of stars within 50 pc of the sun	16	
2.5	Plot of heliocentric spatial and kinematic positions of the new candidate mem-		
	bers for each NYMG considered in this work.	18	
2.6	Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) composed of GALEX, Gaia, 2MASS, and		
	WISE photometry for candidate Gaia DR3 1192813951126183808. This is a rare		
	example of an SED that contains photometric measurements from all catalogues		
	searched. Error bars are visible but generally smaller than the point size	20	
2.7	SED seen in figure 2.6 with the best fit BT-Settl model overplotted. This		
	particular model corresponds to a star with $T_{eff} = 5900$ K	21	
3.1	WISE W1-4 band images of J1546. Increased IR emission seen in the W3		
	and W4 images, compared to all other stars in the images, is evidence of the		
	circumstellar disk thought to exist around the star.	24	
3.2	Figure 4 from [5] showing the line profiles of He I, [OI], and Li I. The variationm		
	between observations is likely an effect of the ongoing accretion	25	
3.3	Spatial and kinematic positions of J1546 (this work) are shown in red with		
	respect to bona fide members of the Argus and β Pictoris moving groups. In-		
	cluded are the UVW positions for J1546 as found in Lee $+20$. Error bars are		
	smaller than point size in XYZ	27	
3.4	Gaia CMD of the bona fide members the Argus and β Pictoris moving groups		
	[4] with the location of J1546 (this work) noted in red. Additionally plotted are		

theoretical PARSEC isochrones at the approximate ages of the moving groups [6] 28

3.5	Spectral energy distribution (SED) and best BT-Settl model fit for 2MASS	
	J15460752-6258042. The best fit star yields a $\mathrm{T}_{eff}=2900$ K. Note the extreme	
	deviation of the actual SED from the best fit in the infrared (particularly the	
	WISE W3 and W4 bands), evidence for a circumstellar disk. Errors on photom-	
	etry are smaller than point sizes, no GALEX data was available for this source	
	and was thus not used in the SED or fit	29
4.1	SGVR recovered WDs on the Gaia CMD. Field stars are from a randomly	
	selected 12% of the nearest 50 pc. Note that the most recently formed, hottest	
	white dwarf candidates occupy the region left of $G_{BP}-G_{RP}=0.4$	34
4.2	3-D heliocentric positions of both the bona fide membership used as a guide	
	for SGVR filtering and the WD candidates with non-zero BANYAN Σ Argus	
	probabilities. Legend is the same as that in figure 4.3.	34
4.3	Proper motions in the right ascension and declination for both the bona fide	
	membership and the WD candidates with non-zero BANYAN Σ Argus proba-	
	bilities	35

List of Tables

2.1	Number of Recovered Candidates for Each NYMG. New Candidates Indicate	
	Those Which Were Not Identified in Literature	
2.2	BT-Settl [7] SED Model Fit Results for First 10 Stars in Sample. Continued in	
	Table A.1. SpT Estimates From [8]. 21	
2.3	Approximate λ_{eff} Value For Each Bandpass Used in SED Generation 22	
3.1	Complete list of spatial and kinematic values from Gaia DR3 used in determin-	
	ing our position and velocity of J1546	
4.1	SGVR Recovered WDs with BANYAN Σ Non-Zero Probability	
A.1	Complete List of New Candidates With BT-Settl Modeled Temperature, Radii,	
	and Estimated SpT	

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Young stars and their disks

The canonical view of star formation [9] posits a multi-stage process that begins in giant clouds of cold molecular gas, which are regions much denser than the surrounding interstellar medium (ISM). The clouds undergo gravitational collapse, resulting in a hot gaseous protostellar core, which forms a disk and accretes material from its environment. This core continues to contract under its own gravitation, converting potential energy into heat and increasing in temperature and pressure. Eventually, stars with enough mass will begin hydrogen burning (fusing hydrogen in their cores), providing a source of pressure that prevents further contraction. The point at which hydrogen burning becomes the dominant force against contraction is known as the zero-age main sequence, and indicates a star has arrived on the main sequence (MS), where it will remain for the bulk of its life.

The period of a star's life between when they have acquired the bulk of their mass from the initial formation cloud and beginning hydrogen burning is known as the pre-main sequence (pre-MS). The amount of time a star exists in the pre-MS phase is determined by its mass. Low mass stars can spend a great deal of time in this stage (an M star has pre MS lifetime of hundreds of millions of years). Intermediate mass stars such as the sun (a G star) have pre-MS lifetimes of tens of millions of years [10]. The most massive stars, O and B types have pre-MS lifetimes of a few thousand years (for the highest mass O stars) to a handful of million years.

Chapter 1. Introduction

Pre-MS stars are unique laboratories for understanding the early evolution of stars and the formation of planetary systems. By virtue of being (relatively) young, some pre-MS stars are observed to host circumstellar disks [11], often identified by an excess of infra-red emission from the host star. In particularly young stars, these can be gas-rich protoplanetary disks, providing the raw material and gas leftover from the stars formation to give rise to planetary systems. As the star evolves, the gaseous component of this is quickly dispersed, however newly formed planetary systems are chaotic environments, and collisions between planetesimals may give rise to evolved, dusty so-called debris disks which are also observed around some pre-MS stars. The study of these protoplanetary and evolved disks is critical to the overall understanding of how solar systems such as our own arise.

1.2 Nearby Young Moving Groups

The majority of pre-MS stars reside in or around star-forming molecular clouds [12]. In some regards this makes their study difficult, as the clouds they form in create observational hurdles at many wavelengths (notably, optical and ultra-violet), limiting the diagnostic toolkit available to astronomers. Fortunately, discoveries in the last quarter century have lead to the understanding that there is a decent sampling of pre-MS stars closer to home.

Since the 1990s, it has been known that there are several loose groups of pre-MS stars moving together within ~ 120 pc of the sun [13]. These have come to be known as Nearby Young Moving Groups (NYMGs), and by virtue of being spatially and kinematically related, as well as having a common origin, members of a given NYMG share important fundamental properties such as age and metallicity. These NYMGs are very tenuously bound, unlike open clusters, and are not generally observed past ~ 150 Myr in age. Formation mechanisms of these groups is an ongoing area of study - many of them are likely associated with the tidal tails of local open clusters [14], and are old enough that little evidence of their birth nebulae remain.

The first NYMG was discovered in the late 1990's on the basis of strong X-ray emission (an indicator of coronala activity, and hence youth [15]) from the star TW Hydrae and several



Figure 1.1: Figure 1 from [1] showing all known nearby young moving groups and open clusters current as of its publication in 2018. At least 2 additional groups have been identified since that time [2, 3].

other stars with close physical proximity [16]. Since that discovery, the number of known NYMGs has ballooned to something around 20, although the exact number is still under investigation, and the actual existence of some proposed groups is questionable. Figure 1.1 shows an overview of the NYMGs as of 2018. Because all stars of a given NYMG share the same age, identifying new members is valuable as the age, one of the more difficult properties of a star to ascertain, comes as a given if membership can be verified. As such, stars with other interesting attributes such as circumstellar disks or exoplanets automatically have age determinations for those as well, helping to inform the understanding of the timescales of such systems.

The proximity of NYMGs is of great benefit to the observation of member stars. The aforementioned TW Hydrae provides one of the best resolution observations of a protoplanetary disk to date, due to its convenient inclination and proximity to the sun. NYMG stars also offer by far the best opportunities to directly image exoplanets. To date all directly imaged exoplanets have been around stars within \sim 150pc of the sun, the bulk of which are NYMG members. This is because pre-MS stars have planets that are still young enough to remain self-luminous in the thermal infrared, and by definition NYMG members tend to be close enough to make such observations possible.

The proximity of NYMGs is also what has made them historically difficult to identify. Because they are so close to the sun, in some cases (such as with the AB Doradus or β Pictoris moving groups) they can cover much of the celestial sphere. This makes them difficult to isolate on position alone in the same way that may be done for something like an open cluster. As such, the best way to obtain new NYMG members is via kinematics. Until the era of widely available 3-Dimensional kinematic data brought about by large radial velocity surveys [17] and Gaia [18], this was difficult. Because of the now much more readily available kinematic and positional data, we have many more avenues to identify candidate members of these NYMGs. Doing so will enhance our knowledge of the structure and composition of these unique systems, further aiding in the study of young stars and solar systems.

Because of their closeness and youth, NYMGs also offer prime targets for identifying recently formed white dwarfs, the stellar remnants left over after certain stars exit the main sequence. NYMGs are young enough that these may be formed from the higher mass (and shortest lived) members, which enter and exit the main sequence very rapidly compared to the majority of lower-mass stars.

1.3 White Dwarfs

Stars of masses $M_* < 8M_{\odot}$ eventually deplete through fusion the bulk of the hydrogen and helium that they were formed with. In the final stages of these star's nuclear burning portion of life, they tend to eject the majority of their atmosphere through intense stellar winds driven by various pulsations undergone. The brief phase in which a stars atmosphere is being evacuated is known as a planetary nebula [19]. What remains is an inert, hot core known as a white dwarf (WD).

More massive stars tend to evolve on faster timescales than their less massive counterparts. Additional interactions in multiple star systems can also expedite the formation timescales for WDs [20, 21]. WDs which have formed recently are hot (on the order of 100-200 kK) and cool off over time, as such new WDs tend to be brighter than older ones, although all are relatively faint due to their small radii ($R_{WD} \approx R_{\oplus}$).

Because massive stars have a shorter main sequence lifespan, recent WDs which have formed from them can only be found in areas where relatively young stars are present. NYMGs provide an excellent search in this regard, since they are young enough (in many cases too young) to have formed WDs from their most massive initial members which will still be hot, and they are close enough that at least some of these should be detectable. Additionally, if such WDs are detected, it is plausible to probe the surrounding ISM for evidence of the preceding planetary nebulae.

1.4 The Gaia Spacecraft

Gaia is a spacecraft launched by the European Space Agency (ESA) in 2013 with the mission of creating the largest three-dimensional map of the Milky Way galaxy to date by surveying some ~1 billion stars, around 1% of the galaxies stellar population [18]. The primary research purpose of the spacecraft is to conduct astrometry, and as such it measures many related attributes such as position, parallax, proper motion, and radial velocity for sources in which it is viable. Trigonometric parallax, often simply referred to as the parallax, π , or ϖ is the apparent position change of a star seen with respect to the background (usually more distant stars). Because this shift in position is incredibly small it has been difficult to do for a large number of stars until recently. Measuring this parallax with extreme precision for many stars is the primary objective of Gaia. Gaia measures photometry in 3 bandpasses, G (320 nm $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 1100$ nm), G_{BP} (320 nm $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 700$ nm), and G_{RP} (700 nm $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 1100$ nm).

To date ESA has output 3 major public data releases (Data Releases 1, 2, and 3; DR1, DR2, and DR3) from the Gaia mission and one major preliminary release (early Data Release 3). The most recent to this work being DR3 in June 2022, which vastly improved the number of sources with radial velocity measurements [22]. The sheer scale and precision of the Gaia data allows for many new inroads into the search for kinematic and spatial overdensities in stars close to the sun.

1.5 StarGateVR

Virtual reality (VR) is an emergent technology that allows a user to wear a headset with 3D near-eye displays. At present it is largely employed for entertainment purposes, however it has gained some traction in scientific pursuits in recent years [23, 24, 25]. VR offers an underutilized way of engaging with multi-dimensional data, as found in astronomy.

The three-dimensional nature of Gaia data naturally lends itself to a three-dimensional view. VR provides a unique inroad in this regard. To date there have been several tools which have successfully applied Gaia data to VR systems [26, 27, 28]. While these tools provide useful visualizations, they lack some of the data analysis capability of desktop based applications (e.g. TOPCAT [29]) or require attachment to a desktop computer to run.

Our collaboration, particularly Immersive Science LLC, has created a tool known as Star-GateVR (SGVR) that successfully overcomes the aforementioned hurdles. SGVR allows the user to view Gaia data on any three axes chosen, provided the data has been pulled from the Gaia archive. It is also of note that the tool naturally renders the data into the intuitive 3-dimensional heliocentric XYZ space for position and likewise UVW for kinematic information, when available. In addition to viewing Gaia data in three-dimensions, SGVR allows the user to select data for further study using a tool in the program known as a "gate", an adjustable ellipsoid which may be dragged through the star field to highlight (known as "gating") sources of interest, as seen in figure 1.2. Sources gated in one set of axes remain highlighted when the axes are changed. In this manner one may observe a star or group of stars in many different ways. The gating aspect of SGVR adds a unique analytical quality to the program not currently seen in other Gaia oriented VR tools.

In this work we apply the StarGateVR tool to the task of identifying NYMG candidate members from field stars in the Gaia DR3 data. We additionally estimate physical properties of these candidate member stars via spectral energy distribution fitting, and in doing so check for evidence of circumstellar disks. The StarGateVR tool is also used to attempt a search of recently formed white-dwarf stars amongst the NYMGs considered in this work.



Figure 1.2: Screenshots current to StarGateVR version 0.6.7. On the left, one can see a standard user view in the program, including many prominent user interface elements in the foreground such as the controllers and control panel. A three-dimensional rendered Gaia query is visible in the background. On the right panel the gating ellipsoid is shown prominently, with stars of the Hyades cluster in kinematic space highlighted in yellow.

Chapter 2

New Candidate Members of 7 NYMGs

There exists something of a Catch-22 in the world of NYMG membership. To identify new group members one must fully understand the spatial and kinematic extent of these NYMGs, however to fully understand the morphologies of such groups membership must be well constrained. In this work we approach the membership issue using what is already known about the spatial and kinematic positioning of NYMGs to identify new candidate members.

2.1 Overview of NYMGs Searched for New Candidates

While there exists an ever-changing list of NYMGs under observation, few have well constrained memberships. Perhaps the most complete understanding of NYMG today comes from the first, and particularly well studied, young TW Hydrae Association (TWA) discussed in section 1.2. Other well studied groups include the β Pictoris Moving Group (β PMG or BPMG), the AB Doradus Moving Group (ABDMG), and the Carina, Columba, and Tucana-Horologium Associations (CAR, COL, THA). More recently identified associations, such as the Argus Association (ARG) and 32 Orionis Group (THOR) have also had known membership bolstered within the last decade.



Figure 2.1: Right ascension and declination plot of all bona fide members considered in this work as determined by [4].

2.1.1 Established Memberships

The last several years have seen an increased use in machine-learning methods to tackle data in astronomy [30]. Applications of machine learning to NYMG membership have yielded lists of high-probability group members based on spatio-kinematics in addition to age determinations notably in Lee & Song 2019 [4], hence referred to as Lee+19. For the purpose of this work we consider the bona fide members from Lee+19 to compose the 8 aforementioned NYMGs investigated. A positional plot of the Lee+19 members is given in figure 2.1.

2.2 Membership selection

2.2.1 Gaia Search Criteria

Much of the prior work on membership for these NYMGs was conducted using spatial and kinematic data from Gaia Data Release 2 (DR2) or the later early Data Release 3 (eDR3). We conduct this work using the most recent full data release, Data Release 3 (DR3). Of particular importance is that DR3 contains radial velocities of some 33,812,183 stars, whereas eDR3

and DR2 only contain radial velocities for 7,224,631 stars [31]. This allows us to find many previously unnoticed NYMG candidates because they simply lacked the three-dimensional velocity information to be identified in previous data releases.

The initial search of stars was pulled from the Gaia archive, and encompasses a sphere of stars around the sun with parallax $\varpi > 7.1428571$, corresponding to a distance of 140pc. In theory, NYMGs should exist ≤ 100 pc from the sun, however in practice several of the groups extend well beyond that distance, so 140pc is taken to allow for maximum candidate consideration. These stars are further filtered on the basis of parallax errors. There are two sources of parallax error considered in this filtering of DR3 data: astrometric excess noise, ϵ_i , and the parallax over error, $\frac{\varpi}{\sigma_{\varpi}}$. ϵ_i measures the disagreement between observations and astrometric models of a given source, given as an angle. We implement a check of $\epsilon_i \leq 2$ to ensure sources in our selection are astrometrically well behaved. $\frac{\varpi}{\sigma_{\varpi}}$ considers the measured parallax with respect to its standard error. We additionally check that $\frac{\varpi}{\sigma_{\varpi}} \geq 20$. This insures that sources with parallax errors > 5%, and hence imprecise three-dimensional positions, are not considered. The resultant cut leaves a sphere of 736,175 well behaved stars out to a distance of 140pc.

2.2.2 StarGateVR Filtering

2.2.2.1 Spatial and Kinematic Filters

Gaia provides precise RA, DEC, and parallax ϖ values for all stars in the sample. StarGateVR, when given a dataset with such values, automatically computes a heliocentric map of the stars positions, known as XYZ space, using code adapted from [32]. In this coordinate system, the X-axis indicates the direction from the sun to the galactic center, the Y-axis is perpendicular to the X-axis along the direction of solar motion through the galaxy, and the Z-axis is perpendicular to both. To maintain a right-handed coordinate system, StarGateVR maintains positive X values in the direction opposite the galactic center. As the system is heliocentric, the sun is located at coordinate (0,0,0).

Gaia likewise provides precise 2-D motion on the sky, known as proper motion (PM), for

all stars in the sample. The Gaia proper motion is given as the linear change measured in milliarcseconds in both right ascension and declination per year. The third dimension of a star's motion, that in the radial line of sight (hence known as radial velocity; RV) is much more difficult to ascertain. RVs are generally obtained using Doppler shift, measuring the deviation of an observed spectral line from its expected "rest" position. The Gaia mission is equipped with a dedicated Radial Velocity Spectrometer, allowing it to acquire radial velocity measurements for stars with Gaia G-band magnitude < 14 [33]. Nearly half of the \sim 700,000 stars in the initial sample lack RV measurements. For those stars which do have measured RVs, a 3-D kinematic analog to XYZ space may be defined, known as UVW space. In this system, U is velocity along the X-axis, V is velocity along the Y-axis, and W is velocity along the Z-axis.

Stars which exhibit similar UVW values implicitly have similar motion throughout the galaxy. This forms the co-moving component of NYMGs. Stars may be co-moving even if they do not occupy a tight locus in XYZ space, but XYZ positions may still indicate a spatial coordination among group members. Leveraging this, we implement a multi-stage filtering process in StarGateVR to identify candidate stars for each NYMG considered.

In addition to the initial 140pc sphere of stars pulled from Gaia DR3, a list of bona fide members of each NYMG determined by [4] is also visualized in SGVR. These bona-fide members serve as "guideposts" for filtering the Gaia DR3 data. For each group, the ellipsoidal gating tool was adjusted and used to select all Gaia DR3 sources that occupied roughly the same spatial positions as the Lee+19 members. A subsequent gate was placed in UVW space, insuring that selected stars occupy not only the spatial region of known group members, but also share similar 3-D kinematics.

2.2.2.2 Color-Magnitude Diagram Filter

The photometric measurements of Gaia allow for the creation of Color-Magnitude Diagrams (CMDs), proxies for the familiar Hertzprung-Russel diagram. Stars of various masses but identical age form distinct tracks on CMDs, known as isochrones. In this way, plotting CMDs



Figure 2.2: Plot illustrating the result of each "gate" in StarGateVR, in addition to showing the bona fide membership used as guides. Note that most if not all bona fide members have Gaia DR3 counterparts which can give an illusion of double-counting on the plots. For simplicity we have shown only a 2-dimensional slice of each gate. The target group in this example is the 32 Orionis group.

of the LEE+19 bona-fide members generates traceable isochrones on the CMDs in SGVR. Using the gating tool in the program, a gate is drawn along the bona-fide members on the CMD, creating a roughcast isochronal filter on all candidate members. Thus, candidate stars of similar CMD positions, kinematics, and spatial locations are identified for all NYMGs. Figure 2.2 shows the sequential results of this gating process on the 32 Orionis group.

2.3 New Candidate Members

The SGVR analysis allowed us to identify a total of 1075 stars between the eight NYMGs. We query the SIMBAD [34] astronomical database for all stars in the sample by Gaia DR3 ID, noting any prior group attribution either in posted literature of the source or publication ingested into the VizieR database [35]. We warn some caution here as literature which has not been submitted to these databases will not be represented in this search. We find that of our SGVR filtered sample, 698 have obvious prior NYMG membership attributions. The remaining 376 candidates lack obvious membership attribution or appear in a different NYMG than identified in our filtering. We do caution that there may exist cases where membership attribution was not ingested into SIMBAD. These are dubbed "new candidates" for the purpose

Group	Total Candidates	New Candidates
ABDMG	322	144
ARG	103	62
BPMG	202	46
CAR	125	64
COL	168	50
THOR	23	3
THA	118	7
TWA	14	0
Total	1075	376

Table 2.1: Number of Recovered Candidates for Each NYMG. New Candidates Indicate Those Which Were Not Identified in Literature

of this work. A dedicated young star database (mocaDB) is due to come online later this year that will likely elucidate prior attribution to some of this sample, particularly for literature which was not input to the SIMBAD/VizieR databases. We report the entirety of these new candidates in table A.1.

Seven of the eight investigated NYMGs appear in the pool of new candidates. The number of new candidates found by group is largely correlated to the group's known size, with the well populated AB Doradus moving group (ABDMG) yielding the most new candidates, and the well studied, low population TW Hydrae Association yielding none.

Of some interest is the location of new candidates in positional and kinematic space. Figure 2.3 displays the XY, XZ, YZ, UV, UW, and VW positions of each newly identified candidate star. ABDMG occupies the largest overall spatial extent, covering a range of around 100 pc in the X direction, and a slightly larger range of ~ 130 pc in Y, and a negligibly smaller range of 90 pc in the Z direction. This is likely a feature of both the ABDMGs statistically larger sampling than that of the other observed NYMGs and more evolved age [36]. The other groups tend to occupy more constrained spatial bounds. In comparison to the positional locations of new candidates, the kinematics are much more constrained and less intermixed between groups, with the noted exception of Carina, Columba, and the Tucana-Horologium association. Although these groups are somewhat distinct in XYZ locations, they exhibit a general overlap in UVW space. Because of this general kinematic overlap as well as similar



Figure 2.3: Plot of heliocentric spatial and kinematic positions of the new candidate members for each NYMG considered in this work (see table 2.1).

isochronal ages (figure 2.4), these groups, along with the nearby young open cluster χ^1 Fornacis (not considered in this work) have recently been dubbed part of a larger "Austral Complex" [37], although the entire interplay of these groups remains the subject of ongoing research, hence the necessity to better refine and understand the memberships.

2.4 Comparison to BANYAN Σ Membership Probabilities

The processes of converting "candidate" group members to "bona fide member" is a resource intensive process, usually relying on the 6-D spatial-kinematics of the candidate, in addition to independent signs of youth such as strong coronal X-ray emissions, a notable Li 670.7 nm absorption line where applicable, infrared or ulta-violet excess, and isochronal modeling to verify that the candidate matches the full spatial, kinematic, and temporal properties of the NYMG in question. In the event a large list of candidates is generated, as in this work, it is beneficial to compare the candidates to membership models prior to undertaking a full vetting



Figure 2.4: Gaia Color-Magnitude Diagrams comprised of new candidates for the 7 NYMGs which yield them in this work. The field stars shown are a randomly selected 12% of stars within 50 pc of the sun.

process.

One such model is BANYAN Σ [1], a bayesian statistical tool which uses the 6-D spatiokinematics of a star to assess its probability of membership in 29 of the nearest known NYMGs and open clusters. All 7 of the candidate-yielding NYMGs observed in this work have been modelled by the BANYAN Σ tool, although the Argus association is a more recent addition [2]. BANYAN Σ creates its models based on small lists of established members for each group and cluster, and uses ellipsoidal models for both the positional and kinematic extent of groups. The version of the tool used in this analysis does not account for CMD positioning. As such, candidates that return low or even zero probabilities with the tool should not be discarded, as the true morphologies of these groups is still not fully understood. If groups have non-ellipsoidal shapes such as filaments or fans across any space investigated, the tool will not properly model that behavior. With that in mind, a high BANYAN Σ probability for a candidate is a good check that the membership selection process is sound.

All new candidates were run through the BANYAN Σ tool with their full spatial and kinematic values input, along with associated uncertainties. Fig 2.5 provides positional plot of all candidates with color-coding to indicate final calculated probabilities of membership to each respective NYMG. There is a notable distribution on probability outputs by group. Some NYMGs, particularly the Argus association, AB Doradus moving group, and the Tucana-Horologium association yield many high-probability candidates. Others such as the β Pic moving group and the Carina and Columba associations yield a much lower number of highprobability candidate members. Because all candidates are selected with similar CMD placement in addition to XYZ and UVW location, this is not particularly concerning. The interplay between using statistical tools such as BANYAN Σ to identify NYMG candidates and needing to vet NYMG candidates to inform such statistical models provides further motivation for seeking to verify candidates independently, resource intensive as it may be. That said BANYAN Σ provides a useful indicator of which stars may be worth targeting with follow-up studies. If high probability candidates are vetted and found to share properties with known group members, it may indicate that lower probability sources from the candidate pool are



Figure 2.5: Plot of heliocentric spatial and kinematic positions of the new candidate members for each NYMG considered in this work.

also worth investigating.

2.5 Spectral Energy Distributions and Modelling the Physical Properties of New Candidate Stars

By aggregating various individual pass-band photometric measurements we may construct a spectral energy distribution (SED) for each new candidate star in this sample. These SEDs amount to a low resolution spectra of each star, and may be compared with synthetic stellar atmospheric models to estimate physical properties of the star, such as mass, temperature, and radius, that would otherwise prove difficult to ascertain.

We utilize near and far ultraviolet (NUV, FUV) photometry from the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) [38], optical photometry from Gaia DR3, and near/mid infrared (IR) photometry from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) [39] and the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) [40] to construct SEDs for all sources. Gaia photometry is present for all sources, 7 sources are found to lack WISE measurements, 6 sources lack 2MASS measurements. GALEX measurements are the hardest to obtain, with 120 sources having NUV measurements and only 47 with FUV. As such, the bulk of candidates only have photometry in the Gaia, 2MASS, and WISE bands. Table 2.3 outlines the wavelength of each photometric band used. Of all bands used, the WISE W4 band has the greatest uncertainty and is vulnerable to contamination from background galaxies and galactic dust. As such, in measurements where the W4 measurement has a large error, it is discarded. In this manner we construct SEDs with as many photometry measurements as possible from the selected catalogues for all candidates. Figure 2.6 shows an example of an SED output with the full queried photometry available. We make use of the Virtual Observatory SED Analyser (VOSA) [41] to compile these SEDs and subsequent model fits.

After SEDs are generated for each candidate using available photometry, a synthetic stellar atmosphere model is fitted to each spectrum. There are a number of such models to choose from, many of which tailor to specific spectral classes or physical regimes of stars. Because our candidates are located along much of the CMD, we opt for the BT-Settl (AGS2009) model


Figure 2.6: Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) composed of GALEX, Gaia, 2MASS, and WISE photometry for candidate Gaia DR3 1192813951126183808. This is a rare example of an SED that contains photometric measurements from all catalogues searched. Error bars are visible but generally smaller than the point size.

grid, which encompasses a wide array of stellar parameters ($400 < T_{eff} < 70,000, -4 < [Fe/H] < 0.5$, etc.). VOSA performs a χ^2 fit with the BT-Settl grid and outputs the best 10. For the purpose of this work we opt to select the top ranked "best" fit as given by VOSA, determined as the fit with the minimum χ^2 value. An example of this best fit over a generated SED is shown in figure 2.7. The best fit provides an estimate for the star's temperature, and radius. Using the temperature we also estimate the spectral type of each candidate using the method outlined in [8] for Pre-MS stars, aiding in our growing understanding of the demographics of these NYMGs. The first 10 of these outputs are shown in table 2.2, while the rest are presented in table A.1.

2.6 New Candidates with Infrared-Excess

Circumstellar disks containing dust are known to re-radiate absorbed photons in the mid-to far- IR potion of the spectrum [11]. Consequently, they may appear as larger-than-expected measurements for photometry in that region of the spectrum, particularly in the WISE bands. Identifying stars that host these disks allows for the assessment of an overall disk fraction of

Group	Gaia DR3 ID	RA	DEC	Teff	Rad	SpT
		(deg)	(deg)	(K)	(R_{\odot})	[8]
ABDMG	4899996487129314688	0.931	-65.78	3500	0.466	M2.5V
ABDMG	2741802191422290176	1.605	4.837	3200	0.371	M4V
ABDMG	4904674604163917696	3.982	-61.631	3200	0.510	M4V
ABDMG	2792563894496829696	5.435	15.727	3200	0.355	M4V
ABDMG	524634348319029888	12.271	65.744	3900	0.440	K9V
ABDMG	4931809967022910976	13.965	-49.64	3000	0.333	M5V
ABDMG	2787564449484699264	19.21	21.052	6700	1.526	F4V
ABDMG	2564275967417666944	20.098	5.252	3800	0.525	M0.5V
ABDMG	292521529517332224	24.997	26.185	4100	0.426	K7V

Table 2.2: BT-Settl [7] SED Model Fit Results for First 10 Stars in Sample. Continued in Table A.1. SpT Estimates From [8].



Figure 2.7: SED seen in figure 2.6 with the best fit BT-Settl model overplotted. This particular model corresponds to a star with $T_{eff} = 5900$ K.

stars in a given NYMG. Because the ages of NYMGs are constrained, this contributes to the overall understanding of the lifespans of circumstellar disks both overall and among differing spectral types. VOSA issues a flag on any source that it detects such an excess in during SED generation. Circumstellar disks are not the only possible cause of IR excess however, as any foreground or background dust, in addition to background galaxies, may also lead to the unexpectedly large IR measurements. As noted previously, the WISE W4 band is particularly sensitive to these types of contamination. Additionally, the presence of a nearby, bright star in the same field may also lead to an excess. For these reasons, it is important to vet each IR-excess displaying candidate.

Of the 376 new candidates for which SEDs were created in section 2.5, 20 are identified as having IR-excess. The majority of these display an excess beginning in the WISE W1 band, with others beginning in longer-wavelength bandpasses. No candidates are identified with obvious excess from the 2MASS measurements. The WISE W1-4 band images were then reviewed for each of the IR-excess sources. Of the 20 sources, we identify only 8 that appear obviously in all WISE frames. The majority of those that do not are found to be binaries, or exhibit obvious dust contamination in the W3 and W4 images which likely lead to the IR excess seen in their SEDs.

Of the 8 candidates which are detected in all WISE bands, we note that at least two, Gaia DR3 4900997562402606208, and Gaia DR3 5298425111243573376 are binary systems, which may contribute to the IR excess. 4 others, Gaia DR3 5826466047245658240, Gaia DR3 5487374844438857728, Gaia DR3 123185851098826880, and Gaia DR3 4066318531598567424 are already known disk-hosts [42, 43, 44]. The final two sources, Gaia DR3 5301812603489357440 and Gaia DR3 5275773488076705024 have no noted literature references to disks.

Table 2.3: Approximate λ_{eff} Value For Each Bandpass Used in SED Generation

GA	LEX		G	aia			2MASS			W	ISE	
FUV	NUV	G_{RP}	G	G_{BP}	G_{RVS}	J	Н	K _S	W1	W2	W3	W4
nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	nm	$\mu { m m}$	$\mu { m m}$	$\mu { m m}$	$\mu { m m}$	$\mu { m m}$	$\mu { m m}$	$\mu { m m}$
152.8	231.0	503.6	582.2	762.0	857.8	1.235	1.662	2.159	3.368	4.618	12.082	22.194

Chapter 3

A Peter-Pan Disk Exits Neverland: Reevaluating the Age of Disk-Hosting Star 2MASS J15460752-6258042

Typically, gas-rich protoplanetary disks are battered by the stellar winds of the host star and are expected to dissipate on a timescale of a few Myr [11], while dusty debris disks formed by colliding planetesimals may exist much longer into a star's evolution. Infrequently, a star is identified that displays evidence of hosting a gas-rich disk despite an age older much older than the expected evaporation timescale (> 10 Myr) [45, 46]. Known examples of stars with these long lived gas and dust disks include the ~ 12 Myr binary V4046 Sagittarii [47] and the dusty $\sim 30 - 50$ Myr old RZ Piscium [48]. Because the exact intricacies of disk life-cycles remains an open question, it is important to identify these so called "peter-pan disks" and study them. It is likewise important to accurately assess the ages of these disks, to help paint a complete picture of the overall evolution and properties of these objects.



Figure 3.1: WISE W1-4 band images of J1546. Increased IR emission seen in the W3 and W4 images, compared to all other stars in the images, is evidence of the circumstellar disk thought to exist around the star.

3.1 Previous Studies of 2MASS J15460752-6258042

2MASS J15460752-6258042 (identified in the Gaia catalogue as Gaia DR3 5826466047245658240, known hereafter as J1546) is an understudied curiosity amongst circumstellar disk hosting stars. It was initially observed in the infrared by 2MASS and later WISE (figure 3.1). It was identified as an H α emission line T-Tauri star in 2014 as part of a South African Large Telescope (SALT) spectroscopic follow-up to several H α sources [49] identified in the AAO/UKST SuperCOSMOS H α Survey [50], with aims of identifying symbiotic stars.



Figure 3.2: Figure 4 from [5] showing the line profiles of He I, [OI], and Li I. The variationm between observations is likely an effect of the ongoing accretion.

A set of spectroscopic observations in 2018 and 2019 further refined the H α detection, showing an equivalent width in excess of 100 Å in both spectra. Lee+20 [5] (Lee+20 hereafter) also identified other emission lines (Balmer series, HeI, [OI]) in addition to a prominent Li I absorption line at 6708Å. Figure 3.2 shows the spectrum of the notable emission and absorption lines from that work. The behavior seen in the Lee+20 spectra is consistent with other diskhosting stars, with the H α strong emission lines supporting this.

Using their measurements, Lee+20 identifies J1546 as a spectral type M5 probable member of the Argus moving group. As Argus has as constrained age [2] of ~ 55 Myr, this gives J1546 the distinct title of oldest mid-M star with an accretion disk identified current to that work.

3.2 StarGateVR Recovery of J1546

Using the processes outlined in sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2, we recover J1546 as a candidate member for the much younger β Pictoris Moving Group (~ 20 Myr [51, 52]). As it has not been formally designated a member of any NYMG (with Lee+20 giving probabilities but no bona fide status) the star entered our pool of new candidates for the group. A complete list of the spatial and kinematic Gaia DR3 parameters is given in table 3.1.

Chapter 3. A Peter-Pan Disk Exits Neverland: Reevaluating the Age of Disk-Hosting Star 2MASS J15460752-6258042

Table 3.1: Complete list of spatial and kinematic values from Gaia DR3 used in determining our position and velocity of J1546

RA	DEC	$\overline{\omega}$	σ_{ϖ}	PMRA	σ_{PMRA}	PMDEC	σ_{PMDEC}	RV	σ_{RV}
(deg)	(deg)	(mas)	(mas)	$(mas yr^{-1})$	$(mas yr^{-1})$	$(mas yr^{-1})$	$(mas yr^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{km}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$
236.531	-62.968	17.013	0.031	-42.776	0.036	-61.791	0.031	2.526	2.381

3.3 Analysis

3.3.1 Spatio-Kinematics

We find the Gaia DR3 derived spatial and kinematic heliocentric positions paint a confusing picture of group membership for J1546. It is clear in figure 2.3 that J1546 occupies a region of space which cannot be strongly attributed to either group. Despite the muddled position of J1546, the kinematics of the Gaia DR3 measurement indisputably favor a β PMG membership attribution. This is noted with the heavy caveat that the Gaia derived radial velocity is not in good agreement with that determined in Lee+20, and uncertainties are not large enough in either case to reconcile the positions. If this variation in RV over time is real, it may indicate the presence of a punitive companion not detected by Gaia.

A BANYAN Σ analysis of the Gaia DR3 kinematics additionally favors a β PMG membership, attributing it a 46.9% probability. The Argus moving group yields a 0% probability, while the Upper Centaurus Lupus (UCL) association, not considered in this work has a small 3.7% probability. BANYAN Σ also gives a 49.4% probability that J1546 is a field star.

3.3.2 Color-Magnitude Diagram

Figure 3.4 shows that J1546 clearly occupies a transitional region of the CMD between the tracks formed by members of the Argus and β Pic moving groups. This position is further complicated because the disk inclination of J1546 is unknown. An edge-on or similarly obscuring view of the system that will "lower" the stars CMD position cannot be ruled out without further observation. The PARSEC isochrones should be interpreted with some caution as they only represent a solar metallicity regime, and theoretical isochrones have historically struggled to accurately model behavior of late-type pre-MS stars.



Figure 3.3: Spatial and kinematic positions of J1546 (this work) are shown in red with respect to bona fide members of the Argus and β Pictoris moving groups. Included are the UVW positions for J1546 as found in Lee+20. Error bars are smaller than point size in XYZ.



Figure 3.4: Gaia CMD of the bona fide members the Argus and β Pictoris moving groups [4] with the location of J1546 (this work) noted in red. Additionally plotted are theoretical PARSEC isochrones at the approximate ages of the moving groups [6]



Figure 3.5: Spectral energy distribution (SED) and best BT-Settl model fit for 2MASS J15460752-6258042. The best fit star yields a $T_{eff} = 2900$ K. Note the extreme deviation of the actual SED from the best fit in the infrared (particularly the WISE W3 and W4 bands), evidence for a circumstellar disk. Errors on photometry are smaller than point sizes, no GALEX data was available for this source and was thus not used in the SED or fit.

3.3.3 Spectral Type

As with all other candidate stars, an SED of J1546 was generated and modeled with the BT-Settl model grid as outlined in section 2.5. The resulting SED and subsequent fit are shown in figure 3.5, with the infrared excess that lead to a further interrogation of this object clearly visible. We find an effective temperature estimate of 2900 K, representative of an M5/M5.5 spectral type per [8]. This is in agreement with Lee+20, which gives an M5 spectral type and similar temperature.

3.4 On The Age of 2MASS J15460752-6258042

It is difficult to assign a NYMG membership to J1546 with high confidence. The spatial coordination, as is too often the case with NYMGs, is not extraordinarily insightful. The Gaia kinematics firmly associate the start with the β Pictoris moving group, a conclusion which is supported by the BANYAN Σ model. This lies in contrast to ground based radial velocities

Chapter 3. A Peter-Pan Disk Exits Neverland: Reevaluating the Age of Disk-Hosting Star 2MASS J15460752-6258042

which favor an Argus membership, although those spectra have a slightly lower resolving power than that of Gaia's RVS (R = 7,000 vs. R = 11,500 [33], although Gaia targets a very limited part of the spectrum). The CMD position also lends credence to Argus membership, but the extent of veiling and self-extinction due to system inclination are unknown. We also note that if there is indeed an undetected close companion, this would elevate the CMD position of V1546, putting it closer to the Argus association in actuality.

An additional clue to the age of this object is the Lithium 6708 Å equivalent width (EW). Lithium is rapidly depleted as stars evolve. While this rate depends on many physical parameters of a star, these may be amalgamated to determine (roughly) the expected equivalent widths of the Li 6707 Å line. Lee+20 reports EW measurements for the 6707 Li absorption line (figure 3.2) of 430 and 600 mÅ, which is in line with previous measurements for mid-M stars in β PMG [53, 54, 55]. As they note however, the ongoing accretion may actively replenish Li in the atmosphere of J1546, leading to the different values for the time separated measurements. As such, this again does not yield distinct proof of either group attribution.

Because the group membership of J1546 remains uncertain, we recommend adopting a much wider age range in future discussion about the object, until clarifying observations are undertaken. As such, a liberal age range should be adopted when discussing J1546 between $\sim 20 - 55$ Myr, given current age constraints on both the β Pic moving group and Argus association [56, 2]. Even in the case where this object has an age revision down to the β PMG standard, it still amounts to an unusually long lived accretion disk, worthy of additional study. Follow up observations, particularly high resolution spectra would be beneficial in reaffirming the H α and other emission line defections indicating accretion. Additional measurements of RV are needed to properly assess the group membership of J1546 and, if the RV variability is real, put constraints on the physical parameters of a thus far unseen companion.

Chapter 4

Searching For White Dwarfs in NYMGs

4.1 Young WDs in the Solar Neighborhood

Identifying young white dwarfs in the solar neighborhood can help answer important questions in regards to the age of nearby young stellar associations and star clusters, and help to verify our understanding of the initial mass function for these systems and the galaxy at large [57]. Typically, these young, massive WDs are identified by association to known groups, particularly the nearest open clusters such as the Hyades (age $\sim 600 - 700$ Myr [58]) and the Pleiades (age ~ 120 Myr [59]). Estimating the WD formation and cooling times also provide a valuable age estimate of these systems independent of other common methods such as the lithium depletion boundary or main-sequence isochrones [60].

In 2018, the ultra-massive WD GD 50 was identified in association with the AB Dor moving group [60] (age ~ 120 Myr [36]). This denotes the first known WD in a NYMG, evolving from a progenitor with an initial mass very close to the 8 M_{\odot} threshold for WD formation. To date, no other NYMG WDs have been identified, and any that will be must have resulted from a similarly massive progenitor or evolve in non-single star systems.

4.2 Search Criteria

As with the initial search of NYMG candidates we utilize a joint approach of data and the StarGateVR tool to identify WDs that may be associated with NYMGs. The initial DR3 sample is the same as that described in section 2.2.1, and once again we utilize the bona fide candidates from [4] in conjunction with those data.

Again using the SGVR tool, we initially select out all stars that share the approximate XYZ with the majority of bona fide members of a given NYMG. Unlike the previous selections however, we cannot utilize the heliocentric kinematic space to refine the search. This is because WDs are very faint, and the majority of those in the Gaia search lack radial velocity measurements. As such, we are limited to considering the 2-dimensional proper motions of the candidates. With SGVR, we select out the subset of stars which match both the XYZ positions and proper motions in the right ascension and declination. We are further inhibited from selecting similar CMD positions to bona fide NYMG members as none of the membership lists utilized contain WD members. As such, we impose a cutoff at $G_{BP} - G_{RP} \leq 0$, where G_{BP} and G_{RP} represent the Gaia blue and red photometric bands respectively, and select all sources of that disposition in the WD portion of the CMD which have also share similar positions and velocities with bona fide NYMG members.

An additional cutoff at $G_{BP} - G_{RP} \leq -0.15$ is applied to the data following the SGVR analysis, noting that GD 50, the WD member of the AB Doradus moving group has an $G_{BP} - G_{RP} \approx -0.5$ and it is unlikely that we will identify any candidates older than that, given that all NYMGs considered in this work are the same age or younger than the AB Dor moving group. It is possible that multiple star systems can yield WDs on an expedited timescale, but it is likely that any WD formed in that manner will be "washed out" by the companion and thus will not enter the WD part of the CMD.

4.3 WD Candidates

After filtering the sample in SGVR, we identify 47 candidate WDs among 6 of the 8 NYMGs considered, with 4 WDs being recovered in 2 NYMGs. No candidates are identified in the TW Hydrae association or the 32 Orinis group. The bulk of these (31) are found in association with the XYZ position and proper motion of the β Pictoris moving group. The β Pic moving group encompasses much of the sky, and consequently have a wide spread presence in proper motion space, as well as in positional space, so this effect is likely an artefact of a large filter rather than a true physical effect. The number of candidates recovered between the other groups is 7, 1, 1, 9, and 1 for the AB Doradus moving group, Argus association, Carina association, Columba association, and Tucana-Horologium association respectively. The aggregate CMD positions for all of the candidates is shown in figure 4.1.

4.4 BANYAN Σ Results

Because we are particularly limited in our set of observational diagnostics (i.e. no Gaia RVs or bona fide WD CMD tracks to trace) the BANYAN Σ tool is particularly insightful. We input all 51 sources. BANYAN Σ will natively query SIMBAD to pull values that are not input by the user if available. In this manner we are able to recover RV values for several of the candidates. BANYAN Σ returned a null NYMG probability for all but 8 candidates. Aside from one star, HZ 14 which is identified both by BANYAN and the literature as a Hyades cluster member [61], the 7 of the remaining sources have BANYAN Σ probabilities exclusively with regard to the Argus association, although all were recovered in association with other NYMGs in SGVR. These stars, their SGVR recovered groups, and their Argus BANYAN Σ probabilities are given in table 4.1. It is noted that BANYAN Σ failed to identify known RVs for any of these sources and thus the probability is based only on position and proper motion. Figures 4.2 and 4.3 show the XYZ and proper motion positions of the BANYAN Σ identified WDs with Argus probability against the bona fide list used in this work.



Figure 4.1: SGVR recovered WDs on the Gaia CMD. Field stars are from a randomly selected 12% of the nearest 50 pc. Note that the most recently formed, hottest white dwarf candidates occupy the region left of $G_{BP}-G_{RP}=0.4$



Figure 4.2: 3-D heliocentric positions of both the bona fide membership used as a guide for SGVR filtering and the WD candidates with non-zero BANYAN Σ Argus probabilities. Legend is the same as that in figure 4.3.



Figure 4.3: Proper motions in the right ascension and declination for both the bona fide membership and the WD candidates with non-zero BANYAN Σ Argus probabilities.

Table 4.1: SGVR Reco	overed WDs with BA	ANYAN Σ Non-Ze	ero Probability.
----------------------	--------------------	-----------------------	------------------

Gaia DR3 ID	SGVR Group	BANYAN Σ Group	Probability
6663138531316128640	βPMG	ARG	34
6685137182005209216	βPMG	ARG	0.9
292454841560140032	βPMG	ABDMG	0.2
2611722922108815872	βPMG	ARG	61.4
3216947242193857024	COL	ARG	51.4
3234818257515161856	COL	ARG	62
5129157117202633216	THA	ARG	94.4

4.5 Discussion

It is unlikely that any of the recovered WDs are affiliated with the NYMGs considered in this work, given the extraordinary timescale required for such formation to occur for most stellar mass regimes capable of producing WDs through single-star evolution. At the high end of that regime (~ $8M_{\odot}$) the stars have a main-sequence lifetime on the order of 50 Myr, and nearly all of the observed NYMGs are younger than that. The BANYAN Σ derived probabilities of membership to the Argus association among many candidates poses an oddity but should not be outright dismissed, especially for the higher probability sources. The XYZ positions are not extraordinarily distant from those of bona fide members, and proper motion alone may not be a sufficient consideration of the velocity space. Radial velocities are needed for these sources to concretely rule on affiliation with the Argus association, particularly for the high probability candidate (based on position and proper motion) Gaia DR3 5129157117202633216.

Of additional interest is the population of WDs recovered with $G_{BP} - G_{RP} \leq -0.4$. Regardless of NYMG association or lack thereof, these represent some of the hottest, most recently formed WDs in the solar neighborhood. This is especially true for the two candidates identified with $G_{BP} - G_{RP} \leq -0.5$, one of which is well studied while the other, Gaia DR3 5318772982658411008 is particularly understudied. By ascertaining the formation timescale of these WDs we may be able to probe the surrounding ISM for any evidence of their previous, short-lived planetary nebulae, something interesting irrespective of NYMG membership.

We also note that while this method does not recover droves of WDs in the NYMGs, it may be much more readily applied to more evolved associations of stars, such as nearby open clusters or stellar streams. The incidental recovery of a Hyades WD lends credence to this approach.

Chapter 5

Conclusions

5.1 Summary

In this work we have sought to identify expand the list of known nearby young stars to facilitate future studies of direct imaging studies of exoplanets, and the study of early stellar evolution after the stars have left their molecular clouds. We interrogate 8 NYMGs, the AB Doradus and β Pictoris moving groups, as well as the Argus, Carina, Columba, Tucana-Horologium, TW-Hydrae, and 32 Orionis associations. Using a new virtual reality tool, StarGateVR, we recovered over 1,000 candidate members between those 8 NYMGs, and found some ~ 360 of those to be poorly identified in preexisting literature, not identified at all, or associated with a different group than determined in this work (although this may change with a new young star database coming online in the near future). We find 20 of these candidates exhibit infrared excesses and thus are possible hosts to circumstellar disks, although many of these can be ruled out upon further examination of the WISE images.

One of the identified IR-excess stars, 2MASS J15460752-6258042, has previously been identified as an extraordinarily long lived accreting disk host, given an age of ~ 55 Myr based on prior assignment to the Argus association. Our work calls this age into question, recovering the star in association with the younger (age ~ 20 Myr) β Pictoris moving group, primarily based on Gaia DR3 derived kinematics. We note that further observations of the star must be undertaken to fully constrain its group membership and hence age, and recommend noting a

Chapter 5. Conclusions

wide age range ($\sim 20 - 55$ Myr) until this has been done. Regardless of the true age of J1546, evidence does suggest in either case it hosts a long-lived accretion disk and for this reason alone should be considered for future study.

We additionally attempt to use the SGVR to identify possible high-mass, recently formed WDs associated with the NYMGs considered in this work. While we do recover ~ 50 WDs, we note that these candidates lack DR3 radial velocities and thus have been selected on 3-D motion and proper motion, which is not ideal for accurately assessing membership. Additionally, the evolution timescale for even the most massive possible single stars to reach the WD stage is generally longer than the accepted age of groups considered, further adding to the likelihood that these candidates are not associated with any NYMGs in actuality. Despite this, the method for identifying WDs outlined is sound and may be applied to other, perhaps more evolved systems in the solar neighborhood.

5.2 Future Work

Virtual reality has proven to be a powerful tool for working with large sets of multidimensional data such as Gaia, something seen with increasing frequency in astronomy. Increasingly, astronomers have leaned on machine-learning techniques to probe such massive amounts of data. Virtual reality tools such as StarGateVR offer a way to check the work of machine learning algorithms, or to do similar work without the need to write such programs in the first place. We are currently still identifying the best ways to apply VR further, but a promising avenue lies in the world of citizen science. VR has already been successfully used in citizen science [27] to detect disks around young stars. A citizen science based use of VR to recover NYMG members can provide a useful check on machine learning algorithms and statistical models such as BANYAN.

We also note that StarGateVR is still in very active development. We will continue to expand the scope of the tool and identify new applications. The methods outlines in this work can broadly apply to any other NYMG or nearby stellar association, and we will be investigating these groups as such in the future. Additionally, we hope to further probe the solar neighborhood for very recently formed WDs. In addition to the NYMGs, there are also several older open clusters and large stellar streaming features [62] yet to be investigated. Our ultimate aim is to probe the ISM surrounding some of these WDs for remnants of their dispersed planetary nebulae.

Appendices

Appendix A

Table of New NYMG Candidates

A.1 Table Note

Star identifiers are given as catalogue IDs. Values of all measurements are obtained from . Errors in parallax, RA, DEC, PMRA, and PMDEC are small but may be obtained from the Gaia archive if necessary. Temperature and radii are derived from BT-Settl model fits [7] to each sources SED. Spectral types are estimated from [8], and corresponding masses may be found there.

Table A.1	: Complete List of N	ew Can	didates	With B'	T-Settl Mc	odeled Tem _F	berature,	Radii, ε	and Es	timatec	$\rm I \ Sp T$
Group	Gaia DR3 ID	\mathbf{RA}	DEC	β	PMRA	PMDEC	RV	σ_{RV}	T_{eff}	Radius	$_{\rm SpT}$
		(deg)	(deg)	(mas) ($(mas yr^{-1})$	$(mas yr^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	(km s)	(\mathbf{K})	(R_{\odot})	[63]
ABDMG 4	899996487129314688	0.931	-65.78	29.451	137.941	-59.436	17.869	0.384	3500	0.466	M2.5V
ABDMG 2	741802191422290176	1.605	4.837	19.729	88.275	-83.24	0.769	1.419	3200	0.371	M4V
ABDMG 4	904674604163917696	3.982	-61.631	18.208	68.171	-41.96	24.465	2.025	3200	0.510	M4V
ABDMG 2	792563894496829696	5.435	15.727	17.304	78.921	-74.694	-2.826	3.563	3200	0.355	M4V
ABDMG 5	524634348319029888	12.271	65.744	30.444	117.302	-61.535	-20.787	0.408	3900	0.440	$V \theta X$
ABDMG 4	931809967022910976	13.965	-49.64	21.378	97.101	-56.467	20.405	2.426	3000	0.333	M5V
ABDMG 2	787564449484699264	19.21	21.052	17.049	72.755	-76.768	-2.624	0.155	6700	1.526	F4V
ABDMG 2	564275967417666944	20.098	5.252	16.989	72.319	-69.855	6.989	0.563	3800	0.525	M0.5V
ABDMG 2	292521529517332224	24.997	26.185	30.881	134.807	-151.966	-3.778	4.48	4100	0.426	K7V
ABDMG 5	518201792978856960	27.966	64.434	57.825	237.441	-193.607	-13.224	0.166			
ABDMG 4	940048264051817216	36.327	-46.914	15.512	68.883	-8.817	23.044	0.962	3600	0.472	M1.5V
ABDMG 2	503139173839015936	38.813	2.797	39.903	125.543	-221.232	11.603	0.869	3000	0.333	M5V
ABDMG	65119748682966912	55.265	23.421	16.514	45.892	-98.082	6.654	0.469	4900	0.735	K3V
ABDMG 3	243178943933047296	57.472	-8.071	15.45	47.335	-66.493	17.794	0.229	6300	1.188	F7V
ABDMG	63678907415301120	58.12	21.566	16.68	37.711	-106.111	8.653	0.821	3800	0.501	M0.5V
ABDMG 4	779785132814476288	60.977	-54.354	12.44	27.577	-11.197	27.589	0.991	3800	0.522	M0.5V
ABDMG 4	837065153135921024	64.483	-46.584	13.988	28.226	-11.707	28.888	1.225	4100	0.503	K7V

I7 N3V	55 K5V	10 M4V	31 M1.5V	55 M0.5V	35 K5V	76 M1V	48 M3V	90 G6V	98 G3V	94 M4V	39 K4V	02 M2.5V	08 K6V	74 M3.5V	21 M3V	67 K6V	61 M3.5V	06 K8V
U./	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.5_{-}	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4°	0.5_{-}
4900	4400	3200	3600	3800	4500	3700	3400	5600	5700	3200	4700	3500	4200	3300	3400	4200	3300	4000
0.142	0.286	4.226	1.135	0.393	0.245	0.559	3.215	0.207	0.221	7.117	0.279	4.792	0.857	1.531	3.352	0.848	27.209	34.371
25.344	17.781	19.449	24.528	25.071	25.818	18.399	25.097	23.364	28.069	27.331	26.226	28.418	24.306	27.666	27.738	27.658	27.331	26.715
-62.953	-87.675	-63.481	-54.079	24.518	-52.37	-82.742	-71.942	-63.921	-25.883	-37.939	11.493	25.957	-60.287	-20.965	-34.366	-31.38	-24.629	-21.592
57.235	25.962	28.093	30.967	31.96	20.165	12.605	17.856	13.542	24.032	51.603	13.984	25.782	9.534	36.478	11.748	11.94	31.496	12.775
$192\ 20.607$	15.899	13.623	14.978	13.777	14.382	16.959	16.099	13.57	1.935	23.53	0.861	4.138	4.218	20.089	12.781	3.426	l8.171	12.157
MG 4884687028959710080 64.648 -30.4	$\rm MG~3285622081332943232~67.854 6.256$	MG 3201018685961098240 68.646 -5.359	MG 2979114871287464832 70.099 -17.345	MG 4655541311014240128 72.548 -68.623	MG 2979189809876856064 73.154 -18.829	MG 3226695615364589952 73.16 -0.996	MG 3181460676205397120 73.331 -10.853	MG 3186889171270161408 74.633 -7.933	MG 4876845616972144512 76.05 -29.7451	MG 4823476460727785728 76.099 -37.506 3	MG 4760652290461808640 77.296 -61.8261	MG 4663740407899446656 77.743 -64.4691	MG 2988451335618073856 78.032 -13.4 1	MG 4800816419433104768 79.075 -42.226	MG 2955348721294618368 80.241 -27.181 1	MG 2905315960068496000 81.161 -30.9241	MG 4821532146212618752 81.466 -36.917]	MG 2908574259698392704 81.684 -27.27

ABDMG 2956669166040391040 81.853 -26.585 12.012	8.912	-33.702	29.483	0.164	7400	1.614	A9V	
ABDMG 4798709067958473216 82.459 -47.076 19.55	28.183	-0.307	27.56	0.236	7200	1.476	F0V	
${ m ABDMG}479926078227776729682.925-45.55713.099$	15.867	-8.807	30.745	0.652	4300	0.683	$\mathrm{K6V}$	
ABDMG 2905813763957931136 82.997 -29.771 14.026	7.674	-34.079	30.83	5.36	3100	0.314	M4.5V	
$\rm ABDMG~3341555268631600256~84.209~13.632~17.615$	4.897	-109.844	15.057	0.313	5100	0.713	K2V	
ABDMG 4802945726778827520 84.329 -42.755 12.407	9.564	-10.092	30.671	4.252	3400	0.471	M3V	
ABDMG 2900579779371768832 85.149 -33.801 12.527	1.852	-24.247	32.831	2.452	3600	0.485	M1.5V	
ABDMG 2888253704268706688 85.466 -35.851 12.728	4.015	-20.317	35.819	4.719	3000	0.405	M5V	
ABDMG 4808235953959731200 85.582 -39.561 10.838	9.117	-12.626	31.515	1.208	4400	0.578	K5V	
ABDMG 4804760505079742336 85.627 -40.751 10.928	6.477	-10.642	29.544	10.305	5400	0.540	G9V	
$\rm ABDMG~4804677796893551616~86.004~-41.252~11.348$	6.04	-11.041	31.531	0.569	4800	0.688	K3V	
ABDMG 2907676577173911424 86.033 -28.145 12.745	1.581	-29.529	28.052	0.447	4500	0.590	K5V	
ABDMG 2915869966027648256 86.127 -23.605 13.834	1.973	-40.782	29.937	0.242	4800	0.712	K3V	
ABDMG 4792199649884736896 86.791 -52.941 11.662	9.705	14.346	35.099	3.992	4200	0.617	$\mathrm{K6V}$	
ABDMG 2888847577986581888 86.831 -33.936 13.682	9.153	-18.714	28.293	3.248	3100	0.508	M4.5V	
ABDMG 2904747237679167232 87.482 -27.81712.292	12.64	-27.981	29.469	4.157	3400	0.396	M3V	
$\rm ABDMG~4805020397844045568~87.494~-40.036~11.212$	4.346	-12.631	31.715	0.396	5000	0.722	K2V	
m ABDMG 4794752612804490240 89.156 -48.792 17.457	18.138	-1.835	31.174	4.694	2900	0.476	M5.5V	
$\rm ABDMG\ 2991678303464554368\ 89.192\ -17.254\ 15.031$	3.475	-47.683	23.462	4.108	3200	0.372	M4V	

A.46

${\rm DMG}\ 2909445897541101440\ 90.106\ -28.938\ 12.946$	4.451	-31.961	29.134	2.299	3600	0.478	M1.5V
$ [G\ 5500304413985680768\ 90.231\ -54.951\ 17.582 $	20.537	10.081	30.431	0.357	0099	1.358	F5V
${ m IG}~2897361989873959168~90.659$ -29.398 13.016	3.421	-31.399	26.926	2.704	3700	0.598	M1V
IG 2883422621974755584 91.406 -37.41415.426	0.792	-4.461	29.419	0.184	5400	0.791	G9V
m IG~2884574532203959808~92.564~-38.411~12.566	8.814	-13.175	33.368	5.518	3100	0.347	M4.5V
$\mathrm{MG}\ 2993303106774038016\ 93.789\ -15.025\ 15.313$	-8.679	-56.154	28.925	1.553	4000	0.527	K8V
m AG~5574891984279264128~94.29-37.25415.965	-9.889	-23.145	28.907	0.463	7800	2.065	A7V
$\Lambda \mathrm{G}~5481069007813444224$ 94.551 -61.933 12.957	3.94	24.521	31.348	2.884	4000	0.558	K8V
$\Lambda G \ 2898476654147271296 \ 94.647 \ -28.302 \ 16.105$	-4.772	-34.305	26.974	18.665	3700	0.549	M1V
$\Lambda G 5495333556276171648 94.723 -57.323 11.072$	4.868	15.903	30.706	1.311	3900	0.548	$V \theta X$
$\Lambda G 5550187572910255232 94.929 -50.329 14.138$	12.514	3.041	28.853	1.078	4200	0.532	$\mathrm{K6V}$
m AG~5554798134403541888~95.34~-46.736~12.176	12.069	-0.616	29.466	0.217	5500	0.846	G8V
${ m IG}$ 5554798134403541760 95.341 -46.73412.223	12.005	-0.844	30.085	1.986	4000	0.621	K8V
$\operatorname{IG}5478200588135044096\ 97.001\ -62.154\ 11.943$	1.488	24.917	33.201	5.227	3400	0.434	M3V
m AG~5280236955531554176~98.858-67.921~12.008	-4.06	23.53	28.857	0.757	4400	0.556	K5V
4G 937203855884408320 101.151 31.97 17.686	-14.679	-105.611	5.02	0.147	6500	1.154	F5V
${ m IG}$ 3381634224106876672 102.752 25.759 29.068	10.801	-198.148	6.777	0.136	5800	1.280	G2V
m IG2925888166229550464102.827 -21.908 15.905	-19.417	-48.692	29.555	1.582	3900	0.502	$V \theta X$
${ m IG}\ 3101905301926458752\ 103.164\ -5.323\ 20.629$	-25.752	-78.138	24.012	0.707	3600	0.526	M1.5V

K4V M3.5V M0.5V	0.733 0.470] 0.618]	4700 3300 3800	1.12 3.306 0.443	20.373 29.23 26.731	14.812 -5.417 12.418	-48.101 -43.731 -47.065	-59.019 14.25 -51.467 13.663 -76.454 13.334
K5V	0.697	4400	0.679	30.483	2.399	-37.937	2.803 14.52
M3V	0.341	3400	4.058	9.922	-138.047	-52.296	01 22.08
K1V	0.733	5200	0.271	30.189	1.881	-28.37	2 11.905
ΥθΧ	0.624	3900	0.209	12.713	-102.939	-42.482	17.879
K3V	0.767	4900	0.141	25.922	-63.158	-83.813	528.658
L0V	21.172	2300	0.5	24.549	-40.615	-47.291	415.188
K2V	0.772	5000	0.159	27.705	-42.46	-29.673	$)6\ 15.265$
K4V	0.699	4700	0.256	24.82	47.605	-34.885	$85\ 14.063$
F7V	1.194	6300	1.802	28.94	-11.202	-19.28	01 13.572
F6V	1.221	6400	0.207	31.44	6.151	-31.968	6616.299
M2.5V	0.390	3500	1.247	-0.154	-118.816	-24.974	97 20.359
K3V	0.719	4900	0.287	30.698	5.798	-14.274	8 12.243
K3V	0.792	4800	0.335	29.215	-51.536	-19.206	$41\ 21.299$
M1V	0.591	3700	1.07	31.514	-14.877	-10.617	9 12.404
K2V	0.734	5000	0.252	30.146	-11.881	-15.499	113.149
M3V	0.472	3400	2.339	24.665	-46.682	-22.848	15.068

3DMG 5657456442712755712 146.59 -27.276 18.874	-48.912	-65.916	23.577	0.152	0069	1.416	F2V
$0\mathrm{MG} \hspace{0.1cm} 810593580116505728 \hspace{0.1cm} 153.824 \hspace{0.1cm} 47.429 \hspace{0.1cm} 24.545$	-70.726	-120.475	-10.031	0.247	4000	0.496	K8V
MG 5189160902706509696 155.052 - 86.557 12.897	-47.916	28.298	23.63	0.316	0069	1.436	F2V
OMG 5191257877539468672 165.636 - 84.491 14.565	-58.756	18.081	25.326	3.513	3000	0.384	M5V
OMG 861857244610039552 165.84 61.654 25.511	-116.934	-66.766	-15.749	4.685	6500	1.174	F5V
m OMG~5336083762500221312~172.576-59.987~32.935	-147.54	-66.112	21.392	1.182	3400	0.414	M3V
OMG 3951744987519137152 182.34 21.052 26.859	-104.733	-122.554	-8.94	1.101	3300	0.546	M3.5V
DMG 6186697667433011072 198.131 -27.04 34.693	-165.532	-159.302	6.473	0.338	3500	0.424	M2.5V
m DMG~1552284674943267072~204.462~48.137~47.209	-241.458	-137.569	-20.161	0.221	3700	0.732	M1V
DMG 5864172256682581376 205.156 -64.07 14.978	-49.305	-47.285	18.279	0.181	6300	1.112	F7V
m OMG6002363001162403072233.108-41.79618.262	-54.554	-104.306	0.276	5.442	3000	0.329	M5V
OMG 1192813951126183808 238.002 15.235 31.611	-56.556	-128.324	-23.164	0.132	5900	0.958	G0V
DMG 5778355236611770752 238.307 -79.627 14.43	-38.895	-60.839	16.131	6.668	3100	0.380	M4.5V
OMG~5764662880870489728~241.468-89.309~12.778	-23.139	-40.599	23.159	0.167	0069	1.406	F2V
m OMG4325306090283827584248.159-15.98823.581	-40.575	-132.527	-6.2	0.126	6300	1.314	F7V
OMG 1310150675243348736 256.425 31.119 25.944	-19.648	-52.549	-30.029	0.157	5100	0.800	K2V
OMG 5921599577133226240 263.647 -53.269 19.878	-25.915	-126.389	1.323	0.599	3700	0.551	M1V
0 MG 4036773589046451584 268.602 - 38.627 18.215	3.919	-122.247	-4.872	0.237	6700	1.415	F4V
) MG 4160922195665537792 276.205 -6.354 23.949	12.912	-108.699	-20.28	0.263	4400	0.722	K5V

ABDMG 4046709772499428608 278.84 -31.396 58.049	23.625	-383.091	-6.391	0.749	3000	0.429	M5V
$\rm ABDMG\ 2042228396309369088\ 283.284\ 31.797\ 28.92$	46.452	-48.32	-29.596	0.153	4400	0.668	K5V
$\rm ABDMG~6366315952826621184~297.144-76.097~16.818$	25.961	-73.726	20.093	0.557	4200	0.570	K6V
$\rm ABDMG~6442084536047073664~297.278-61.812~15.237$	37.358	-95.89	8.052	0.193	4800	0.799	K3V
ABDMG 6690416968120938368 297.9 -40.423 35.204	55.528	-197.285	2.432	1.177	3200	0.424	M4V
m ABDMG4304136333937439488298.35712.17917.317	25.864	-67.144	-21.705	4.457	3200	0.338	M4V
m ABDMG~6422432518048366976~298.489 - 71.406 12.966	19.277	-70.136	13.869	2.945	5600	0.486	G6V
ABDMG 6427284422343978368 299.871 -67.201 20.63	36.645	-105.766	11.994	0.173	4200	0.641	K6V
$\rm ABDMG\ 1829403940648464384\ 304.074\ 22.102\ 19.46$	48.054	-55.625	-23.712	0.176	5000	0.794	K2V
m ABDMG 6795871055324926592 312.081 -28.025 17.061	46.886	-103.424	-3.173	0.791	3900	0.717	K9V
$\rm ABDMG\ 6795871059622986240\ 312.082\ \text{-}28.025\ 16.768$	40.417	-92.297	-8.473	3.176	3200	0.791	M4V
$\rm ABDMG\ 6788428809012385536\ 315.357\ \text{-}29.978\ 14.143$	35.882	-82.576	-0.056	1.936	3100	0.583	M4.5V
$\rm ABDMG\ 6788428809012385408\ 315.358\ \text{-}29.978\ 14.151$	32.757	-83.849	-3.646	2.63	3000	0.528	M5V
$\rm ABDMG\ 6917117913373156480\ 315.966\ -1.548\ 16.742$	40.259	-84.03	-16.906	0.759	3900	0.508	K9V
$\rm ABDMG\ 1760316677257596288\ 316.453\ 15.327\ 21.339$	84.973	-80.218	-21.925	0.134	6400	1.695	F6V
m ABDMG6787949937336590080319.522-29.51421.983	55.952	-135.944	-4.074	0.15	5700	0.898	G3V
$\rm ABDMG\ 1797312357315620352\ 322.196\ 23.336\ 20.643$	62.304	-81.559	-20.951	0.284	4200	0.668	K6V
$\rm ABDMG\ 6785220605879225344\ 323.361\ -30.395\ 20.281$	62.287	-115.616	-0.234	2.796	3000	0.326	M5V
ABDMG 6403254252120730368 323.719 -63.02 27.795	111.928	-147.461	12.881	0.274	3300	0.491	M3.5V

A.50

A.50

ABDMC	36831554747427433728324.338-18.44217.939	55.606	-104.84	-0.721	0.136	6800	1.389	F2V
ABDMG	32674359621346653824324.588-2.181 15.166	47.585	-68.895	-15.545	0.35	4700	0.514	K4V
ABDMC	36810749341730012544325.809 -27.47 21.683	86.971	-119.821	2.179	1.115	2400	0.709	M8.5V
ABDMC	36562535382034641792326.817-48.04515.923	50.012	-85.065	8.357	2.431	3200	0.381	M4V
ABDMC	36586580842340695040329.333-37.76422.269	101.032	-118.842	5.606	0.122	6100	1.188	F9V
ABDMC	31975678603914495616331.52447.56826.999	101.231	-65.968	-23.518	2.102	3100	0.497	M4.5V
ABDMC	36511622010777371776333.619 - $50.03115.774$	56.967	-77.82	8.411	0.611	3900	0.554	V0X
ABDMC	31934349508007052928343.75142.49523.076	103.222	-50.557	-21.541	0.137	5400	0.821	G9V
ABDMG	32663445770145454848345.6375.71821.974	99.05	-87.771	-11.581	0.187	4200	0.595	$\mathrm{K6V}$
ABDMC	36377390543178333056354.67-77.158 23.37	94.265	-50.247	22.189	5.411	3000	0.270	M5V
ABDMG	$\frac{1}{2}2878196299610044032356.64634.45717.493$	83.567	-55.097	-13	0.902	3400	0.476	M3V
ABDMC	36534422175381498496358.035-39.77716.29	74.562	-63.369	13.922	1.354	3300	0.378	M3.5V
ABDMG	32847644410526748416358.86222.19339.256	202.046	-147.05	-11.655	0.136	4700	0.741	K4V
ARG	512167948043650816 26.943 63.851 99.59	581.684	-246.462	2.615	0.12			
ARG	5279746843928722432 92.644 - $68.99822.081$	10.273	124.467	14.693	3.85	3000	0.237	M5V
ARG	5505536989823914240 108.72 -49.198 13.758	-20.672	59.996	19.669	0.614	3600	0.598	M1.5V
ARG	$5487374844438857728\ 110.095\ -56.294\ 13.741$	-27.01	61.408	13.928	0.39	0096	1.505	A0V
ARG	5288873928599788288112.807- $62.51414.586$	-36.576	62.097	11.329	1.764	4700	1.200	K4V
ARG	$5277389495292275712\ 121.226\ -63.771\ 13.852$	-40.347	60.305	10.442	3.27	3900	0.719	$V \theta X$

MIU	000'II 70'IO- II'I7I 07000'III7'I0'710000	000.07-	101.00	FF 1.17	107.1	0000	0110	A CAT
ARG	5596414164188103552 122.05 $-30.37517.028$	-46.627	40.464	22.873	0.802	3400	0.613	M3V
ARG	$5315691670041169920\ 124.127\ -57.659\ 11.956$	-31.844	53.128	16.929	5.8	3600	0.569	M1.5V
ARG	5539990564641567488125.153-40.2028.107	-25.67	24.936	15.327	10.268	4100	0.669	K7V
ARG	$5515292303669259776\ 126.319\ -49.232\ 7.766$	-26.036	27.369	19.475	7.01	3400	0.503	M3V
ARG	5302148607365884928126.783-61.0758.942	-30.777	36.909	12.219	4.538	3600	0.743	M1.5V
ARG	5316163051297868288127.952-55.84210.245	-36.424	38.166	15.804	4.745	3500	0.583	M2.5V
ARG	5273059412344126208 128.02 -65.668 9.067	-26.224	41.695	14.524	0.238	6300	1.277	F7V
ARG	5304502489970688896 132.02 - 57.289 7.708	-28.907	27.828	8.537	6.064	3500	0.672	M2.5V
ARG	$5524672443520376960\ 133.296\ -41.454\ 7.897$	-30.284	18.593	21.238	6.84	3500	0.568	M2.5V
ARG	$5296630635245171712\ 134.024\ -64.659\ 10.436$	-42.843	39.498	8.794	5.447	3200	0.435	M4V
ARG	$5296630639541459072\ 134.028\ -64.66\ 10.522$	-40.583	39.868	10.943	0.267	6100	1.110	F9V
ARG	$5304948960420921856\ 135.779$ - $55.353\ 7.278$	-29.497	23.94	8.803	6.641	3600	0.649	M1.5V
ARG	5624801875084229888136.936-34.12210.82	-39.349	25.171	18.044	0.152	6600	1.567	F5V
ARG	5324054899083453184136.958-51.0939.694	-46.7	24.397	16.897	2.478	3600	0.533	M1.5V
ARG	5300158800568108928136.994-59.3317.381	-30.759	23.737	9.39	4.995	3400	0.624	M3V
ARG	$5327462045096640512\ 138.796\ -46.217\ 8.462$	-31.712	21.824	10.691	5.286	1700	37.099	L5V
ARG	$5430803218846586752\ 140.478-38.221\ 9.501$	-39.658	19.311	13.901	4.627	3400	0.540	M3V
ARG	5250419123598416384141.684- $63.30313.726$	-60.245	43.917	10.762	1.378	4200	0.677	K6V

ARG	5423284895776354944 141.779 -44.887 12.838	-62.254	27.113	17.971	1.703	3600	0.536	V d. LIM
ARG	5429985353994214656141.916-38.565 8.46	-37.416	15.413	16.113	0.747	5400	0.807	G9V
ARG	$5430194020684537600\ 142.173\ -37.547\ 9.201$	-39.989	17.81	14.338	5.247	3400	0.434	M3V
ARG	5305321385626888704143.544 - $59.73313.239$	-52.739	39.481	12.233	7.928	3000	0.318	M5V
ARG	5432954688222466560145.284-37.72810.802	-44.48	24.157	13.437	6.472	3300	0.422	M3.5V
ARG	5411459235907313920146.101-46.3569.14	-34.892	18.616	8.982	7.688	3600	0.538	M1.5V
ARG	$5409456509842909056\ 146.157\ -49.288\ 11.734$	-53.942	23.97	14.467	3.323	3200	0.311	M4V
ARG	5309383428248088576147.138-52.76513.205	-61.767	40.659	8.295	5.063	3200	0.312	M4V
ARG	$5459488824377831552\ 150.501\ -34.173\ 13.182$	-52.398	20.184	10.436	0.156	7600	1.607	A8V
ARG	$5414791305890832000\ 150.879$ - $45.079\ 9.793$	-45.389	13.144	16.452	0.399	4600	0.704	K4V
ARG	5355225430953923584153.984 - $55.4968.082$	-39.798	17.768	4.832	4.722	3900	0.815	V0X
ARG	5416456069571762816 155.46 $-41.98323.339$	-125.74	12.365	12.118	1.79	3100	0.357	M4.5V
ARG	5232109922839642368158.862- $70.31115.07$	-84.723	35.567	4.2	3.674	3400	0.457	M3V
ARG	$5228879798191489152\ 161.975\ -73.787\ 11.038$	-59.161	18.766	1.242	6.52	3400	0.468	M3V
ARG	5338237327817885696163.714- 60.265 9.03	-44.78	18.404	3.313	7.331	3900	0.477	$V \theta X$
ARG	$5226381978352399104\ 164.851\ -73.031\ 20.464$	-111.254	28.817	1.516	3.129	3300	0.630	M3.5V
ARG	5226381982652636928164.854 -73.03 20.487	-110.612	27.591	5.843	3.346	3000	0.292	M5V
ARG	$5390527180204478720\ 166.646\ -39.481\ 21.117$	-115.502	-4.096	7.825	2.051	3200	0.348	M4V
ARG	5228613578945446784167.575-70.38412.081	-70.153	25.254	5.516	0.396	5000	0.944	K2V

M4.5V	0.474	3100	1.769	-6.162	-44.471	72.366	2797571482766220160 4.914 19.851 17.376	βPMG
K5V	0.693	4400	0.151	-11.024	106.876	111.58	2161733975835084544 314.907 42.045 36.026	ARG
M5.5V	0.528	2900	0.307	-19.483	56.47	103.943	$2064015945060729472\ 308.399\ 38.895\ 27.091$	ARG
G9V	0.917	5400	0.118	-21.623	160.158	81.966	$2046207670631964928\ 290.892\ 33.223\ 64.07$	ARG
M5V	0.325	3000	1.215	-19.787	-192.547	34.06	6716791228414290944284.254-40.19842.258	ARG
M5V	0.302	3000	4.562	-25.118	-75.94	9.507	$4048539222453527552\ 277.514$ - 28.602 21.206	ARG
F1V	2.137	7000	1.106	-13.102	-79.856	-1.372	$6702762903160966912\ 273.234$ -50.559 14.958	ARG
M3V	0.461	3400	2.187	-23.389	-35.854	-13.632	$4070316832559678208\ 269.915\ -21.992\ 15.645$	ARG
L7V	0.408	1500	4.439	-26.146	-16.471	-33.09	$4372709335095064448\ 267.984\ 1.706\ 36.588$	ARG
M4.5V	0.301	3100	1.273	-28.448	-22.285	-44.736	4369747594365857408 262.78 -2.655 36.563	ARG
M3V	0.516	3400	0.845	-20.927	-54.37	-7.93	$4111704752334176384 \ \ 261.67 \ \ -22.631 \ \ 22.966$	ARG
M3V	0.441	3400	0.5	-20.914	-47.867	-53.909	$4128708566525738112\ 254.564\ \text{-}20.204\ 22.473$	ARG
K9V	0.761	3900	0.904	-8.899	-77.881	-26.601	$5808315755939485696\ 252.081\ -69.539\ 15.354$	ARG
M4.5V	0.295	3100	2.647	-24.133	-12.994	-150.39	$4408913744737288320\ 244.796\ 1.678\ 44.896$	ARG
M5V	0.324	3000	4.199	-14.52	-48.806	-64.96	$6000034407338331520\ 229.556\ -45.477\ 15.658$	ARG
M5.5V	0.380	2900	3.38	-6.315	-56.058	-54.9	5793564093770947584225.939-73.53214.21	ARG
G9V	0.816	5400	0.19	-3.285	-39.067	-61.606	$5798278799637597952\ 218.275\ -70.202\ 13.84$	ARG
F6V	1.376	6400	0.133	-3.996	-25.593	-64.072	5867265350307448448210.729-60.34612.545	ARG
K4V	0.812	4700	0.378	5.977	5.791	-65.905	5334792454825802624177.703-61.3510.615	ARG

A.54

A.54

1	• • • •	2221			>>+->>	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>			
1.7V	0 154	1500	3 761	-7 014	-50 165	-3 358	18 -99 KNA 15 989	1117569579397410439 963 5	RPMG
A2V	1.857	8800	0.329	-0.86	-71.513	-14.182	$67 - 39.507 \ 15.612$	$5972194910385133184\ 258.00$	βPMG
M5.5V	0.488	2900	2.381	2.526	-61.791	-42.776	31-62.96817.013	$5826466047245658240\ 236.56$	βPMG
K5V	1.138	4400	0.36	-5.749	-99.841	-69.393	$48 - 30.349 \ 25.727$	$6016786291603048320\ 236.4$	βPMG
M3.5V	0.559	3300	1.585	21.731	36.592	24.622	$21 - 53.05 \ 20.374$	4792149175429007360 88.12	βPMG
F0V	2.132	7200	0.522	10.433	-39.606	3.071	8 4.008 16.909	3320316831446869888 86.25	βPMG
M4.5V	0.512	3100	4.577	13.11	-46.224	28.189	[1 2.454 17.313	3234516097975452672 79.21	βPMG
M4.5V	0.622	3100	2.219	17.971	-46.226	24.039	76 13.134 13.062	3307516248356072960 68.77	βPMG
M4V	0.554	3200	2.839	12.839	-46.598	39.161	$07 \ 14.925 \ 14.413$	3311206862213846144 65.60	βPMG
K7V	0.353	4100	0.945	10.697	-80.368	66.668	79 22.21 25.896	61801491310173056 51.87	βPMG
M3V	0.496	3400	3.493	10.635	-49.243	35.2	$39 \ 27.794 \ 12.929$	118821167893453696 51.03	βPMG
M1V	0.423	3700	1.458	12.158	-69.497	83.321	[4 5.687 26.638	9124679495764864 50.91	βPMG
M1.5V	0.821	3600	2.042	8.943	-45.099	60.455	07 11.457 17.634	16983468919490176 48.69	βPMG
F2V	0.233	0069	0.634	5.248	-51.331	38.258	$[7 \ 30.527 \ 13.494]$	123185851098826880 46.71	βPMG
F1V	1.532	2000	0.277	9.562	-25.185	70.577)2 -2.638 15.285	-2504554451462345600 27.90	βPMG
F8V	0.975	6200	0.304	1.419	-50.229	45.515	32 31.381 13.302	303793555221824640 24.58	βPMG
M4.5V	0.385	3100	2.308	9.53	-28.771	51.952	7 0.516 18.436	-2558125161933375104 22.2'	βPMG
M4V	0.353	3200	2.262	-12.327	-25.257	206.667	$33 \ 80.459 \ 46.931$	565706429072383232 16.73	βPMG
F1V	1.481	2000	0.192	14.721	-17.228	78.007	4 -59.71717.558	-4906761820830908032 -9.00	βPMG
M5.5V	0.439	2900	25.449	-19.78	-22.292	37.196	1857250172128937856308.99427.86725.504	βPMG	
-------	-------	------	--------	---------	----------	---------	--	------	
M4V	0.438	3200	3.915	-6.973	-61.181	33.494	16695180705328058752308.103-36.68713.285	βPMG	
M3.5V	0.703	3300	10.699	4.177	-53.208	29.031	1, 6468991303565458816 307 -56.163 14.15	βPMG	
G2V	0.971	5800	0.413	-14.49	-36.011	23.547	$\frac{1}{2}$ 6771833639498793600 294.012 -22.506 10.05	βPMG	
M3V	0.587	3400	3.823	-14.377	-47.69	19.018	3 6765662080732001664290.796 -27.57 12.721	βPMG	
K8V	0.974	4000	7.807	-9.98	-42.679	-3.332	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4048015232057762432 276.163 -29.759 10.045	βPMG	
M3V	1.268	3400	7.195	-10.083	-41.946	-2.9	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4053144110202691840 276.102 -25.376 10.182	βPMG	
M4.5V	0.547	3100	8.234	-3.32	-108.089	-12.192	$\frac{1}{2}$ 6728181206848748672274.647-37.17125.279	βPMG	
M3.5V	0.614	3300	4.633	-9.049	-32.896	1.106	$\frac{1}{2}$ 6721371892640117888273.343-43.6718.409	βPMG	
X9V	0.813	3900	2.451	-2.643	-33.95	0.889	$\frac{1}{2}$ 6721371896953755776273.339-43.6728.409	βPMG	
F9V	1.897	6100	0.357	-7.231	-39.349	-4.931	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4066318531598567424 272.626 -23.567 9.883	βPMG	
M4.5V	2.117	3100	5.001	-8.955	-35.251	-9.872	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4070354804376104192 269.145 -21.988 10.083	βPMG	
K6V	0.984	4200	4.536	-3.071	-33.537	-2.191	$\frac{1}{2}$ 5956507726972104192 267.642 -42.849 8.419	βPMG	
K8V	0.857	4000	0.799	-7.731	-44.838	0.419	$4057219042072522496\ 267.615$ - $29.474\ 10.092$	βPMG	
M2.5V	0.902	3500	8.713	-5.693	-37.112	-9.771	$\frac{1}{2}$ 5955638464288020352 266.805 -43.363 8.454	βPMG	
L5V	1.069	1700	5.117	-6.378	-33.065	-2.941	$\frac{1}{2}$ 5961097230314565760 265.675 -39.877 8.471	βPMG	
M4V	0.772	3200	1.958	-7.286	-40.05	-3.035	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4060162331630245888 265.101 -28.947 10.96	βPMG	
K9V	0.963	3900	4.888	-11.991	-39.006	-2.705	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4060162327281216896 265.099 -28.948 10.968	βPMG	
K7V	0.838	4100	2.607	-17.113	-126.177	-17.393	$\frac{1}{2}$ 4161560427799517184 264.444-13.247 30.108	βPMG	

	66 M5V	26 K3V	83 M3V	79 A8V	88 B9.5V	20 M3V	84 G8V	34 M0.5V	59 K1V	61 K7V	09 K7V	55 M3V	53 M3V	53 M3.5V	72 F2V	22 F9.5V	11 M2.5V
0.4	0.7	1.0	0.6	1.7	0 2.0	0.0	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	1.4	0.0	0.6
	3000	4800	3400	7600	1020(3400	5500	3800	5200	4100	4100	3400	3400	3300	0069	0009	3500
	2.06	0.396	0.464	0.477	2.745	9.17	0.718	8.493	2.158	0.701	1.777	4.13	11.937	7.653	2.113	0.547	2.722
	4.991	-11.981	-11.499	-9.438	-0.116	17.246	19.489	23.198	23.2	24.186	21.402	22.664	20.053	22.953	21.95	21.752	21.604
071.00-	-44.215	-29.564	-32.768	-36.147	-66.231	40.004	37.305	24.815	24.477	19.652	26.981	28.049	28.035	26.803	18.881	23.158	23.089
44.901	25.74	33.47	44.754	65.828	98.578	4.632	0.324	-3.824	-4.992	-3.79	-6.058	-5.967	-6.262	-7.034	-6.437	-6.892	-8.515
	+ 6481430353489019776314.946-48.16511.945	2666647337551843584325.658 -7.746 14.037	$\begin{array}{c} 2697437477060222720\ 328.664\ 6.044\ 15.511 \end{array}$	2718224195355526144342.38410.47815.286	2419885149815948416355.681 - 14.54520.895	5260885172922381824103.453-75.70410.965	$5264023999444318464\ 108.759\ -72.047\ 10.868$	5292317736459053312113.806-61.4559.095	5293469573671058944114.002-59.6089.545	$5295406539500691072\ 115.026\ -57.923\ 7.755$	5289238756006614272115.507- 62.043 10	5287488956268709888115.771 - 64.475 9.823	5275773488076705024 116.7 -65.12 9.589	5287921923332236800117.414 - 63.7 9.566	5295599194554272768117.598-56.326 8.12	5290900569051575424118.223- $60.7868.917$	$5290604044511975040\ 118.406\ -61.882\ 9.081$
BPMG	βPMG	βPMG	βPMG	βPMG	βPMG	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR	CAR

2	Кų	0.697	3900	1.963	23.103	12.283	-15.651	5327902021551151872 132.672 - 50.286 8.000	CAK
\geq	\mathbf{K}_{4}	0.949	4700	2.043	22.485	16.282	-12.603	$5301812603489357440\ 132.118 - 59.687 \ 7.32$	CAR
\geq	IM	0.731	3700	5.484	16.346	32.473	-18.373	$5220464995182613120\ 131.521\ -72.486\ 10.665$	CAR
5V	F9.	0.640	6000	2.621	20.201	17.274	-13.126	$5301705916499514752\ 131.301\ -60.12\ 7.534$	CAR
Ν	$\mathbf{K}3$	0.800	4800	0.671	17.457	35.234	-16.725	$5219822639873434112\ 129.114$ - $74.354\ 10.894$	CAR
5V	F9.	1.013	0009	0.631	23.514	14.046	-11.682	$5321553024789777792\ 127.663\ -51.684\ 7.6$	CAR
\geq	$\mathbf{K0}$	0.814	5300	0.437	23.349	15.614	-11.409	5321530317305022464 127.59 -51.701 8.247	CAR
Ν	$\mathbf{K8}$	0.699	4000	2.46	23.056	14.028	-11.294	5321126826600019584127.579-53.2817.292	CAR
Ν	K2	1.015	5100	0.77	22.207	20.99	-12.283	$5276668658041488640\ 127.483$ - $63.585\ 8.381$	CAR
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$	K2	0.929	5000	0.443	22.786	15.922	-10.989	5319468114529032448126.547 - $54.7977.791$	CAR
\geq	A7	1.623	7800	0.169	21.907	17.539	-10.004	5315521623693736064125.692 - $57.8897.742$	CAR
Σ	$\mathbf{K0}$	0.861	5300	1.475	20.332	18.032	-9.783	5315521619400416128125.689-57.8877.684	CAR
5V	M2.	0.535	3500	5.873	19.976	16.721	-9.066	$5319254603112221952\ 123.262\ -55.149\ 7.808$	CAR
5V	M2.	0.592	3500	6.387	21.79	22.321	-9.157	$5277526934245765376\ 123.193\ -63.077\ 8.322$	CAR
Λ	M3	0.561	3400	4.112	22.364	21.114	-10.885	5291438745634787328123.127 - 58.43 8.951	CAR
5V	M2.	0.577	3500	2.522	23.995	21.332	-9.598	5291385213162538624122.656-59.0128.709	CAR
Λ	$\mathrm{K5}$	0.910	4400	0.974	19.006	32.517	-13.531	5269346361575307264121.314-71.10310.75	CAR
\mathbf{N}	G_{0}	0.869	5400	0.407	22.348	22.859	-6.924	5291550174265854208120.519-58.7268.748	CAR
Ν	$\mathbf{K}3$	0.836	4800	0.813	24.287	17.963	-6.743	5488393267085781888119.303-54.4557.933	CAR

K2V	1.021	5000	1.315	21.367	9.161	-20.512	$5313722960120541312\ 142.417 - 50.659\ 7.929$	CAR
M2.5V	0.721	3500	4.508	20.145	9.582	-19.509	$531242272235415040\ 142.268-52.532\ 7.566$	CAR
M1V	0.698	3700	3.533	20.351	12.002	-18.545	$5306646262777290112\ 142.121\ -56.731\ 7.522$	CAR
M1.5V	0.739	3600	7.437	22.661	11.529	-18.049	$5307225121291062912\ 142.007\ -56.267\ 7.3$	CAR
K5V	0.757	4400	1.716	19.916	17.699	-20.502	5248642003570725760141.688-64.6318.479	CAR
K1V	1.020	5200	0.807	21.008	10.868	-18.126	5310518605301690368141.228-54.6517.347	CAR
F5V	1.565	6500	0.744	21.081	17.92	-18.791	5248443958340243584140.752-65.5268.088	CAR
M3V	0.595	3400	7.984	22.872	11.147	-17.323	$5310641510088428672\ 139.895-54.478\ 7.213$	CAR
K1V	0.875	5200	0.957	21.686	12.139	-17.54	5310658758672519168139.266-54.7147.484	CAR
M0.5V	0.748	3800	2.618	22.129	10.949	-17.296	5311475867608653696139.125-53.672 7.297	CAR
G2V	0.969	5800	3.529	23.1	11.684	-16.98	$5310200502835788800\ 138.812$ - $55.198\ 7.347$	CAR
G2V	1.152	5800	1.726	19.105	11.773	-15.405	5309768154242210304138.787- $57.0097.232$	CAR
X9V	0.717	3900	3.185	21.51	15.239	-27.301	5304828971900544896 136.21 - $56.29412.413$	CAR
M2.5V	0.655	3500	3.303	17.946	18.104	-16.767	5298170093266064128 136.2 - 61.813 8.143	CAR
K6V	0.756	4200	2.963	21.002	18.04	-14.869	5298349829055280384134.779- $61.7717.702$	CAR
M0.5V	1.653	3800	4.294	21.523	13.325	-12.626	5298425111243573376 134.7 - 61.254 7.207	CAR
M2.5V	0.929	3500	4.56	20.923	19.777	-17.99	$5296337069936389248\ 134.405$ - $65.455\ 7.846$	CAR
A8V	1.556	7600	1.273	19.086	25.74	-16.693	$5223228170984191360\ 134.343$ -69.642 9.196	CAR
M1.5V	0.670	3600	3.252	19.779	18.863	-14.105	$5297822922465031424\ 133.015-62.815\ 7.837$	CAR

CAR	$5307491718499561600\ 142.515 - 54.392\ 7.294$	-18.919	10.173	19.233	3.57	3900	0.674	K9V
CAR	5308691040849641472144.266-55.3337.671	-20.196	10.442	20.344	0.718	4800	0.911	K3V
CAR	5309576014589472640144.744-52.5167.296	-19.886	8.72	20.507	2.681	4600	0.887	K4V
CAR	5305417489798168704 145.21 -59.227 7.151	-19.103	11.617	19.758	1.016	5500	0.883	G8V
CAR	5257782248057534208146.508-58.7447.411	-20.488	12.609	21.484	0.318	7000	1.496	F1V
CAR	$5256842543566317312\ 146.928$ - $61.501\ 7.734$	-20.329	14.273	16.671	4.672	3600	0.471	M1.5V
CAR	5257527539324149632147.699-59.0827.25	-20.577	10.484	21.543	6.272	3600	0.748	M1.5V
CAR	$5257392295070412032\ 148.556\ -60.275\ 11.835$	-30.956	15.052	18.388	1.77	4200	0.768	K6V
CAR	5259312012313189376149.473- $57.4179.737$	-29.847	8.958	19.335	0.51	5100	0.990	K2V
CAR	$5246295959308854400\ 152.082$ - $65.259\ 8.205$	-24.434	14.139	18.931	0.843	5900	1.074	G0V
CAR	5246284685000163968152.695 - 65.38 9.227	-24.531	14.381	18.905	3.154	6600	0.588	F5V
CAR	$5245106150284051712\ 154.266-67.071\ 7.237$	-23.298	11.724	17.432	4.317	3900	0.737	K9V
CAR	5254610741146248448 156.66 -61.487 9.422	-27.486	9.432	18.904	7.357	3400	0.551	M3V
CAR	5241865163655827968164.017- $61.86811.591$	-42.042	5.168	17.006	0.571	4400	0.943	K5V
COL	4700754191218621184 32.625 $-63.74710.022$	39.231	3.766	15.472	5.922	3500	0.483	M2.5V
COL	4721257853989553152 40.525 -63.581 9.818	40.264	3.16	14.587	4.221	3400	0.694	M3V
COL	4721962812741729792 44.762 - 61.333 13.31	55.879	7.532	17.166	3.151	3100	0.456	M4.5V
COL	4734504112949828992 46.199 $-55.03310.377$	40.468	2.19	16.146	1.398	4300	0.790	K6V
COL	4734702957052109184 46.203 $-54.73911.414$	41.693	0.886	16.194	4.92	3000	0.496	M5V

K9V K4V	0.714 1.072	$3900 \\ 4600$	2.683 0.767	21.409 18.191	-13.239 27.246	27.505 27.514	$3461411599488 \ 74.704 \ -14.315 \ 11.103 \\ 1781510322304 \ 74.773 \ -63.425 \ 11.618 \\ $
M4.5V	0.551	3100	5.324	19.101	-13.529	28.011	14.703 -14.318 11.043
K6V	0.732	4300	1.266	20.194	19.742	25.951	72.81 -60.308 9.967
M0.5V	0.732	3800	2.416	18.929	-17.309	22.839	72.669 -1.263 8.266
M1V	0.715	3700	4.848	23.842	-12.218	27.398	72.548 - 13.649 9.931
M5V	0.405	3000	3.435	18.775	-24.638	45.934	0.978 -10.575 15.065
M1.5V	0.749	3600	0.983	21.58	-14.041	36.886	0.212 -18.707 13.518
F9V	1.083	6100	0.422	20.612	-20.776	32.681	1.545 -4.915 10.178
M1.57	0.728	3600	36.745	21.269	-11.03	38.516	105 - 22.877 11.415
M4V	0.629	3200	1.483	19.96	18.781	47.454	.787 -57.729 14.368
M3.5V	0.888	3300	3.574	22.623	9.773	41.791	1.706 -45.16 13.264
M3.5V	0.507	3300	4.878	20.736	1.973	34.997	9.15 - 42.555 10.558
M2.5V	0.585	3500	2.256	18.009	19.349	42.03	.368 -67.465 11.804
M0.5V	0.684	3800	3.075	16.843	-16.38	49.708	317 -22.879 13.964
M6V	0.572	2800	4.622	16.548	-19.334	67.019	757 -24.568 17.167
K5V	0.453	4500	4.314	14.768	-26.478	38.796	031 8.812 9.718
K8V	0.962	4000	0.992	16.533	-14.096	39.413	.415 -20.327 10.423
K4V	0.869	4600	0.385	15.872	-23.998	53.584	387 -15.086 13.692

COL	2973162115335027200 75.119 -22.028 10.974	27.391	-6.475	23.437	0.821	4400	0.823	K5V
COL	3238551507511143424 76.149 4.046 8.221	21.531	-22.05	21.459	0.35	5900	0.966	G0V
COL	4764436225368768384 77.889 -55.86 12.207	28.265	23.158	20.744	6.882	3400	0.441	M3V
COL	3207847890121506560 77.95 -7.549 13.03	31.607	-24.548	20.061	4.252	3100	0.416	M4.5V
COL	3014411427921646080 78.902 -9.514 12.198	31.738	-18.416	20.658	0.329	5800	0.943	G2V
COL	2969283038313232384 79.507 -19.94 9.691	19.406	-6.822	26.063	3.374	3600	0.703	M1.5V
COL	4769544865628780800 80.523 $-55.82612.322$	25.468	22.994	20.174	2.101	3300	0.689	M3.5V
COL	3015014578769945344 80.935 -7.894 8.969	15.975	-13.993	23.313	3.454	3900	0.968	$V \theta X$
COL	2982142101676081024 81.119 $-16.97614.189$	33.388	-16.837	22.393	0.699	9800	1.867	A0V
COL	2984119023582957824 81.903 -15.036 12.147	20.561	-14.755	22.573	4.097	2900	0.409	M5.5V
COL	2970047886086738432 82.008 -17.422 12.836	30.026	-11.913	21.735	4.207	3400	0.533	M3V
COL	2905450822041518976 83.262 - 30.575 8.834	17.576	2.957	25.177	1.531	4300	0.716	K6V
COL	4759420699999296384 83.649 - 60.183 13.82	25.17	33.032	18.406	2.762	3000	0.612	M5V
COL	2907627855064856064 84.388 -27.585 8.614	14.966	0.384	23.191	1.653	3500	2.466	M2.5V
COL	4759320919319218432 85.249 -61.1 12.885	21.743	32.865	20.048	4.706	3300	0.804	M3.5V
COL	4759320919319218560 85.25 $-61.10112.946$	23.677	30.948	21.122	1.238	3400	0.900	M3V
COL	2966979973769153280 85.893 -19.491 9.332	15.183	-5.146	24.715	2.494	3400	0.536	M3V
COL	2966979527092556032 85.903 -19.507 9.417	15.63	-5.951	26.936	2.821	3200	0.653	M4V
COL	4767595019195868288 86.825 -54.841 11.414	19.621	25.931	22.379	0.222	6100	1.307	F9V

M3.5V	0.617	3300	4.671	21.373	-30.834	8.844	2213435283849856 79.797 8.368 9.274)R 324	THC
M4.5V	0.576	3100	3.407	17.876	-36.415	11.76	3804134197211520 78.263 15.801 9.882)R 33(THC
K7V	0.857	4100	2.264	16.674	-36.994	17.196	4687387202450432 72.217 10.51 10.828)R 32(THC
M6V	0.463	2800	3.471	-2.567	-97.945	-0.534	$5159798213038976\ 292.615 - 53.441\ 20.79$	A 664	TH.
K5V	0.772	4400	0.275	11.318	-26.606	-59.956	$6835408470793984\ 227.069\ -84.566\ 15.835$	A 570	TH.
M4V	0.848	3200	3.57	18.198	-3.093	37.858	9882953420860672 73.407 -28.592 16.294	A 487	TH.
M3V	0.608	3400	1.043	18.017	9.005	61.762	0875077673493760 68.442 -45.19 20.024	A 479	TH.
M3.5V	0.781	3300	5.286	12.573	-17.412	83.526	:7297067767149056 49.21 -35.161 21.412	A 504	TH.
F9V	1.293	6100	0.479	10.208	-49.047	82.097	1733202649972992 49.17 -3.53 20.367	A 32(TH.
M3.5V	0.548	3300	2.944	5.993	-52.382	96.465	0997562402606208 7.606 $-62.60122.544$	A 49(TH.
M4.5V	0.604	3100	5.263	21.039	35.651	4.889	$6804462316135168\ 100.317\ -56.275\ 16.345$	L 549	CO
M1.5V	0.683	3600	9.822	26.962	-0.563	13.639	8508814862920576 95.552 -28.314 12.708	L 289	CO
M1V	0.753	3700	2.543	23.767	-26.048	12.005	2113124619680768 88.152 -5.931 13.258	L 302	CO
K5V	0.894	4400	0.814	24.782	13.675	12.143	3396075572557696 87.841 -43.702 8.82	L 48(CO
M4.5V	0.450	3100	3.979	22.726	39.229	38.884	2137974154287104 87.679 $-53.14425.404$	L 479	CO
M1V	0.617	3700	4.831	23.445	-7.805	9.126	5857887793178752 87.547 -20.726 9.948	L 29(CO

Bibliography

- [1] Jonathan Gagné, Eric E. Mamajek, Lison Malo, Adric Riedel, David Rodriguez, David Lafrenière, Jacqueline K. Faherty, Olivier Roy-Loubier, Laurent Pueyo, Annie C. Robin, and René Doyon. BANYAN. XI. The BANYAN Σ Multivariate Bayesian Algorithm to Identify Members of Young Associations with 150 pc., 856(1):23, March 2018. (document), 1.1, 2.4
- [2] B. Zuckerman. The Nearby, Young, Argus Association: Membership, Age, and Dusty Debris Disks., 870(1):27, January 2019. (document), 1.1, 2.4, 3.1, 3.4
- [3] Jonathan Gagné, Jacqueline K. Faherty, and Eric E. Mamajek. Volans-Carina: A New 90 Myr Old Stellar Association at 85 pc., 865(2):136, October 2018. (document), 1.1
- [4] Jinhee Lee and Inseok Song. Evaluation of nearby young moving groups based on unsupervised machine learning. , 489(2):2189–2194, October 2019. (document), 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.2.2.1, 3.4, 4.2
- [5] Jinhee Lee, Inseok Song, and Simon Murphy. 2MASS J15460752-6258042: a mid-M dwarf hosting a prolonged accretion disc. , 494(1):62–68, May 2020. (document), 3.2, 3.1
- [6] Alessandro Bressan, Paola Marigo, Léo. Girardi, Bernardo Salasnich, Claudia Dal Cero, Stefano Rubele, and Ambra Nanni. PARSEC: stellar tracks and isochrones with the PAdova and TRieste Stellar Evolution Code., 427(1):127–145, November 2012. (document), 3.4

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [7] F. Allard. The BT-Settl Model Atmospheres for Stars, Brown Dwarfs and Planets. In Mark Booth, Brenda C. Matthews, and James R. Graham, editors, *Exploring the For*mation and Evolution of Planetary Systems, volume 299, pages 271–272, January 2014. (document), 2.2, A.1
- [8] Mark J. Pecaut and Eric E. Mamajek. Intrinsic Colors, Temperatures, and Bolometric Corrections of Pre-main-sequence Stars. , 208(1):9, September 2013. (document), 2.5, 2.2, 3.3.3, A.1
- Christopher F. McKee and Eve C. Ostriker. Theory of Star Formation. , 45(1):565–687, September 2007. 1.1
- [10] E. Tognelli, P. G. Prada Moroni, and S. Degl'Innocenti. The Pisa pre-main sequence tracks and isochrones. A database covering a wide range of Z, Y, mass, and age values. , 533:A109, September 2011. 1.1
- [11] Sean M. Andrews. Observations of Protoplanetary Disk Structures. , 58:483–528, August 2020. 1.1, 2.6, 3
- [12] Charles J. Lada and Elizabeth A. Lada. Embedded Clusters in Molecular Clouds. , 41:57–115, January 2003. 1.2
- [13] Joel H. Kastner and David A. Principe. Nearby Young Stars and Young Moving Groups.
 In Handbook of X-ray and Gamma-ray Astrophysics, page 49. 2022. 1.2
- [14] Jonathan Gagné, Jacqueline K. Faherty, Leslie Moranta, and Mark Popinchalk. A Number of nearby Moving Groups May Be Fragments of Dissolving Open Clusters. , 915(2):L29, July 2021. 1.2
- [15] Thomas Preibisch and Eric D. Feigelson. The Evolution of X-Ray Emission in Young Stars., 160(2):390–400, October 2005. 1.2
- [16] J. H. Kastner, B. Zuckerman, D. A. Weintraub, and T. Forveille. X-ray and molecular emission from the nearest region of recent star formation. *Science*, 277:67–71, January 1997. 1.2

- [17] M. Steinmetz, T. Zwitter, A. Siebert, F. G. Watson, K. C. Freeman, U. Munari, R. Campbell, M. Williams, G. M. Seabroke, R. F. G. Wyse, Q. A. Parker, O. Bienaymé, S. Roeser, B. K. Gibson, G. Gilmore, E. K. Grebel, A. Helmi, J. F. Navarro, D. Burton, C. J. P. Cass, J. A. Dawe, K. Fiegert, M. Hartley, K. S. Russell, W. Saunders, H. Enke, J. Bailin, J. Binney, J. Bland-Hawthorn, C. Boeche, W. Dehnen, D. J. Eisenstein, N. W. Evans, M. Fiorucci, J. P. Fulbright, O. Gerhard, U. Jauregi, A. Kelz, L. Mijović, I. Minchev, G. Parmentier, J. Peñarrubia, A. C. Quillen, M. A. Read, G. Ruchti, R. D. Scholz, A. Siviero, M. C. Smith, R. Sordo, L. Veltz, S. Vidrih, R. von Berlepsch, B. J. Boyle, and E. Schilbach. The Radial Velocity Experiment (RAVE): First Data Release. , 132(4):1645–1668, October 2006. 1.2
- [18] Gaia Collaboration, T. Prusti, J. H. J. de Bruijne, A. G. A. Brown, A. Vallenari, C. Babusiaux, C. A. L. Bailer-Jones, U. Bastian, M. Biermann, D. W. Evans, L. Ever, F. Jansen, C. Jordi, S. A. Klioner, U. Lammers, L. Lindegren, X. Luri, F. Mignard, D. J. Milligan, C. Panem, V. Poinsignon, D. Pourbaix, S. Randich, G. Sarri, P. Sartoretti, H. I. Siddiqui, C. Soubiran, V. Valette, F. van Leeuwen, N. A. Walton, C. Aerts, F. Arenou, M. Cropper, R. Drimmel, E. Høg, D. Katz, M. G. Lattanzi, W. O'Mullane, E. K. Grebel, A. D. Holland, C. Huc, X. Passot, L. Bramante, C. Cacciari, J. Castañeda, L. Chaoul, N. Cheek, F. De Angeli, C. Fabricius, R. Guerra, J. Hernández, A. Jean-Antoine-Piccolo, E. Masana, R. Messineo, N. Mowlavi, K. Nienartowicz, D. Ordóñez-Blanco, P. Panuzzo, J. Portell, P. J. Richards, M. Riello, G. M. Seabroke, P. Tanga, F. Thévenin, J. Torra, S. G. Els, G. Gracia-Abril, G. Comoretto, M. Garcia-Reinaldos, T. Lock, E. Mercier, M. Altmann, R. Andrae, T. L. Astraatmadja, I. Bellas-Velidis, K. Benson, J. Berthier, R. Blomme, G. Busso, B. Carry, A. Cellino, G. Clementini, S. Cowell, O. Creevey, J. Cuypers, M. Davidson, J. De Ridder, A. de Torres, L. Delchambre, A. Dell'Oro, C. Ducourant, Y. Frémat, M. García-Torres, E. Gosset, J. L. Halbwachs, N. C. Hambly, D. L. Harrison, M. Hauser, D. Hestroffer, S. T. Hodgkin, H. E. Huckle, A. Hutton, G. Jasniewicz, S. Jordan, M. Kontizas, A. J. Korn, A. C. Lanzafame, M. Manteiga, A. Moitinho, K. Muinonen, J. Osinde, E. Pancino, T. Pauwels, J. M. Petit,

A. Recio-Blanco, A. C. Robin, L. M. Sarro, C. Siopis, M. Smith, K. W. Smith, A. Sozzetti, W. Thuillot, W. van Reeven, Y. Viala, U. Abbas, A. Abreu Aramburu, S. Accart, J. J. Aguado, P. M. Allan, W. Allasia, G. Altavilla, M. A. Álvarez, J. Alves, R. I. Anderson, A. H. Andrei, E. Anglada Varela, E. Antiche, T. Antoja, S. Antón, B. Arcay, A. Atzei, L. Ayache, N. Bach, S. G. Baker, L. Balaguer-Núñez, C. Barache, C. Barata, A. Barbier, F. Barblan, M. Baroni, D. Barrado y Navascués, M. Barros, M. A. Barstow, U. Becciani, M. Bellazzini, G. Bellei, A. Bello García, V. Belokurov, P. Bendjoya, A. Berihuete, L. Bianchi, O. Bienaymé, F. Billebaud, N. Blagorodnova, S. Blanco-Cuaresma, T. Boch, A. Bombrun, R. Borrachero, S. Bouquillon, G. Bourda, H. Bouy, A. Bragaglia, M. A. Breddels, N. Brouillet, T. Brüsemeister, B. Bucciarelli, F. Budnik, P. Burgess, R. Burgon, A. Burlacu, D. Busonero, R. Buzzi, E. Caffau, J. Cambras, H. Campbell, R. Cancelliere, T. Cantat-Gaudin, T. Carlucci, J. M. Carrasco, M. Castellani, P. Charlot, J. Charnas, P. Charvet, F. Chassat, A. Chiavassa, M. Clotet, G. Cocozza, R. S. Collins, P. Collins, G. Costigan, F. Crifo, N. J. G. Cross, M. Crosta, C. Crowley, C. Dafonte, Y. Damerdji, A. Dapergolas, P. David, M. David, P. De Cat, F. de Felice, P. de Laverny, F. De Luise, R. De March, D. de Martino, R. de Souza, J. Debosscher, E. del Pozo, M. Delbo, A. Delgado, H. E. Delgado, F. di Marco, P. Di Matteo, S. Diakite, E. Distefano, C. Dolding, S. Dos Anjos, P. Drazinos, J. Durán, Y. Dzigan, E. Ecale, B. Edvardsson, H. Enke, M. Erdmann, D. Escolar, M. Espina, N. W. Evans, G. Eynard Bontemps, C. Fabre, M. Fabrizio, S. Faigler, A. J. Falcão, M. Farràs Casas, F. Faye, L. Federici, G. Fedorets, J. Fernández-Hernández, P. Fernique, A. Fienga, F. Figueras, F. Filippi, K. Findeisen, A. Fonti, M. Fouesneau, E. Fraile, M. Fraser, J. Fuchs, R. Furnell, M. Gai, S. Galleti, L. Galluccio, D. Garabato, F. García-Sedano, P. Garé, A. Garofalo, N. Garralda, P. Gavras, J. Gerssen, R. Geyer, G. Gilmore, S. Girona, G. Giuffrida, M. Gomes, A. González-Marcos, J. González-Núñez, J. J. González-Vidal, M. Granvik, A. Guerrier, P. Guillout, J. Guiraud, A. Gúrpide, R. Gutiérrez-Sánchez, L. P. Guy, R. Haigron, D. Hatzidimitriou, M. Haywood, U. Heiter, A. Helmi, D. Hobbs, W. Hofmann, B. Holl, G. Holland, J. A. S. Hunt, A. Hypki, V. Icardi, M. Irwin, G. Jevardat de Fombelle, P. Jofré, P. G.

Jonker, A. Jorissen, F. Julbe, A. Karampelas, A. Kochoska, R. Kohley, K. Kolenberg, E. Kontizas, S. E. Koposov, G. Kordopatis, P. Koubsky, A. Kowalczyk, A. Krone-Martins, M. Kudryashova, I. Kull, R. K. Bachchan, F. Lacoste-Seris, A. F. Lanza, J. B. Lavigne, C. Le Poncin-Lafitte, Y. Lebreton, T. Lebzelter, S. Leccia, N. Leclerc, I. Lecoeur-Taibi, V. Lemaitre, H. Lenhardt, F. Leroux, S. Liao, E. Licata, H. E. P. Lindstrøm, T. A. Lister, E. Livanou, A. Lobel, W. Löffler, M. López, A. Lopez-Lozano, D. Lorenz, T. Loureiro, I. MacDonald, T. Magalhães Fernandes, S. Managau, R. G. Mann, G. Mantelet, O. Marchal, J. M. Marchant, M. Marconi, J. Marie, S. Marinoni, P. M. Marrese, G. Marschalkó, D. J. Marshall, J. M. Martín-Fleitas, M. Martino, N. Mary, G. Matijevič, T. Mazeh, P. J. McMillan, S. Messina, A. Mestre, D. Michalik, N. R. Millar, B. M. H. Miranda, D. Molina, R. Molinaro, M. Molinaro, L. Molnár, M. Moniez, P. Montegriffo, D. Monteiro, R. Mor, A. Mora, R. Morbidelli, T. Morel, S. Morgenthaler, T. Morley, D. Morris, A. F. Mulone, T. Muraveva, I. Musella, J. Narbonne, G. Nelemans, L. Nicastro, L. Noval, C. Ordénovic, J. Ordieres-Meré, P. Osborne, C. Pagani, I. Pagano, F. Pailler, H. Palacin, L. Palaversa, P. Parsons, T. Paulsen, M. Pecoraro, R. Pedrosa, H. Pentikäinen, J. Pereira, B. Pichon, A. M. Piersimoni, F. X. Pineau, E. Plachy, G. Plum, E. Poujoulet, A. Prša, L. Pulone, S. Ragaini, S. Rago, N. Rambaux, M. Ramos-Lerate, P. Ranalli, G. Rauw, A. Read, S. Regibo, F. Renk, C. Reylé, R. A. Ribeiro, L. Rimoldini, V. Ripepi, A. Riva, G. Rixon, M. Roelens, M. Romero-Gómez, N. Rowell, F. Royer, A. Rudolph, L. Ruiz-Dern, G. Sadowski, T. Sagristà Sellés, J. Sahlmann, J. Salgado, E. Salguero, M. Sarasso, H. Savietto, A. Schnorhk, M. Schultheis, E. Sciacca, M. Segol, J. C. Segovia, D. Segransan, E. Serpell, I. C. Shih, R. Smareglia, R. L. Smart, C. Smith, E. Solano, F. Solitro, R. Sordo, S. Soria Nieto, J. Souchay, A. Spagna, F. Spoto, U. Stampa, I. A. Steele, H. Steidelmüller, C. A. Stephenson, H. Stoev, F. F. Suess, M. Süveges, J. Surdej, L. Szabados, E. Szegedi-Elek, D. Tapiador, F. Taris, G. Tauran, M. B. Taylor, R. Teixeira, D. Terrett, B. Tingley, S. C. Trager, C. Turon, A. Ulla, E. Utrilla, G. Valentini, A. van Elteren, E. Van Hemelryck, M. van Leeuwen, M. Varadi, A. Vecchiato, J. Veljanoski, T. Via, D. Vicente, S. Vogt, H. Voss, V. Votruba, S. Voutsinas, G. Walmsley, M. Weiler, K. Weingrill, D. Werner,

T. Wevers, G. Whitehead, Ł. Wyrzykowski, A. Yoldas, M. Zerjal, S. Zucker, C. Zurbach, T. Zwitter, A. Alecu, M. Allen, C. Allende Prieto, A. Amorim, G. Anglada-Escudé, V. Arsenijevic, S. Azaz, P. Balm, M. Beck, H. H. Bernstein, L. Bigot, A. Bijaoui, C. Blasco, M. Bonfigli, G. Bono, S. Boudreault, A. Bressan, S. Brown, P. M. Brunet, P. Bunclark, R. Buonanno, A. G. Butkevich, C. Carret, C. Carrion, L. Chemin, F. Chéreau, L. Corcione, E. Darmigny, K. S. de Boer, P. de Teodoro, P. T. de Zeeuw, C. Delle Luche, C. D. Domingues, P. Dubath, F. Fodor, B. Frézouls, A. Fries, D. Fustes, D. Fyfe, E. Gallardo, J. Gallegos, D. Gardiol, M. Gebran, A. Gomboc, A. Gómez, E. Grux, A. Gueguen, A. Heyrovsky, J. Hoar, G. Iannicola, Y. Isasi Parache, A. M. Janotto, E. Joliet, A. Jonckheere, R. Keil, D. W. Kim, P. Klagvivik, J. Klar, J. Knude, O. Kochukhov, I. Kolka, J. Kos, A. Kutka, V. Lainey, D. LeBouquin, C. Liu, D. Loreggia, V. V. Makarov, M. G. Marseille, C. Martayan, O. Martinez-Rubi, B. Massart, F. Meynadier, S. Mignot, U. Munari, A. T. Nguyen, T. Nordlander, P. Ocvirk, K. S. O'Flaherty, A. Olias Sanz, P. Ortiz, J. Osorio, D. Oszkiewicz, A. Ouzounis, M. Palmer, P. Park, E. Pasquato, C. Peltzer, J. Peralta, F. Péturaud, T. Pieniluoma, E. Pigozzi, J. Poels, G. Prat, T. Prod'homme, F. Raison, J. M. Rebordao, D. Risquez, B. Rocca-Volmerange, S. Rosen, M. I. Ruiz-Fuertes, F. Russo, S. Sembay, I. Serraller Vizcaino, A. Short, A. Siebert, H. Silva, D. Sinachopoulos, E. Slezak, M. Soffel, D. Sosnowska, V. Straižys, M. ter Linden, D. Terrell, S. Theil, C. Tiede, L. Troisi, P. Tsalmantza, D. Tur, M. Vaccari, F. Vachier, P. Valles, W. Van Hamme, L. Veltz, J. Virtanen, J. M. Wallut, R. Wichmann, M. I. Wilkinson, H. Ziaeepour, and S. Zschocke. The Gaia mission. , 595:A1, November 2016. 1.2, 1.4

- [19] David A. Weintraub, Tracy Huard, Joel H. Kastner, and Ian Gatley. The Onset of Molecular Hydrogen Emission from Proto-planetary Nebulae. , 509(2):728–732, December 1998. 1.3
- [20] E. C. Wilson and J. Nordhaus. Convection and spin-up during common envelope evolution: the formation of short-period double white dwarfs. , 497(2):1895–1903, September 2020. 1.3

- [21] M. F. Sousa, J. G. Coelho, J. C. N. de Araujo, S. O. Kepler, and J. A. Rueda. The Double White Dwarf Merger Progenitors of SDSS J2211+1136 and ZTF J1901+1458., 941(1):28, December 2022. 1.3
- [22] Gaia Collaboration, A. Vallenari, A. G. A. Brown, T. Prusti, J. H. J. de Bruijne, F. Arenou, C. Babusiaux, M. Biermann, O. L. Creevey, C. Ducourant, D. W. Evans, L. Eyer, R. Guerra, A. Hutton, C. Jordi, S. A. Klioner, U. L. Lammers, L. Lindegren, X. Luri, F. Mignard, C. Panem, D. Pourbaix, S. Randich, P. Sartoretti, C. Soubiran, P. Tanga, N. A. Walton, C. A. L. Bailer-Jones, U. Bastian, R. Drimmel, F. Jansen, D. Katz, M. G. Lattanzi, F. van Leeuwen, J. Bakker, C. Cacciari, J. Castañeda, F. De Angeli, C. Fabricius, M. Fouesneau, Y. Frémat, L. Galluccio, A. Guerrier, U. Heiter, E. Masana, R. Messineo, N. Mowlavi, C. Nicolas, K. Nienartowicz, F. Pailler, P. Panuzzo, F. Riclet, W. Roux, G. M. Seabroke, R. Sordo, F. Thévenin, G. Gracia-Abril, J. Portell, D. Teyssier, M. Altmann, R. Andrae, M. Audard, I. Bellas-Velidis, K. Benson, J. Berthier, R. Blomme, P. W. Burgess, D. Busonero, G. Busso, H. Cánovas, B. Carry, A. Cellino, N. Cheek, G. Clementini, Y. Damerdji, M. Davidson, P. de Teodoro, M. Nuñez Campos, L. Delchambre, A. Dell'Oro, P. Esquej, J. Fernández-Hernández, E. Fraile, D. Garabato, P. García-Lario, E. Gosset, R. Haigron, J. L. Halbwachs, N. C. Hambly, D. L. Harrison, J. Hernández, D. Hestroffer, S. T. Hodgkin, B. Holl, K. Jansen, G. Jevardat de Fombelle, S. Jordan, A. Krone-Martins, A. C. Lanzafame, W. Löffler, O. Marchal, P. M. Marrese, A. Moitinho, K. Muinonen, P. Osborne, E. Pancino, T. Pauwels, A. Recio-Blanco, C. Reylé, M. Riello, L. Rimoldini, T. Roegiers, J. Rybizki, L. M. Sarro, C. Siopis, M. Smith, A. Sozzetti, E. Utrilla, M. van Leeuwen, U. Abbas, P. Ábrahám, A. Abreu Aramburu, C. Aerts, J. J. Aguado, M. Ajaj, F. Aldea-Montero, G. Altavilla, M. A. Álvarez, J. Alves, F. Anders, R. I. Anderson, E. Anglada Varela, T. Antoja, D. Baines, S. G. Baker, L. Balaguer-Núñez, E. Balbinot, Z. Balog, C. Barache, D. Barbato, M. Barros, M. A. Barstow, S. Bartolomé, J. L. Bassilana, N. Bauchet, U. Becciani, M. Bellazzini, A. Berihuete, M. Bernet, S. Bertone, L. Bianchi, A. Binnenfeld, S. Blanco-Cuaresma, A. Blazere, T. Boch, A. Bombrun, D. Bossini, S. Bouquillon, A. Bragaglia, L. Bramante, E. Breedt, A. Bres-

san, N. Brouillet, E. Brugaletta, B. Bucciarelli, A. Burlacu, A. G. Butkevich, R. Buzzi, E. Caffau, R. Cancelliere, T. Cantat-Gaudin, R. Carballo, T. Carlucci, M. I. Carnerero, J. M. Carrasco, L. Casamiquela, M. Castellani, A. Castro-Ginard, L. Chaoul, P. Charlot, L. Chemin, V. Chiaramida, A. Chiavassa, N. Chornay, G. Comoretto, G. Contursi, W. J. Cooper, T. Cornez, S. Cowell, F. Crifo, M. Cropper, M. Crosta, C. Crowley, C. Dafonte, A. Dapergolas, M. David, P. David, P. de Laverny, F. De Luise, R. De March, J. De Ridder, R. de Souza, A. de Torres, E. F. del Peloso, E. del Pozo, M. Delbo, A. Delgado, J. B. Delisle, C. Demouchy, T. E. Dharmawardena, P. Di Matteo, S. Diakite, C. Diener, E. Distefano, C. Dolding, B. Edvardsson, H. Enke, C. Fabre, M. Fabrizio, S. Faigler, G. Fedorets, P. Fernique, A. Fienga, F. Figueras, Y. Fournier, C. Fouron, F. Fragkoudi, M. Gai, A. Garcia-Gutierrez, M. Garcia-Reinaldos, M. García-Torres, A. Garofalo, A. Gavel, P. Gavras, E. Gerlach, R. Geyer, P. Giacobbe, G. Gilmore, S. Girona, G. Giuffrida, R. Gomel, A. Gomez, J. González-Núñez, I. González-Santamaría, J. J. González-Vidal, M. Granvik, P. Guillout, J. Guiraud, R. Gutiérrez-Sánchez, L. P. Guy, D. Hatzidimitriou, M. Hauser, M. Haywood, A. Helmer, A. Helmi, M. H. Sarmiento, S. L. Hidalgo, T. Hilger, N. Hładczuk, D. Hobbs, G. Holland, H. E. Huckle, K. Jardine, G. Jasniewicz, A. Jean-Antoine Piccolo, O. Jiménez-Arranz, A. Jorissen, J. Juaristi Campillo, F. Julbe, L. Karbevska, P. Kervella, S. Khanna, M. Kontizas, G. Kordopatis, A. J. Korn, A. Kóspál, Z. Kostrzewa-Rutkowska, K. Kruszyńska, M. Kun, P. Laizeau, S. Lambert, A. F. Lanza, Y. Lasne, J. F. Le Campion, Y. Lebreton, T. Lebzelter, S. Leccia, N. Leclerc, I. Lecoeur-Taibi, S. Liao, E. L. Licata, H. E. P. Lindstrøm, T. A. Lister, E. Livanou, A. Lobel, A. Lorca, C. Loup, P. Madrero Pardo, A. Magdaleno Romeo, S. Managau, R. G. Mann, M. Manteiga, J. M. Marchant, M. Marconi, J. Marcos, M. M. S. Marcos Santos, D. Marín Pina, S. Marinoni, F. Marocco, D. J. Marshall, L. Martin Polo, J. M. Martín-Fleitas, G. Marton, N. Mary, A. Masip, D. Massari, A. Mastrobuono-Battisti, T. Mazeh, P. J. McMillan, S. Messina, D. Michalik, N. R. Millar, A. Mints, D. Molina, R. Molinaro, L. Molnár, G. Monari, M. Monguió, P. Montegriffo, A. Montero, R. Mor, A. Mora, R. Morbidelli, T. Morel, D. Morris, T. Muraveva, C. P. Murphy, I. Musella, Z. Nagy, L. Noval,

F. Ocaña, A. Ogden, C. Ordenovic, J. O. Osinde, C. Pagani, I. Pagano, L. Palaversa, P. A. Palicio, L. Pallas-Quintela, A. Panahi, S. Payne-Wardenaar, X. Peñalosa Esteller, A. Penttilä, B. Pichon, A. M. Piersimoni, F. X. Pineau, E. Plachy, G. Plum, E. Poggio, A. Prša, L. Pulone, E. Racero, S. Ragaini, M. Rainer, C. M. Raiteri, N. Rambaux, P. Ramos, M. Ramos-Lerate, P. Re Fiorentin, S. Regibo, P. J. Richards, C. Rios Diaz, V. Ripepi, A. Riva, H. W. Rix, G. Rixon, N. Robichon, A. C. Robin, C. Robin, M. Roelens, H. R. O. Rogues, L. Rohrbasser, M. Romero-Gómez, N. Rowell, F. Royer, D. Ruz Mieres, K. A. Rybicki, G. Sadowski, A. Sáez Núñez, A. Sagristà Sellés, J. Sahlmann, E. Salguero, N. Samaras, V. Sanchez Gimenez, N. Sanna, R. Santoveña, M. Sarasso, M. Schultheis, E. Sciacca, M. Segol, J. C. Segovia, D. Ségransan, D. Semeux, S. Shahaf, H. I. Siddiqui, A. Siebert, L. Siltala, A. Silvelo, E. Slezak, I. Slezak, R. L. Smart, O. N. Snaith, E. Solano, F. Solitro, D. Souami, J. Souchay, A. Spagna, L. Spina, F. Spoto, I. A. Steele, H. Steidelmüller, C. A. Stephenson, M. Süveges, J. Surdej, L. Szabados, E. Szegedi-Elek, F. Taris, M. B. Taylor, R. Teixeira, L. Tolomei, N. Tonello, F. Torra, J. Torra, G. Torralba Elipe, M. Trabucchi, A. T. Tsounis, C. Turon, A. Ulla, N. Unger, M. V. Vaillant, E. van Dillen, W. van Reeven, O. Vanel, A. Vecchiato, Y. Viala, D. Vicente, S. Voutsinas, M. Weiler, T. Wevers, Ł. Wyrzykowski, A. Yoldas, P. Yvard, H. Zhao, J. Zorec, S. Zucker, and T. Zwitter. Gaia Data Release 3. Summary of the content and survey properties., 674:A1, June 2023. 1.4

- [23] T. H. Jarrett, A. Comrie, L. Marchetti, A. Sivitilli, S. Macfarlane, F. Vitello, U. Becciani, A. R. Taylor, J. M. van der Hulst, P. Serra, N. Katz, and M. E. Cluver. Exploring and interrogating astrophysical data in virtual reality. *Astronomy and Computing*, 37:100502, October 2021. 1.5
- [24] C. Impey and A. Danehy. Exploring the Frontiers of Space in 3D: Immersive Virtual Reality for Astronomy Outreach. *Communicating Astronomy with the Public Journal*, 31:28, October 2022. 1.5
- [25] Lucia Marchetti, Thomas H. Jarrett, Angus Comrie, Alexander K. Sivitilli, Fabio Vitello,

Ugo Becciani, and A. R. Taylor. iDaVIE-v: immersive Data Visualisation Interactive Explorer for volumetric rendering. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2012.11553, December 2020. 1.5

- [26] Antoni Sagristà, Stefan Jordan, Thomas Müller, and Filip Sadlo. Gaia sky: Navigating the gaia catalog. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 25(1):1070– 1079, 2019. 1.5
- [27] Susan Higashio, Marc J. Kuchner, Steven M. Silverberg, Matthew A. Brandt, Thomas G. Grubb, Jonathan Gagné, John H. Debes, Joshua Schlieder, John P. Wisniewski, Stewart Slocum, Alissa S. Bans, Shambo Bhattacharjee, Joseph R. Biggs, Milton K. D. Bosch, Tadeas Cernohous, Katharina Doll, Hugo A. Durantini Luca, Alexandru Enachioaie, Phillip Griffith, Joshua Hamilton, Jonathan Holden, Michiharu Hyogo, Dawoon Jung, Lily Lau, Fernanda Piñeiro, Art Piipuu, Lisa Stiller, and Disk Detective Collaboration. Disks in Nearby Young Stellar Associations Found Via Virtual Reality. , 933(1):13, July 2022. 1.5, 5.2
- [28] C. J. Fluke and D. G. Barnes. Immersive Virtual Reality Experiences for All-Sky Data. , 35:e026, June 2018. 1.5
- [29] M. B. Taylor. TOPCAT & STIL: Starlink Table/VOTable Processing Software. In P. Shopbell, M. Britton, and R. Ebert, editors, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIV, volume 347 of Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, page 29, December 2005. 1.5
- [30] Dalya Baron. Machine Learning in Astronomy: a practical overview. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:1904.07248, April 2019. 2.1.1
- [31] Gaia Collaboration, A. G. A. Brown, A. Vallenari, T. Prusti, J. H. J. de Bruijne, C. Babusiaux, M. Biermann, O. L. Creevey, D. W. Evans, L. Eyer, A. Hutton, F. Jansen, C. Jordi, S. A. Klioner, U. Lammers, L. Lindegren, X. Luri, F. Mignard, C. Panem, D. Pourbaix, S. Randich, P. Sartoretti, C. Soubiran, N. A. Walton, F. Arenou, C. A. L. Bailer-Jones,

U. Bastian, M. Cropper, R. Drimmel, D. Katz, M. G. Lattanzi, F. van Leeuwen, J. Bakker, C. Cacciari, J. Castañeda, F. De Angeli, C. Ducourant, C. Fabricius, M. Fouesneau, Y. Frémat, R. Guerra, A. Guerrier, J. Guiraud, A. Jean-Antoine Piccolo, E. Masana, R. Messineo, N. Mowlavi, C. Nicolas, K. Nienartowicz, F. Pailler, P. Panuzzo, F. Riclet, W. Roux, G. M. Seabroke, R. Sordo, P. Tanga, F. Thévenin, G. Gracia-Abril, J. Portell, D. Teyssier, M. Altmann, R. Andrae, I. Bellas-Velidis, K. Benson, J. Berthier, R. Blomme, E. Brugaletta, P. W. Burgess, G. Busso, B. Carry, A. Cellino, N. Cheek, G. Clementini, Y. Damerdji, M. Davidson, L. Delchambre, A. Dell'Oro, J. Fernández-Hernández, L. Galluccio, P. García-Lario, M. Garcia-Reinaldos, J. González-Núñez, E. Gosset, R. Haigron, J. L. Halbwachs, N. C. Hambly, D. L. Harrison, D. Hatzidimitriou, U. Heiter, J. Hernández, D. Hestroffer, S. T. Hodgkin, B. Holl, K. Janßen, G. Jevardat de Fombelle, S. Jordan, A. Krone-Martins, A. C. Lanzafame, W. Löffler, A. Lorca, M. Manteiga, O. Marchal, P. M. Marrese, A. Moitinho, A. Mora, K. Muinonen, P. Osborne, E. Pancino, T. Pauwels, J. M. Petit, A. Recio-Blanco, P. J. Richards, M. Riello, L. Rimoldini, A. C. Robin, T. Roegiers, J. Rybizki, L. M. Sarro, C. Siopis, M. Smith, A. Sozzetti, A. Ulla, E. Utrilla, M. van Leeuwen, W. van Reeven, U. Abbas, A. Abreu Aramburu, S. Accart, C. Aerts, J. J. Aguado, M. Ajaj, G. Altavilla, M. A. Álvarez, J. Álvarez Cid-Fuentes, J. Alves, R. I. Anderson, E. Anglada Varela, T. Antoja, M. Audard, D. Baines, S. G. Baker, L. Balaguer-Núñez, E. Balbinot, Z. Balog, C. Barache, D. Barbato, M. Barros, M. A. Barstow, S. Bartolomé, J. L. Bassilana, N. Bauchet, A. Baudesson-Stella, U. Becciani, M. Bellazzini, M. Bernet, S. Bertone, L. Bianchi, S. Blanco-Cuaresma, T. Boch, A. Bombrun, D. Bossini, S. Bouquillon, A. Bragaglia, L. Bramante, E. Breedt, A. Bressan, N. Brouillet, B. Bucciarelli, A. Burlacu, D. Busonero, A. G. Butkevich, R. Buzzi, E. Caffau, R. Cancelliere, H. Cánovas, T. Cantat-Gaudin, R. Carballo, T. Carlucci, M. I. Carnerero, J. M. Carrasco, L. Casamiquela, M. Castellani, A. Castro-Ginard, P. Castro Sampol, L. Chaoul, P. Charlot, L. Chemin, A. Chiavassa, M. R. L. Cioni, G. Comoretto, W. J. Cooper, T. Cornez, S. Cowell, F. Crifo, M. Crosta, C. Crowley, C. Dafonte, A. Dapergolas, M. David, P. David, P. de Laverny, F. De Luise, R. De March, J. De Ridder, R. de Souza, P. de

Teodoro, A. de Torres, E. F. del Peloso, E. del Pozo, M. Delbo, A. Delgado, H. E. Delgado, J. B. Delisle, P. Di Matteo, S. Diakite, C. Diener, E. Distefano, C. Dolding, D. Eappachen, B. Edvardsson, H. Enke, P. Esquej, C. Fabre, M. Fabrizio, S. Faigler, G. Fedorets, P. Fernique, A. Fienga, F. Figueras, C. Fouron, F. Fragkoudi, E. Fraile, F. Franke, M. Gai, D. Garabato, A. Garcia-Gutierrez, M. García-Torres, A. Garofalo, P. Gavras, E. Gerlach, R. Geyer, P. Giacobbe, G. Gilmore, S. Girona, G. Giuffrida, R. Gomel, A. Gomez, I. Gonzalez-Santamaria, J. J. González-Vidal, M. Granvik, R. Gutiérrez-Sánchez, L. P. Guy, M. Hauser, M. Haywood, A. Helmi, S. L. Hidalgo, T. Hilger, N. Hładczuk, D. Hobbs, G. Holland, H. E. Huckle, G. Jasniewicz, P. G. Jonker, J. Juaristi Campillo, F. Julbe, L. Karbevska, P. Kervella, S. Khanna, A. Kochoska, M. Kontizas, G. Kordopatis, A. J. Korn, Z. Kostrzewa-Rutkowska, K. Kruszyńska, S. Lambert, A. F. Lanza, Y. Lasne, J. F. Le Campion, Y. Le Fustec, Y. Lebreton, T. Lebzelter, S. Leccia, N. Leclerc, I. Lecoeur-Taibi, S. Liao, E. Licata, E. P. Lindstrøm, T. A. Lister, E. Livanou, A. Lobel, P. Madrero Pardo, S. Managau, R. G. Mann, J. M. Marchant, M. Marconi, M. M. S. Marcos Santos, S. Marinoni, F. Marocco, D. J. Marshall, L. Martin Polo, J. M. Martín-Fleitas, A. Masip, D. Massari, A. Mastrobuono-Battisti, T. Mazeh, P. J. McMillan, S. Messina, D. Michalik, N. R. Millar, A. Mints, D. Molina, R. Molinaro, L. Molnár, P. Montegriffo, R. Mor, R. Morbidelli, T. Morel, D. Morris, A. F. Mulone, D. Munoz, T. Muraveva, C. P. Murphy, I. Musella, L. Noval, C. Ordénovic, G. Orrù, J. Osinde, C. Pagani, I. Pagano, L. Palaversa, P. A. Palicio, A. Panahi, M. Pawlak, X. Peñalosa Esteller, A. Penttilä, A. M. Piersimoni, F. X. Pineau, E. Plachy, G. Plum, E. Poggio, E. Poretti, E. Poujoulet, A. Prša, L. Pulone, E. Racero, S. Ragaini, M. Rainer, C. M. Raiteri, N. Rambaux, P. Ramos, M. Ramos-Lerate, P. Re Fiorentin, S. Regibo, C. Reylé, V. Ripepi, A. Riva, G. Rixon, N. Robichon, C. Robin, M. Roelens, L. Rohrbasser, M. Romero-Gómez, N. Rowell, F. Royer, K. A. Rybicki, G. Sadowski, A. Sagristà Sellés, J. Sahlmann, J. Salgado, E. Salguero, N. Samaras, V. Sanchez Gimenez, N. Sanna, R. Santoveña, M. Sarasso, M. Schultheis, E. Sciacca, M. Segol, J. C. Segovia, D. Ségransan, D. Semeux, S. Shahaf, H. I. Siddiqui, A. Siebert, L. Siltala, E. Slezak, R. L. Smart, E. Solano, F. Solitro, D. Souami, J. Souchay, A. Spagna, F. Spoto, I. A. Steele, H. Steidelmüller, C. A. Stephenson, M. Süveges, L. Szabados, E. Szegedi-Elek, F. Taris, G. Tauran, M. B. Taylor, R. Teixeira, W. Thuillot, N. Tonello, F. Torra, J. Torra, C. Turon, N. Unger, M. Vaillant, E. van Dillen, O. Vanel, A. Vecchiato, Y. Viala, D. Vicente, S. Voutsinas, M. Weiler, T. Wevers, Ł. Wyrzykowski, A. Yoldas, P. Yvard, H. Zhao, J. Zorec, S. Zucker, C. Zurbach, and T. Zwitter. Gaia Early Data Release 3. Summary of the contents and survey properties. , 649:A1, May 2021. 2.2.1

- [32] W. B. Landsman. The IDL Astronomy User's Library. In R. J. Hanisch, R. J. V. Brissenden, and J. Barnes, editors, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems II, volume 52 of Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, page 246, January 1993. 2.2.2.1
- [33] M. Cropper, D. Katz, P. Sartoretti, T. Prusti, J. H. J. de Bruijne, F. Chassat, P. Charvet, J. Boyadjian, M. Perryman, G. Sarri, P. Gare, M. Erdmann, U. Munari, T. Zwitter, M. Wilkinson, F. Arenou, A. Vallenari, A. Gómez, P. Panuzzo, G. Seabroke, C. Allende Prieto, K. Benson, O. Marchal, H. Huckle, M. Smith, C. Dolding, K. Janßen, Y. Viala, R. Blomme, S. Baker, S. Boudreault, F. Crifo, C. Soubiran, Y. Frémat, G. Jasniewicz, A. Guerrier, L. P. Guy, C. Turon, A. Jean-Antoine-Piccolo, F. Thévenin, M. David, E. Gosset, and Y. Damerdji. Gaia Data Release 2. Gaia Radial Velocity Spectrometer., 616:A5, August 2018. 2.2.2.1, 3.4
- [34] M. Wenger, F. Ochsenbein, D. Egret, P. Dubois, F. Bonnarel, S. Borde, F. Genova,
 G. Jasniewicz, S. Laloë, S. Lesteven, and R. Monier. The SIMBAD astronomical database.
 The CDS reference database for astronomical objects. , 143:9–22, April 2000. 2.3
- [35] Ochsenbein F. et. al. The VizieR database of astronomical catalogues . 2.3
- [36] K. L. Luhman, John R. Stauffer, and E. E. Mamajek. The Age of AB Doradus. , 628(1):L69–L72, July 2005. 2.3, 4.1

- [37] Ronan Kerr, Adam L. Kraus, Simon J. Murphy, Daniel M. Krolikowski, Timothy R. Bedding, and Aaron C. Rizzuto. SPYGLASS. III. The Fornax-Horologium Association and Its Traceback History within the Austral Complex., 941(2):143, December 2022. 2.3
- [38] D. Christopher Martin, James Fanson, David Schiminovich, Patrick Morrissey, Peter G. Friedman, Tom A. Barlow, Tim Conrow, Robert Grange, Patrick N. Jelinsky, Bruno Milliard, Oswald H. W. Siegmund, Luciana Bianchi, Yong-Ik Byun, Jose Donas, Karl Forster, Timothy M. Heckman, Young-Wook Lee, Barry F. Madore, Roger F. Malina, Susan G. Neff, R. Michael Rich, Todd Small, Frank Surber, Alex S. Szalay, Barry Welsh, and Ted K. Wyder. The Galaxy Evolution Explorer: A Space Ultraviolet Survey Mission. , 619(1):L1–L6, January 2005. 2.5
- [39] M. F. Skrutskie, R. M. Cutri, R. Stiening, M. D. Weinberg, S. Schneider, J. M. Carpenter, C. Beichman, R. Capps, T. Chester, J. Elias, J. Huchra, J. Liebert, C. Lonsdale, D. G. Monet, S. Price, P. Seitzer, T. Jarrett, J. D. Kirkpatrick, J. E. Gizis, E. Howard, T. Evans, J. Fowler, L. Fullmer, R. Hurt, R. Light, E. L. Kopan, K. A. Marsh, H. L. McCallon, R. Tam, S. Van Dyk, and S. Wheelock. The Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS). , 131(2):1163–1183, February 2006. 2.5
- [40] Edward L. Wright, Peter R. M. Eisenhardt, Amy K. Mainzer, Michael E. Ressler, Roc M. Cutri, Thomas Jarrett, J. Davy Kirkpatrick, Deborah Padgett, Robert S. McMillan, Michael Skrutskie, S. A. Stanford, Martin Cohen, Russell G. Walker, John C. Mather, David Leisawitz, III Gautier, Thomas N., Ian McLean, Dominic Benford, Carol J. Lons-dale, Andrew Blain, Bryan Mendez, William R. Irace, Valerie Duval, Fengchuan Liu, Don Royer, Ingolf Heinrichsen, Joan Howard, Mark Shannon, Martha Kendall, Amy L. Walsh, Mark Larsen, Joel G. Cardon, Scott Schick, Mark Schwalm, Mohamed Abid, Beth Fabinsky, Larry Naes, and Chao-Wei Tsai. The Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE): Mission Description and Initial On-orbit Performance. , 140(6):1868–1881, December 2010. 2.5

- [41] A. Bayo, C. Rodrigo, D. Barrado Y Navascués, E. Solano, R. Gutiérrez, M. Morales-Calderón, and F. Allard. VOSA: virtual observatory SED analyzer. An application to the Collinder 69 open cluster., 492(1):277–287, December 2008. 2.5
- [42] Marc J. Kuchner, Steven M. Silverberg, Alissa S. Bans, Shambo Bhattacharjee, Scott J. Kenyon, John H. Debes, Thayne Currie, Luciano García, Dawoon Jung, Chris Lintott, Michael McElwain, Deborah L. Padgett, Luisa M. Rebull, John P. Wisniewski, Erika Nesvold, Kevin Schawinski, Michelle L. Thaller, Carol A. Grady, Joseph Biggs, Milton Bosch, Tadeáš Černohous, Hugo A. Durantini Luca, Michiharu Hyogo, Lily Lau Wan Wah, Art Piipuu, Fernanda Piñeiro, and Disk Detective Collaboration. Disk Detective: Discovery of New Circumstellar Disk Candidates through Citizen Science. , 830(2):84, October 2016. 2.6
- [43] Adam Schneider, Inseok Song, Carl Melis, B. Zuckerman, Mike Bessell, Tara Hufford, and Sasha Hinkley. The Nearby, Young, Isolated, Dusty Star HD 166191., 777(1):78, November 2013. 2.6
- [44] Rahul I. Patel, Stanimir A. Metchev, and Aren Heinze. A Sensitive Identification of Warm Debris Disks in the Solar Neighborhood through Precise Calibration of Saturated WISE Photometry., 212(1):10, May 2014. 2.6
- [45] Steven M. Silverberg, John P. Wisniewski, Marc J. Kuchner, Kellen D. Lawson, Alissa S. Bans, John H. Debes, Joseph R. Biggs, Milton K. D. Bosch, Katharina Doll, Hugo A. Durantini Luca, Alexandru Enachioaie, Joshua Hamilton, Jonathan Holden, and Michiharu Hyogo. Peter Pan Disks: Long-lived Accretion Disks Around Young M Stars., 890(2):106, February 2020. 3
- [46] Stefan Laos, John P. Wisniewski, Marc J. Kuchner, Steven M. Silverberg, Hans Moritz Günther, David A. Principe, Brett Bonine, Marina Kounkel, and The Disk Detective Collaboration. Chandra Observations of Six Peter Pan Disks: Diversity of X-Ray-driven Internal Photoevaporation Rates Does Not Explain Their Rare Longevity., 935(2):111, August 2022. 3

- [47] David R. Rodriguez, Joel H. Kastner, David Wilner, and Chunhua Qi. Imaging the Molecular Disk Orbiting the Twin Young Suns of V4046 Sgr., 720(2):1684–1690, September 2010. 3
- [48] K. M. Punzi, J. H. Kastner, C. Melis, B. Zuckerman, C. Pilachowski, L. Gingerich, and T. Knapp. Is the Young Star RZ Piscium Consuming Its Own (Planetary) Offspring?, 155(1):33, January 2018. 3
- [49] Brent Miszalski and Joanna Mikołajewska. Identification of new Galactic symbiotic stars with SALT - I. Initial discoveries and other emission line objects. , 440(2):1410–1419, May 2014. 3.1
- [50] Quentin A. Parker, S. Phillipps, M. J. Pierce, M. Hartley, N. C. Hambly, M. A. Read, H. T. MacGillivray, S. B. Tritton, C. P. Cass, R. D. Cannon, M. Cohen, J. E. Drew, D. J. Frew, E. Hopewell, S. Mader, D. F. Malin, M. R. W. Masheder, D. H. Morgan, R. A. H. Morris, D. Russeil, K. S. Russell, and R. N. F. Walker. The AAO/UKST SuperCOSMOS Hα survey., 362(2):689–710, September 2005. 3.1
- [51] Eric E. Mamajek and Cameron P. M. Bell. On the age of the β Pictoris moving group. , 445(3):2169–2180, December 2014. 3.2
- [52] N. Miret-Roig, P. A. B. Galli, W. Brandner, H. Bouy, D. Barrado, J. Olivares, T. Antoja,
 M. Romero-Gómez, F. Figueras, and J. Lillo-Box. Dynamical traceback age of the β
 Pictoris moving group. , 642:A179, October 2020. 3.2
- [53] A. S. Binks and R. D. Jeffries. A lithium depletion boundary age of 21 Myr for the Beta Pictoris moving group., 438(1):L11–L15, February 2014. 3.4
- [54] F. J. Galindo-Guil, D. Barrado, H. Bouy, J. Olivares, A. Bayo, M. Morales-Calderón, N. Huélamo, L. M. Sarro, P. Rivière-Marichalar, H. Stoev, B. Montesinos, and J. R. Stauffer. Lithium depletion boundary, stellar associations, and Gaia., 664:A70, August 2022. 3.4

- [55] Mackenna Wood. Lithium Depletion Boundary Ages of Young Planet-Hosting Stellar Associations. PhD thesis, Chapel Hill, NC, 2023. 3.4
- [56] Cameron P. M. Bell, Eric E. Mamajek, and Tim Naylor. The Isochronal Age Scale of Young Moving Groups in the Solar Neighbourhood. In J. H. Kastner, B. Stelzer, and S. A. Metchev, editors, Young Stars & Planets Near the Sun, volume 314, pages 41–48, January 2016. 3.4
- [57] Jordi Isern. The Star Formation History in the Solar Neighborhood as Told by Massive White Dwarfs., 878(1):L11, June 2019. 4.1
- [58] M. Salaris and L. R. Bedin. A Gaia DR2 view of white dwarfs in the Hyades. , 480(3):3170– 3176, November 2018. 4.1
- [59] Jeremy Heyl, Ilaria Caiazzo, and Harvey B. Richer. Reconstructing the Pleiades with Gaia EDR3., 926(2):132, February 2022. 4.1
- [60] Jonathan Gagné, Gilles Fontaine, Amélie Simon, and Jacqueline K. Faherty. A Young Ultramassive White Dwarf in the AB Doradus Moving Group. , 861(2):L13, July 2018.
 4.1
- [61] O. J. Eggen. Degenerate Stars in the Hyades Supercluster., 106:642, August 1993. 4.4
- [62] Siegfried Röser and Elena Schilbach. A census of the nearby Pisces-Eridanus stellar stream. Commonalities with and disparities from the Pleiades., 638:A9, June 2020. 5.2
- [63] Mark J. Pecaut, Eric E. Mamajek, and Eric J. Bubar. A Revised Age for Upper Scorpius and the Star Formation History among the F-type Members of the Scorpius-Centaurus OB Association., 746(2):154, February 2012. A.1