### **Rochester Institute of Technology**

# **RIT Digital Institutional Repository**

Presentations and other scholarship

Faculty & Staff Scholarship

5-27-2002

# MRP or JIT - The transformation from industrial to post-industrial enterprise systems

Qiang Tu

Kanliang Wang

**Delvin Grant** 

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.rit.edu/other

#### **Recommended Citation**

Tu, Qiang; Wang, Kanliang; and Grant, Delvin, "MRP or JIT - The transformation from industrial to post-industrial enterprise systems" (2002). Accessed from <a href="https://repository.rit.edu/other/224">https://repository.rit.edu/other/224</a>

This Conference Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the RIT Libraries. For more information, please contact repository@rit.edu.

# MRP or JIT - The Transformation from Industrial to Post-industrial Enterprise System

Qiang Tue, Kanliang Wang, Delvin Grant

College of Business, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY 14623, USA School of Management, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China 710049 College of Commerce, DePaul University, Chicago, IL 60604, USA

Abstract: Many U. S. manufacturers are experiencing a fundamental transformation. The traditional industrial mindset is now obsolete. The accelerated changes in technology and world market require a new post-industrial paradigm. Using MRP and JIT as examples, this paper discusses some important changes from an industrial to a post-industrial enterprise system. The implications of theses changes to Chinese manufacturing firms are also discussed.

#### I. Introduction

In his famous 1985 article, The Taming of Lions, Wickham Skinner pointed out that American manufacturing is experiencing a severe management problem. The problem is caused by an obsolete mind-set rooted in the dominant industrial paradigm that is now becoming dysfunctional in many ways. This industrial mind-set treats the factory as a productivity machine that emphasizes maximum efficiency and stability by buffering the technical core from external changes (Thompson, 1967). Company management seeks to maximize profit by reducing cost through process mechanization and high volume mass production. Workers are treated as an annoying and passive factor to be carefully controlled through division of labor and work measurement (Fredrick Taylor, 1911).

This industrial paradigm was very successful prior to 1960 and was primarily responsible for American industrial leadership. In the 1980s, the world market and technology experienced an ever-accelerating rate of change. American manufacturing started to lose its leadership to increasing global competition (Skinner, 1985; Hayes, Wheelwright and Clark, 1988). Doll and Vonderembse (1991) extended Skinner's ideas and suggested that manufacturing is entering a new post-industrial era characterized by growing global competition, changing customer demands, shorter product life cycles, increased market diversity, and advances in manufacturing and information technology.

This shift from industrial to post-industrial paradigm requires fundamental changes in manufacturing system design. We concur with other scholars that Material Requirements Planning (MRP) is a technique used by firms operating in the industrial mode, while firms operating in the post-industrial mode use Just-In-Time (JIT).

#### II. MRP VS. JIT

MRP is basically an inventory control and production scheduling information system. The difference between MRP and traditional reorder point-based systems lie in MRP's ability to link future customer demand with the production planning process (Cooper and Zmud, 1990). The logic behind MRP is straightforward. It starts with a master production schedule (MPS) based on customer demand forecast. MPS specifies the quantity and due date of each end product. The MRP system then explodes the MPS into lower level component requirements using a bill of materials. Net requirements of each component part are then obtained by applying a lot-sizing technique while considering on-hand inventory and scheduled receipts.

n

c

×

Si

th

fo

ei

D(

fl

ar

CC

an

(F

ba

of

in

JIT is both a philosophy and a set of tools to minimize waste and achieve a flexible and responsive manufacturing system capable of meeting customers' changing demands the lowest cost (Lubben, 1988). JIT originated from the Toyota production system that advocates the production of the right product in the right quantities at the right time (Ohno, 1982). The JIT system is designed to eliminate and sorts of wastes, including wastes in overproducing, waiting time, transporting time, processing time, unnecessary motion, unnecessary stock on hand, and product defects (Ohno, 1982). Thus the ideal situation is zero inventory, 200 lead-time, and zero defects, also known as "lean production (Womack and Jones, 1991). One major benefit of less production systems is that problems and bottlened submerged in mass production buffers are now so promis and visible that they must be solved immediately and merely patched up, or they will immediately reappear. I major manufacturing practices of JIT include setup reduction, Kanban pull system, cellular layout, prevent maintenance, JIT supplier relationship, and flex scheduling (Sakakibara et al., 1997).

## III. The Shift to Post-Industrial Enterprise System

To understand why MRP is a typical industrial system was JIT is appropriate for post-industrial environment, we in

Proceedings of the First International Conference on Information and Management Sciences, Xi\*An, China, May 27-31, 2002, pp. 408-412.

relate our discussions to the major changes during the shift from industrial to post-industrial society. Huber (1984) first described that a post-industrial society is characterized by more and increasing knowledge, more and increasing complexity, and more and increasing uncertainty. These environmental changes require modification to many aspects of organizational design, including decision-making process, technology usage, workforce management, information management and innovation management (Champlin and Olson, 1994). Similarly, under the manufacturing setting, Doll and Vonderembse (1991) define a post-industrial manufacturing organization as one whose structures and processes are appropriate for the post-industrial environment. We believe the changes that make MRP obsolete and JIT appropriate include the following:

#### Changes in value orientation

From Product focus to Customer focus. The industrial system focuses on productivity and conformance to product specifications since the market was relatively stable. Customer contact was regarded as an annoying and unstable factor affecting system efficiency (Chase, 1983). The turbulent and segmented post-industrial market forces manufacturing firms to be more customer-oriented (Bowen et al., 1989). Everybody must fully understand the customer, and all operations must provide value to customers. A similar idea was expressed by (Chase et al., 1989, 1992) in their discussion of the "service factory."

From Efficiency/Cost focus to Flexibility/Innovation focus. Traditional mass production firms seek maximum efficiency through standardization, while the uncertainty of post-industrial environment requires manufacturing flexibility (Jelinek and Goldhar, 1984; Gerwin, 1993; Hayes and Pisano, 1994). Moreover, instead of focusing mainly on cost reduction, a post-industrial firm has to promote product and process innovation to adapt to shorter product life cycles (Huber, 1984; Zahra and Das, 1993).

A major drawback of MRP system is that the MPS is based on forecasted customer demand and standardized bill of materials, thus the system will be very unresponsive and inflexible to drastic fluctuations of customer demands in a post-industrial environment. While JIT system is connected with customer orders in real time, it is flexible enough to quickly adjust production schedule and volume. Murakoshi (1994) gave a detailed explanation of how JIT created customer-driven manufacturing firms in Japan.

#### Changes in manufacturing strategic orientation

From Economy of Scale to Economy of scope. The traditional mass production strategy is based on "economy of scale," i.e., higher volume will result in lower unit cost. While today's increasing customer demand on product variety calls for "economy of scope" where it is more

economical to produce multiple products jointly than individually, due to sharing of technology and experiences (Jelinek and Goldhar, 1983).

From Buffered manufacturing to Lean manufacturing. Traditionally, various buffers, such as high inventory and long lead-time are created to absorb the uncertainty from environment in order to maintain the efficiency of the technological core (Thompson, 1967; Doll and Vonderembse, 1987). This resulted in the wasting of time and manufacturing resources that do not add value to customers. The concept of lean manufacturing advocates waste minimization and customer responsiveness (Womack and Jones, 1991). This can be achieved through the use of advanced manufacturing techniques such as Just-In-Time (Lubben, 1988).

The problem of MRP is that it utilizes a push production system. Materials are pushed in large lot sizes from workstation to workstation regardless of production requirements. Sometimes when the material is really in need, it takes long lead-time to order it. The result is waste in inventory and waiting time. The push system also makes it costly in product changeover. The JIT system utilizes Kanban pull system that uses material in small lot sizes starting from the end of the production line. Thus the entire system is driven by customer orders, thus eliminating excessive waste in buffers. The application of setup time reduction and JIT supplier relationship make it flexible and economical to change between product lines, eliminating the traditional tradeoff between cost and variety in MRP based systems.

#### Changes in organizational design

From Mechanistic structure to Organic structure. Burns and Stalker (1961) proposed the distinction between a flexible organic structure and a hierarchical mechanistic structure. Zammuto and O'Connor (1992) suggested that new technology firms should have an organic structure that can quickly respond to environmental changes. Nemetz and Fry (1988) also proposed that an organic organizational structure would be more suitable for implementing new flexible manufacturing technologies.

From Authority-based control to Learning-based control. Doll and Vonderembse (1991) pointed out that the traditional hierarchical authority-based control mechanism would no longer be appropriate in post-industrial firms. The new control system should emphasize mutual adjustment and learning. Sitkin et al. (1994) also proposed total quality learning as the new production control mechanism under higher levels of uncertainty.

MRP is by nature a hierarchical planning systems based on the explosion of different levels of bill of material, thus resulting in a hierarchical organizational structure. Control and stability are the major production management objectives of MRP. Buffers also lead to a delayed production

g in the

juction

etween

lie in the hold in

iimize

turing

ads at

n the

on of time te all aiting ssary fects zero tion" lean necks inent

inent i not The time ntive xible

em

vhile nust feedback system that inhibits timely learning. The operational flexibility of JIT system makes it ideal for an organic structure to make full use of flexible manufacturing technologies. Pull production control provides timely feedback of production performance information that promotes learning and adjustment.

#### Changes in workforce management

From Deskilling of labor to Intellectual nature of work. Unlike the unskilled, specialized nature of work in traditional industrial firms, post-industrial firms provide a multi-skilled, information intensive and intellectual work environment (Hirschorn, 1981; Doll and Vonderembse, 1991). This change in the nature of work was also reflected in Zuboff's (1988) discussion of intellective skills and Weick's (1990) discussion of mental skills.

From Division of labor to Teamwork. The industrial rationale of functional specialization has proved to be a severe barrier to successful communication in the information intensive post-industrial environment (Doll and Vonderembse, 1991). Self-managed work teams and crossfunctional project teams are now extensively used on assembly lines, manufacturing cells, and new product development processes (Goldhar and Lei, 1994).

The hierarchical MRP system supports the traditional process-oriented job-shop production system. It promotes work specialization and requires little communication between functional areas, while product-oriented cellular manufacturing is common in JIT systems. Cell workers work in teams that promote communication and knowledge sharing. Cellular manufacturing uses dissimilar machines and this places higher technical and intellectual skill requirements on workers.

#### Changes in the nature of technology

From Efficient technology to Reliable technology. The industrial system tries to design specialized technology with the highest possible level of efficiency that can finally replace human labor (Braverman, 1974). Huber (1984) and Weick (1990) claim that post-industrial technologies are more stochastic and complex, making it very difficult and costly to recover from incomprehensible failures. As new technologies become more continuous, such as flexible manufacturing systems that can continuously process customized products, a small control problem at any link can cause the entire system to fail (Hirschhorn, 1981).

From Islands of automation to Systems integration. The traditional approach of using specialized technology often results in "islands of automation" (Johansen et al., 1995; Doll and Vonderembse, 1987), while the information intensive, team-based post-industrial environment requires a highly integrated flexible manufacturing system to facilitate communication and improve system responsiveness to

uncertainty (Nemetz and Fry, 1988; Lei and Goldhar, 1996). Vonderembse, Raghunathan and Rao (1997) further verified that in the post-industrial environment, firms should first focus on integration across the entire value chain, then stan automating the activities that add value to customers.

The MRP system may become very unstable and unreliable when there is dramatic change in customer demands due to the amplifying effects of hierarchical explosion. It also does not help in integrating islands of automation because MRP itself is a specialized production and inventory control system. While the common practical of preventive maintenance and the systematic view of JITS system can greatly increase the reliability of flexible manufacturing systems and facilitate systems integration.

From Systems Integration to Enterprise Integration Systems integration was the solution to the lack of integration between islands-of-automation. However, it was not long after that companies realized that to run an effective manufacturing business the concept of integration had to extend beyond the confines of the manufacturing floor to include non-manufacturing systems such as finance, marketing, accounting, sales, personnel and so on. This initiative resulted in enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems that support the linking of cross-functional business units (Callaway, 1999). This cross-functional integration achieved by ERP is necessary for companies that take it systems approach to the design and manufacture of product Such an approach utilizes up-to-date information from marketing, sales, accounting, finance and other non-the manufacturing systems in the design and manufacture of products. More recently, vendors of ERP software have responded to customer demands to enhanced the technology. to support Supply Chain Management, E-Commerce, Customer Relationship Management, and Intelligence (Callaway, 2000).

## IV. Implications and Conclusion

While the concepts and principles of JIT have been around for almost two decades, they were introduced into China no very long ago. When many Chinese firms were still trying a realize the full benefits of MRP and MRP II, JIT is already knocking on their doors. Study shows that MRP II is in as at only 170 of the more than 18,000 medium to large firms at only 170 of the more than 18,000 medium to large firms in China (Davis, 1995). The number of Chinese firm implementing JIT is even fewer. The Chinese automotive companies are pioneering the implementation of JIT China due to the increasing demand from their worldwing partners (Chen and Chen, 1997). However, there are seven major barriers to JIT implementation in China:

II in fo is

or

fix

fir

tre

- The traditional management model under plante economy is still affecting the internal and extend cooperation of Chinese firms, For example:
  - Most manufacturers are still accustomed to mass production system, which promotes the

- in-one" model and discourages inter-firm cooperation and coordination.
- Speed of decision-making tends to be slow, which directly affects the firm's response time.
- Many firms are still using high inventory to ensure continuous production, resulting in high costs that are hard to control.
- Employee initiatives and creativity are not fully utilized.
- The difficulties arise from a still incomplete market economy mechanism. For example:
  - Lack of formal system to monitor and regulate the transactions and contract fulfillment among firms.
  - ✓ Lack of close cooperative relationship.
  - Underdeveloped production system may not guarantee reliable delivery.

Considering the above problems, we think that some basic measures can be taken to improve the condition of JIT implementation in China:

- Incremental changes to manufacturing system may not solve the fundamental problems. The need exists to implement advanced manufacturing management methods (e.g. JIT) to drastically reengineer the entire industry.
- 2 Many Chinese firms suffer from the use of obsolete technology and equipment, and lack of R&D investment. Consequently, they are unable to effectively deal with market changes in a timely manner. We suggest that these firms should first focus on waste reduction and resource exploration inside the firm, and then gradually develop a dynamic strategic alliance with major suppliers. This should result in a robust market oriented supply chain that can jointly work on product innovation and better respond to market changes.
- Chinese firms must completely eliminate the tremendous waste of production capacity resulting from the traditional "all-in-one" management philosophy. This requires that Chinese manufacturers should develop a true market orientation and closely monitor market changes. Also, new projects should be evaluated in light of current and future market demands.

In summary, the shift from industrial to post-industrial society has created a turbulent environment that is not suitable for MRP systems. The philosophy and techniques of IIT are ideal for manufacturing systems design under post-industrial environment. In the long run, JIT is a definite path for Chinese manufacturers. While continuous improvement is important, we feel that Chinese manufacturing system needs radical reengineering to establish a true market orientation. We argue that with proper execution and support from top management, many medium to large sized Chinese firms can leapfrog to JIT, rather than going through the transition from MRP to MRP II to JIT.

#### References

- Bowen, D. E., Siehl, C., and Schneider, B. (1989). A Framework for Analyzing Customer Service Orientations in Manufacturing. Academy of Management Review, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 75-95.
- [2] Braverman, H. (1974). Labor and Monopoly Capitalism. London: Monthly Review Press.
- [3] Callaway, E. (1999). Enterprise Resource Planning. Integrating Applications and Business Process Across the Enterprise. Computer Technology Research Corp., Charleston, SC.
- [4] Callaway, E. (2000). ERP The Next Generation: ERP Is Web Enabled for E-Business. Computer Technology Research Corp., Charleston, SC.
- [5] Champlin, D., and Olson, P. (1994). Post-industrial metaphors: Understanding corporate restructuring and the economic environment of the 1990s. *Journal of Economic Issues*, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp. 449–459.
- [6] Chase, R. B. and Garvin, D. A. (1989). The Service Factory. Harvard Business Review, Vol. 67, No. 4, pp. 61.
- [7] Chase, R. B. and Tansik, D. A. (1983). The Customer Contact Model for Organization Design. Management Science, Vol. 29, No. 9.
- [8] Chen, S. and Chen, R. (1997). Manufacturer-supplier relationship in a JIT environment. Production and Inventory Management Journal, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 58-64.
- [9] Chase, R. B., Kumar, K. R. and Youngdahl, W. E. (1992). Service-Based Manufacturing: The Service Factory. Production and Operations Management, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 175-185.
- [10] Cooper, R. B., and Zmud, R. W. (1990). Information Technology Implementation Research: A Technological Diffusion Approach. *Management Science*, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp. 123-39.
- [11] Davis, D. (1995). MRP II's new frontier. Manufacturing Systems, Vol. 13, No. 8, pp. 36-46.
- [12] Doll, W. J. and Vonderembse, M. A. (1987). Forging a Partnership to Achieve Competitive Advantage: The CIM Challenge. MIS Quarterly, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 205-220.
- [13] Doll, W. J. and Vonderembse, M. A. (1991). The Evolution of Manufacturing Systems: Towards the Post-Industrial Enterprise. OMEGA, Vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 401-411.
- [14] Gerwin, D. (1993). Manufacturing flexibility: A strategic perspective. Management Science, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 395-410.
- [15] Goldhar, J. D. and Lei, D. (1994). Organizing and managing the CIM/FMS firm for maximum competitive advantage. *International Journal of Technology Management*, Vol. 9, No. 5, pp. 709-732.
- [16] Hayes, R. H. and Pisano, G. P. (1994). Beyond world-class: The new manufacturing strategy. *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 72, No. 1, pp. 77-84.
- [17] Hayes, R. H., Wheelwright, S. C. and Clark, K. B. (1988). Dynamic manufacturing: creating the learning organization. Free Press, New York.
- [18] Hirschhorn, L. (1981). The Post-Industrial Labor Process. New Political Science, Vol. No. pp. 11-32.
- [19] Huber, G. P. (1984). The Nature and Design of Post-Industrial Organizations. Management Science, Vol. 30, No. 8, pp. 928-951.
- [20] Jelinek, M. and Goldhar, J. D. (1984). The Strategic Implications of the Factory of the Future. Sloan Management Review, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 29-37.
- [21] Jelinek, M. and Golhar, J. D. (1983). The Interface Between Strategy and Manufacturing Technology. Columbia Journal of World Business, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 26-36.
- [22] Lubben, R. T. (1988). Just-In-Time Manufacturing. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York.
- [23] Murakoshi, T. (1994). Customer-driven manufacturing in Japan. International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 63-72
- [24] Nemetz, P. L. and Fry, L. W. (1988). Flexible Manufacturing Organizations: Implications for Strategy Formulation and

n

1

re

e:

p

ic Pr

m

Fi

be

po

CIL

fas

dev

wit

mo ma gro ma spp glot dev proj

Cert on w into sche

proje on m

Organization Design. Academy of Management Review, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 627-638.

[25] Ohno, T. (1982). Toyota Production System, Diamond Co. Ltd.

- [26] Sakakibara, S., Flynn, B. B., Schroeder, R. G. and Morris, W. T. (1997). The impact of just-in-time manufacturing and its infrastructure on manufacturing performance. *Management Science*, Vol. 43, No. 9, pp. 1246-1257.
- [27] Sitkin, S. B., Sutcliffe, K. M. and Schroeder, R. G. (1994). Distinguishing control from learning in total quality management: A contingency perspective. Academy of Management Review, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 537-564.
- [28] Skinner, W. (1985). The Tarning of Lions: How Manufacturing Leadership Evolved, 1780-1984. In The Uneary Alliance: Managing the Productivity-Technology Dilemma. (Edited by Clark, Hayes and Lorenz), pp. 63-110. The Harvard School Press, Boston, MA.
- [29] Taylor, F. W. (1911). The Principles of Scientific Management. Harper & brothers, New York, NY.
- [30] Tompson, J. D. (1967). Organizations in Action. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [31] -Vonderembse, M. A., Raghunathan, T. S. and Rao, S. S. (1997). A post-industrial paradigm: to integrate and automate manufacturing. *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 35, No. 9, pp. 2579-2599.
- [32] Weick, K. E. (1990). Technology as equivoque: Sensemaking in new technologies. In P.S. Goodman, L.S. Sproull & Associates (eds.), Technology and Organizations (pp. 1-44). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- [33] Womack, J. P. and Jones, D. T. (1994). From lean production to the lean enterprise. Harvard Business Review, Vol. 72, No. 2, pp. 93-103.
- [34] Zahra, S. A. and Das, S. R. (1993). Innovation Strategy and Financial Performance in Manufacturing Companies: An Empirical Study. Production and Operations Management, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 15-37.
- [35] Zammuto, R. F. and O'Connor, E. J. (1992). Gaining Advanced Manufacturing Technologies' Benefits: The Roles of Organization Design and Culture. Academy of Management Review, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 701-728.

[36] Zuboff, S. (1988). In the Age of the Smart Machine: The Future of Work and Power, Basic Books, New York.

Qiang Tu is Assistant Professor of Management Information Systems at the College of Business of Rochester Institute of Technology, USA. He received his bachelor's degree in Management Engineering and master's degree in Systems Engineering from Xi'an Jiaotong University, China He holds a Ph.D. from the College of Business Administration of University of Toledo, Ohio, USA. He has published in Information Systems Research Journal of Operations Management, OMEGA: the International Journal of Management Science, Journal of Strategic Information Systems and other scholarly journals. His research interests include information systems strategy, manufacturing strategy, technology management, and behavioral issues in information systems and manufacturing management.

Kanllang Wang is Professor of Management Information Systems at the School of Management of Xi'an Jiaotong University, China. He received his Ph.D. in management science from Xi'an Jiaotong University in 1993. His current research interests include decision support systems and electronic commerce. His contact information is: The School of Management, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, 710042. Phone/Fax: (86)29-2668848.

Delvin Grant is Associate Professor of Management Information Systems in the College of Commerce of DePaul University, USA. He has a Ph.D. Management Information Systems and Industrial Engineering an MBA Information Systems and a BS in Mechanical Engineering, an MBA Information Systems and a BS in Mechanical Engineering, His primar research interests are information systems development methods manufacturing and non-manufacturing companies, Total Quality Management, and Action Research. He has published in a variety of journals including Communications of the ACM, Information Technology and People, Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, Computer Industrial Engineering, Total Quality Management, and Computer Industry. He has consulted for IBM, Tecumseh, Merrill Lynch, and Edingburgh Consulting.